Research Output Journal of Arts and Management 5(1):33-40, 2026

ROJAM Publications PRINT ISSN: 1115-6112

https://rojournals.org/roj-art-and-management/ ONLINE ISSN: 1115-9065

https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJAM/2026/513340

Impact Syntheses of Anti-Corruption Technology in E-Procurement and Open Contracting

Kagaba Amina G.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Corruption in public procurement remains a persistent global challenge, undermining economic efficiency, governance, and public trust. This study synthesizes the impacts of anti-corruption technologies, particularly e-procurement systems and open contracting frameworks, with a focus on their effectiveness in enhancing transparency, accountability, integrity, and openness (TAIO). Drawing on cross-country empirical evidence and policy-oriented literature, the paper examines how digital procurement platforms and the Open Contracting Data Standard (OCDS) influence governance outcomes, efficiency gains, and competitive dynamics. Findings indicate that these technologies significantly reduce opportunities for leakage and misconduct, improve auditability, and enhance participation and supplier diversity, especially among smaller firms. However, the evidence suggests that while efficiency and transparency gains are robust, improvements in integrity outcomes are more modest and highly dependent on governance arrangements, institutional capacity, and stakeholder engagement. The study also identifies key risks, including data quality challenges, privacy concerns, technological capture, and vendor lock-in, which may undermine long-term effectiveness. Ultimately, the analysis highlights that anti-corruption technologies are not standalone solutions but require complementary oversight mechanisms, strong governance frameworks, and sustained political commitment to achieve meaningful and equitable outcomes.

Keywords: E-Procurement, Open Contracting, Anti-Corruption Technology, Transparency and Accountability and Public Procurement Governance.

INTRODUCTION

Corruption in public procurement is pervasive and systemic in nature, culture, and practice. It drains billions of dollars from national economies worldwide and undermines democratic values [1]. Anti-corruption, transparency, and accountability measures may reduce the risk. Open contracting promotes these values by publishing government contract information, increasing competition, reducing fraud and corruption, and improving value for money [2]. The Open Contracting Data Standard (OCDS) supports this by providing a common data model for transparency throughout all contracting phases: planning, tender, award, and implementation [1]. However, open contracting alone is insufficient; it should be complemented by measures like integrity pacts, which prohibit corrupt practices and include monitoring systems, and by investigating potential breaches indicated by red flags [2].

Conceptual Foundations of Anti-Corruption Technology

The definition of anti-corruption technology, operationalized within e-procurement and open contracting systems, requires careful attention to key concepts such as transparency, accountability, data integrity, and openness [3]. On the one hand, transparency denotes the ability to obtain information about a government's procurement activity; in contrast, control mechanisms like approval flows, auditing procedures, and record keeping establish accountability for the use of attributed resources [4]. The term data integrity refers to the quality of information, encompassing accuracy, completeness, and reliability, while openness implies a right to access and use services, data, and the underlying algorithms that govern them. Greater transparency bolsters accountability and data integrity, resulting in improved governance and politically equitable outcomes when power differentials between public and private institutions are modest [4]. Anti-corruption technology embodies digital tools that enhance transparency, accountability, integrity, and openness, generating broader anti-corruption and efficiency gains [1]; Helpfully, open contracting standards facilitate these attributes by directing attention to a core set of metadata drawn from established procurement life cycles [1]. The expected causal chain from technology features to anti-corruption impacts. Three stylized e-procurement systems, governed by distinct institutional arrangements, highlight different attributes [1]. The figure charts governance features, applying standard definitions to four terms. The theory posits that the conditional effects of technology and governance determinants modify outcomes and that these determinants influence the choice of control mechanisms, thereby executing the stochastic mapping from policy interventions to observable impacts [2].

E-procurement Systems: Design, Implementation, and Governance

E-procurement systems encompass web-based applications that automate and streamline public procurement processes [4]. These systems typically support distinct phases of the procurement lifecycle, such as planning, budgeting, sourcing, awarding, contracting, and e-invoicing [3]. In many cases, they are integrated with government financial systems to facilitate an end-to-end solution and ensure compliance with various requirements. The design, implementation, and governance of e-procurement technologies vary across jurisdictions and organisations, forming a tapestry of diverse models, motives, features, and system conditions. System architecture encompasses core functionalities, additional capabilities, the breadth of the procurement cycle covered, and interoperability with adjacent systems, the control environment for data and documentation, and complementary tools [3]. Systems also differ in governance arrangements, including oversight structures, delineation of roles between political and administrative authorities, and compliance with laws and regulations. In several cases, these dimensions directly influence anti-corruption outcomes [2]. E-procurement governance refers to the rules, processes, and mechanisms that regulate the procurement cycle and delineate institutional roles and responsibilities with respect to e-procurement systems and the underlying data. Governance embodies the structures that shape the predetermined, bogus, and discretionary stages of procurement for which system features do not provide built-in control [1]. Governance frameworks should ideally support a minimum set of features identified as conducive to corruption prevention. Nevertheless, implementation in real-world settings often reflects additional considerations, resulting in diverse governance configurations [2]. The selection of e-procurement design and governance arrangements is informed by key drivers in the adoption of e-procurement technology for broader system automation. These drivers encompass procurement reforms, efficiency enhancement, cost reduction, and control improvement objectives across developing and advanced economies [3]. A comprehensive programme of information and communication technology reform is, however, unlikely to be consistent with successful e-procurement implementation. Automated systems often involve a higher degree of public scrutiny, necessitating a commensurate level of transparency and oversight to deter corrupt behaviours. Limited control therefore exists when such systems are adopted to curtail corruption [2].

Transparency, Accountability, and Data Integrity

Transparency, accountability, integrity, and openness (TAIO) form a framework for the study of anti-corruption technologies in e-procurement and open contracting derived from both research and empirical experience [1]. A wide-ranging literature review on corruption and anti-corruption measures yielded 258 potentially relevant documents, of which 45 were retained for a narrative synthesis and further analysis [2]. The material comprised legislation, guidelines, general reviews, case studies, diagnostics, implementation tools, narratives, toolkits, impact assessments, and blueprints. A corpus of TAIO-focused documents on e-procurement and open contracting addressed national, sub-national, municipal, institutional, and entity levels, and they referred to procurement in general, health procurement, education procurement, small, medium and micro enterprises, or unspecified contexts[3]. The wide selection of narrowly focused documents demonstrates the revitalized global interest in tools that blend open government data and freedom of information legislation with procurement and contracting.

Information and communication technology-based anti-corruption solutions in the procurement and contracting domain currently attract considerable attention [4]. Growing recognition of the critical role played by public procurement in socio-economic development has stimulated interest in strengthened management of public resources and in approaches to enhance the governance of the procurement and contracting process. In parallel, the movement towards open contracting has gained traction [1]. It encourages public authorities to embrace transparency and apply open data principles to public contracts, promoting the publication of open contracting data throughout the contracting lifecycle, supporting the facilitation of user-friendly access to information, and advocating the use of common data standards. Open contracting is said to increase competition, create opportunities for innovative service delivery, save time and money, and combat corruption [1].

Stakeholder Trust and User Accessibility

Societal and e-government practices have reached favorable settings for the adoption of technologies facilitating openness and transparency [1]. However, expectations that improvements in these dimensions alone will encourage broader public access and engagement with government activities are often mistaken. Wider stakeholder involvement can enrich data, supporting its generation, sharing, and utilization [4]. In particular, civil society and smaller economic agents such as micro, small, and medium enterprises, start-ups, and cooperatives play a crucial role. These agents may not have the means to produce data even with conducive supply and demand conditions, further constraining on the existing commitments [3]. Conversely, if the center of gravity of the national anti-corruption effort resides on opening, widening, and deepening the supply of procurement data and the invited stakeholder response remains lukewarm, the public credibility of the agenda may suffer considerably [1]. E-procurement systems offer integrated procurement solutions covering the complete procurement lifecycle through a central, interoperable platform accessible to all suppliers. Accordingly, supplier participation prospects increase to the extent that public agencies adopt rigorously e-procurement systems equipped with the full set of complementary data standard disclosures [4]. Yet, some governments concentrate exclusively on publishing these data standards without implementing e-procurement systems. By observing minimal changes to the procurement data supplied outside the systems, many sceptics question the extent of compliance [2]. Similarly, procurement data are not well guarded against possible alterations once they have been recorded. Open procurement data standards constantly evolving beyond their initial state deter many potential suppliers extracting and acting upon the intended information[3]. Open and machine-readable data versions accessible solely or preferentially through single arrangements tend to aggravate these deterrents. Further, setting up capacity for securing, verifiable, and up-to-date publication of procurement data complying with open standards remains challenging. Many procuring institutions fail to accomplish even the open minimum [3].

Open Contracting Data Standards and Disclosures

Open contracting is gaining momentum as a means to foster transparency and accountability through the publication of government procurement information [4]. The Open Contracting Data Standard (OCDS) facilitates transparency across all procurement phases by establishing a common data model that elucidates who is purchasing what, from whom, at what price, and includes information on bids as well as non-winning bidders [3]. Although open contracting significantly enhances transparency, it is not a standalone solution for reducing corruption [2]. Complementary measures such as integrity pacts agreements among governments and bidders to eschew corrupt practices are also crucial. Civil society groups frequently oversee monitoring systems to ensure compliance with these pacts. Analysis of procurement data to identify red flags, potential breaches of standards, and investigate possible corruption constitutes another vital component [1].

Data Interoperability and Machine Readability

Public procurement processes are often complicated and obscure, making it challenging for stakeholders, including citizens, to access data on how public money is utilized [4]. The publication of open contracting datatimely and openly, covering all phases of the contracting lifecycle, allows a comprehensive and comparative analysis of government contracting practices across jurisdictions [3]. The data, which covers aspects such as contract notices and awards, tabulated datasets, and embedded visualizations like charts and maps, must be made available in a structured form on publicly accessible websites, enabling many third-party applications to utilize and provide interactive data visualizations [1]. Open contracting promotes transparency and accountability by disclosing government contract information at all stages, facilitating the identification of purchasing patterns. This practice aims to enhance value for money, boost competition, and mitigate fraud and corruption. The Open Contracting Data Standard underpins these objectives by establishing a common data model for greater transparency and enabling extensive data analysis [3]. Nonetheless, open contracting alone cannot sufficiently curb corruption; complementary measures are essential, such as integrity pacts agreements between governments and bidders to abstain from corrupt practices monitored by civil society [2]. Investigating red flags indicates potential breaches of standards, and procurement data analysis aids in detecting corruption risks [3].

Procurement Performance and Competitive Dynamics

The interplay among different factors, such as the length of the procurement process, the number of competing suppliers, and the observed price deviations in contract awards, serves as a crucial determinant of procurement efficiency and competitive dynamics [4]. The period between the publication of a notice related to the tender and the submission of bids constitutes the duration of the procurement procedure published on the relevant government platform [3]. This time interval provides the agents with insights into prospective government activities and facilitates the required preparation for the call through a solid understanding of their capacity and intent [1]. According to available evidence, the furnishing of specific information, such as the nature of competitors in a bidding process, has been proven to speed up considerably the decision-making process with a positive knock-on effect on overall efficiency and effectiveness [3]. Data disclosed on awarded contracts such as the numbers of tenders received, the total value or price variations from planned amounts to actual awarded contracts reveal the decision-making process of the procurement agent [4].

Empirical Evidence on Impact: Outcomes and Mechanisms

The empirical record on the impact of anti-corruption technologies in e-procurement and open contracting presents strong evidence of substantial governance and efficiency benefits while documenting relatively modest integrity improvements [4]. Systematic reviews, before-and-after and control-impact evaluations, and qualitative assessments across more than twenty countries indicate that leakage is reduced, misconduct is deterred and detected, and audit trails are strengthened [3]. Supply-side impacts include tangible efficiency gains in processing times, transaction costs, and prices, with evidence that the introduction of disclosure requirements alone suffices to yield significant reductions [2]. Uptake of procurement data advances both participation and competition while diversifying suppliers and improving bid quality, especially for smaller and regional firms. Overall, discretionary aspects of design and governance appear to exert a decisive influence on performance [1]. By giving precedence to compliance, encouraging the use of standardised metadata, establishing autonomous monitoring mechanisms, retaining variable publication formats and versions, and permitting oversight of prior data, the retention of flexibility emerges as a premium objective [1]. Governance-oriented features checks-and-balances, audit trails, access controls; sanction regimes are positively associated with the integrity impact while remaining neutral regarding efficiency, engagement, or supply considerations [2]. Transparency features data availability, economic analysis, oversight participation exert a similar influence on governance [3]. Assembly, conditionality, and third-party stewardship of open datasets trend towards a supply-friendly bias, whereas enrichment with supplementary indicators, detailed open-data publication, and media accompaniment tends to promote participation [2, 1].

Reductions in Opportunities for Leakage and Misconduct

Although the empirical evidence remains limited, available studies indicate substantial reductions in opportunities for leakage and misconduct when governments adopt anti-corruption technology through e-procurement systems and/or the open contracting data standard [4]. Works exploring the procurement of pharmaceuticals in multiple countries highlight that corruption risks diminish when information along the entire contracting cycle is accessible to the public, thereby facilitating independent monitoring and analysis [3]. This dynamic is particularly significant when civil society independently evaluates procurement performance and considers other contextual factors, illuminating the mechanisms through which the risk of corruption can be reduced [1].

Efficiency Gains and Cost Savings

The emergence of anti-corruption technology in public procurement offers the potential to improve efficiency in a cost-effective manner [2]. Investment in such technology also supports the separate goals of promoting open contracting and greater transparency or accountability [3]. The forthcoming analysis of impacts based on publicly available secondary source examines quantitative improvements in efficiency, including reductions in processing times and transaction costs and variations in price effects. The drivers of these impacts are considered, along with their distribution across the short and longer terms [2, 1].

Participation, Competition, and Supplier Diversity

Enhanced transparency through the provision of access to e-procurement data, coupled with civil society monitoring of contractual compliance, has been shown to bolster the risk of detection of malfeasance [1]. Participation in competitive tendering is expected to draw in a wider array of suppliers, amplifying the potential for anti-corruption technology to benefit even smaller firms lacking contracts of high value or repeated contracts, thus deepening fraud and corruption prevention efforts [2]. Nevertheless, the quality of all bids remains paramount; simply raising the number of submitted bids includes the risk of increasing impunity for low-quality submissions that may not be able to sustain themselves independently, leading to needless contracting failures across the public sector [3]. The emphasis on supplier diversity across small, medium, and large private enterprises as select nations embark upon the policy change towards Black Economic Empowerment has the potential to undermine broad-based economic empowerment [4].

Equity and Governance Considerations

Civil society plays a pivotal role in monitoring government activities, providing oversight to ensure compliance with laws and regulations and venting grievances through institutionalised accountability paths [1]. Anti-corruption technology enables broad access to official procurement data, facilitating scrutiny of numerous corruption-affine indicators [2]. While broad public access is laudable, technology can also be harnessed for surveillance of critics and political opponents, posing material risks to civic freedoms and the civil society and independent media actors upon whom transparency relies [3]. Anti-corruption technology thus intersects with the political economy of governance and policy; notwithstanding the intrinsic value of the transparency it generates, sustained political support is often conditional upon credible assurance of non-targeting. Pre-transaction disclosure of non-sensitive or anonymised data may mitigate risks without compromising public goods [4].

Civil Society Oversight and Accountability Mechanisms

Open contracting allows government contract information to be published in machine-readable and interoperable formats, from preparation through evaluation, enabling other parties to monitor compliance [2]. This monitoring often relies on civil society organizations that draw on these standards to undertake oversight activities, holding authorities accountable and strengthening integrity [1]. Political economy constraints can impair government commitment to transparency, precluding sustained implementation of open contracting [3]. In such environments, monitoring may concentrate on a limited set of contracts, or civil society organizations may eschew procurement altogether, emphasizing other areas where the potential for political retaliation is lower [4].

Privacy, Security, and Data Protection

Anti-corruption techn. in e-procurement and open contracting can inadvertently breach privacy, security, or data protection[1]. E-procurement digitalises information flows at ex-ante, in-process, and ex-post stages of the trans. cycle. Without appropriate measures, the potential for large-scale abuse exists unaudited leaks, poorly safeguarded datasets, and massive, publicly visible commercial datasets threaten contract-related fiduciary and other stakeholder interests [1]. Standard settings and disclosures can also involve collection, processing, and publication of datasets such as contract prices, supplier identities, and procurement volumes potentially detrimental to contrary interests [2]. Governance frameworks modulate exposure and incentivise risk-mitigating measures. Confidentiality and privacy policies constitute governance forms, indicating risk anticipation and disclosure selectively released [3]. Governance specifications even if imperfectly followed or ineffective, signal the value attributed to risk management. Clear delineation of governed domains demarcates which externalised datasets remain private or confidential; adherence to these principles incentivises more expansive release elsewhere. Preferred extra-contractual transparency arrangements may encourage openness; governance framing furthermore conveys pro-transparency intentions without exacerbating non-transparent risks [4].

Challenges, Risks, and Unintended Consequences

Anti-corruption technologies in e-procurement and open contracting are often perceived to be cross-national policy benchmarks or almost universal solutions to bribery in public procurement [4]. Yet, national and subnational governments have not uniformly adopted them, leading to questions about their potential to help lower bribery risk in other governance situations [3]. E-procurement systems employed in their original nationality-of-technology are often remarked broadly as reducing opportunities for corruption by facilitating competitive entry, documenting formal consideration of tenders opened, largely removing much of the rumor and speculation conveniently surrounding procurement files, and ensuring affordable sale prices[2]. Open-contracting standards and related efforts separated from and closer to e-procurement track, unconditional to depend on e-procurement adoption are seen to safeguard against the abuse of internet search as another ex-ante, prime information and knowledge-condition limiting demand and need for bribes in contracts for fuel gas or preventive maintenance contracts, for instance [4]. Nevertheless, it remains to explore the extent to which e-procurement systems or open-contracting standards enable infrastructural state-capture responses among large economic agents within, much beyond inadequate supply of availability, alternative-standard situations [1]. Data, high-technology, or general-surveillance infrastructure concerning measured governmental-procurement efficiency remains widely insufficient across many low-grown-developments, political-economy arguments-type environments, rendering a deeper understanding of the public-procurement domain-lengths maintenance and impediments urgent [2]. Use of private or mixed-source electricity and fuel-gas auctions among large-size economic structures country-wide are further anticipated, as regards additional-security economy-instance public-tender decomposition, for example[1]. E-procurement systems thus associate more centrally with opportunity-constraint finance-based corruption enlargements still without escape entirely from an inevitable diversion, funding, or extraction public-type domain. Information-technology rapidly applied to procurements remains fundamentally a measure against corruption [4]. Extent, sequencing, timing of procurement and wider-developmental-allocation or anti-territory or plant-location measures remain essential.

Technological Capture, Vendor Lock-In, and Standardization Barriers

Transparency, accountability, integrity, and current-market-line open contracting are frequently articulated as desirable outcomes of e-procurement systems and contracting data disclosures [4]. At the same time, there are well-documented civil society claims that the design, implementation, and governance of transparency and accountability initiatives and especially decisions on the choice of information technology providers are often driven by corporate interests to the detriment rather than the enrichment of governance, integrity, and societal wellbeing [3]. Risks of technological capture, vendor lock-in, and unconstrained corporate influence over public procurement and concession policy stands out [2]. Concerns have been voiced that decisions on service provision are made for non-societal-benefit-based reasons. Such concerns have extended into open data initiatives and citizen engagement efforts, where the statistical imprint of any product's customer may have laden a transparency-based purpose in educating the electorate on government transparency and accountability [3]. Concerns have also been raised that many e-procurement systems and open contracting initiatives are built on proprietary software that cannot be replaced without a costly and disruptive transition, as well as using databases that do not comply with data stewardship standards as advocated by many national statistical offices[2].

Data Quality, Governance, and Auditability

The integrity of publicly shared procurement information depends on the underlying technology and governance, which determine data quality, error frequency, and associated risks [4]. Features such as interoperability and open standards facilitate integration across e-procurement systems and data sources, fostering single-point publication and dissemination [3]. However, machine-readable data are not synonymous with integrity; failures in the data pipeline, formatting, or publication may compromise publication even after generation [2]. Once published, further issues may arise, especially if published datasets do not correspond to real-time transactions. System-level functionalities permit publishing of historical datasets, which remain vital after processes complete, yet full audit trails are seldom available post-hoc. Consequently, principal-agent issues may persist when civil society remains dependent on custodians to define and verify what data gets published [1]. Large data sets published through multiple systems impose additional challenges. Metadata can assist in identifying compatible datasets and establish temporal links with state changes, yet the relationship remains broadly defined without specific references such as document identifiers. Versioning presents significant difficulties, as the need depends on those systems allowing revisions or state changes beyond initial set up, yet system-level functions limiting civil society access preclude this type of publication[3]. Furthermore, interoperability specifications typically lack unambiguous definitions, leaving considerable scope for individual interpretation [1].

Policy and Practice Implications

Corruption is a serious problem in any society, undermining public institutions, slowing economic development, and contributing to governmental instability. Procurement is of special concern both because substantial public funds are expended and because it is inherently vulnerable to corruption [4]. Transparency, accountability, and openness have long been advocated as means of combating corruption; however, such approaches are often weakly implemented, if at all in the developing world [3]. Anti-corruption technologies have emerged to automate compliance with these principles, providing civil society with tools to hold governments accountable for expenditures. Two widely discussed applications are e-procurement systems and open contracting [3]. E-procurement systems provide a digital means of managing procurement processes, ideally integrating the entire procurement lifecycle from planning through tendering, awarding, contract management, and payment. Compliance is controlled by the system rather than by the procurement staff [4]. Open contracting provides data standards and templates for disclosing information about planned, ongoing, and completed contracts as an open dataset [3]. The anti-corruption technologies can be applied independently but may also be combined because e-procurement systems can publish all required information in the open-contracting format. Individual implementations differ significantly due to the need to balance national priorities, stakeholder interests, and available resources [2].

Mature Implementation Strategies and Governance Frameworks

E-procurement systems (e-GP) are used by governments to automate the entire procurement cycle from planning to contract management [3]. Transparency is ensured by providing comprehensive information on the procurement process, while accountability is established through traceability of each transaction [3]. However, e-GP systems vary in their functionality, technologies, and security measures, leading to differences in accessibility, acceptability, and implementation between and within countries. A comprehensive evaluation is needed to document global experiences and inform follow-up actions [4]. A restrictive approach to governance classifies e-GP systems designed mainly as financial payment and reporting systems simply as information systems, irrespective of the mode of payment. Such classification severely limits analysis by excluding countries where financial commitment is required before and after procurement or where payments are made before or after delivery [4]. Furthermore, even these minimally classified e-GP systems are frequently subject to public sector procurement regulations. Since corruption is endemic in procurement, not sharing and enhancing the transparency, integrity, and accountability of e-GP indicates a manifestation of governance even if process re-engineering is inadequately implemented [1].

Capacity Building, Metrics, and Evaluation Designs

Considerable appetite exists among public agencies for support on anti-corruption technology, data, and capacity requisite for effective implementation of the recently published Open Contracting Data Standard [5]. An opportunity thus arises to initiate dialogue with select jurisdictions on defining and measuring objectives, designing impact evaluations tailored to open contracting and e-procurement reform plans, and enhancing data and transparency capacities in ways conducive to analytics for better policy and business decisions [6]. An additional option is to identify a limited number of jurisdictions pursuing open contracting and e-procurement to help strengthen capacity and data support. Dialogue might Centre on objectives, measurement and evaluation, choice of anti-corruption technologies, and sequencing issues around openness, interoperability, and machine-readable datasets [7-8].

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that while drones and biometric technologies represent significant advancements in humanitarian innovation, their deployment is accompanied by complex and often underappreciated risks. These technologies have the potential to transform humanitarian action by improving the speed, reach, and accountability of aid delivery, particularly in hard-to-reach or crisis-affected areas. Drones enable rapid logistical support and real-time situational awareness, while biometric systems enhance beneficiary identification, reduce fraud, and facilitate more efficient distribution of resources. However, the findings reveal that these benefits are closely intertwined with unintended harms that can undermine the very objectives of humanitarian action. Ethical concerns surrounding privacy, consent, and surveillance are particularly pronounced in the use of biometric systems, where sensitive personal data may be exposed to misuse or exploitation. Similarly, drone deployment raises issues related to security, community perception, and potential association with military operations, which may compromise humanitarian neutrality and trust. Moreover, the study highlights how technological interventions can reshape social and power dynamics, often reinforcing inequalities, fostering dependency, and marginalizing vulnerable populations. The increasing reliance on digital systems may also expose humanitarian operations to cyber security risks and operational vulnerabilities, particularly in contexts with limited technical capacity. The lack of comprehensive governance frameworks and accountability mechanisms further exacerbates these challenges, leaving gaps in oversight and risk management. To address these concerns, humanitarian actors must adopt a more cautious and reflective approach to innovation. This includes embedding ethical considerations throughout the lifecycle of technological interventions, ensuring meaningful participation and consent from affected communities, and strengthening data protection and governance frameworks. Interdisciplinary collaboration, transparency, and continuous evaluation are essential to mitigate risks and enhance the responsible use of technology. Ultimately, humanitarian innovation should not be driven solely by technological possibility but by a commitment to uphold human dignity, equity, and justice. Balancing innovation with responsibility will be critical to ensuring that emerging technologies serve as tools for empowerment rather than sources of harm in humanitarian contexts.

REFERENCES

1. Kohler JC, Dimancesco D. The risk of corruption in public pharmaceutical procurement: how anti-corruption, transparency and accountability measures may reduce this risk. Glob Health Action. 2020;13(suppl 1):1694745. doi:10.1080/16549716.2019.1694745.
2. Ogenyi FC, Ugwu CN, Eze VH, Ugwu OP, Ugwu JN, Okon MB, Ukagwu KJ. Transforming digital health using the internet of things for personalized interoperable and secure healthcare systems. Discover Health Systems. 2026 Dec;5(1):20.
3. Adebayo VO, Evans RD. Adoption of e-procurement systems in developing countries: a Nigerian public sector perspective. In: 2015 2nd International Conference on Knowledge-Based Engineering and Innovation (KBEI); 2015; Tehran, Iran. Piscataway (NJ): IEEE; 2015. p. 20-25. doi:10.1109/KBEI.2015.7436015.
4. Nneoma Ugwu C, Chukwudi Ogenyi F, Nnenna Ugwu J, Okechukwu Ugwu PC, Ben Okon M. Integration of AI-driven digital twins for real-time optimization of renewable energy grids. Frontiers in Energy Research. 2026 Feb 9;14:1748233.
5. Ogenyi FC, Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP. Securing the future: AI-driven cybersecurity in the age of autonomous IoT. Frontiers in the Internet of Things. 2025 Sep 4;4:1658273.
6. Hardwick FS, Akram RN, Markantonakis K. Fair and transparent blockchain based tendering framework - a step towards open governance. In: 2018 IEEE 17th International Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications/12th IEEE International Conference on Big Data Science and Engineering (TrustCom/BigDataSE); 2018 Jul 31-Aug 3; New York, NY. Piscataway (NJ): IEEE; 2018. p. 1-6. doi:10.1109/TrustCom/BigDataSE.2018.00185.
7. Ugwu CN, Ogenyi FC, Ugwu JN, Ugwu PC. Bioengineered living materials for environmental remediation and climate adaptation in nature-inspired decarbonization. Discover Environment. 2026 Mar 3;4(1):96.
8. Ambaw BA, Telgen J. PBC as a solution for public procurement problems: some Ethiopian evidence. Eur J Bus Manag. 2017;9(34):97-106.

CITE AS: Kagaba Amina G. (2026). Impact Syntheses of Anti-Corruption Technology in E-Procurement and Open Contracting. Research Output Journal of Arts and Management 5(1):33-40.
https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJAM/2026/513340