Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 52-58, 2025

ROJESR Publications Online ISSN: 1115-9790

https://rojournals.org/roj-engineering-and-scientific-research/ Print ISSN: 1115-6155

https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.5258

Innovative Approaches to Addressing Health Disparities

Omeye Francis I.

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Health disparities in the United States remain a critical public health challenge, disproportionately affecting racial and ethnic minorities, socioeconomically disadvantaged populations, and other marginalized groups. Despite increased awareness and targeted policies, disparities in health outcomes, access, and care quality persist, particularly in areas such as infant mortality, chronic disease management, and behavioral health. This report explores the structural, historical, and systemic roots of these disparities and evaluates contemporary, innovative approaches designed to reduce them. It highlights the promise of culturally competent care, health information technologies, community-based interventions, and inclusive policy frameworks. Emphasis is placed on stakeholder collaboration, data transparency, and equity-driven reforms. Case studies illustrate practical implementations and lived experiences, particularly among families of children with special health needs. The report concludes with a call for sustained, multi-level engagement and accountability to foster health equity in a rapidly diversifying nation.

Keywords: Health disparities, health equity, racial and ethnic minorities, health information technology, cultural competence, community health.

INTRODUCTION

The report details and assesses the evidence on the existence of health care disparities by race and ethnicity in the United States. Although substantial progress has been made in addressing disparities in some health areas, the continuing, widespread existence of disparities in areas such as infant mortality, obesity, and tobacco use among disadvantaged population groups continues to garner attention. Addressing these disparities through the means of rigorous health services and public health research represents an important contribution, as many aspects of the problem and solutions are uncharted territory for health services or public health researchers. Many have expressed hope and encouragement to pursue these challenges and opportunities. Approaches to some commonly raised issues concerning research questions and methods, capacity building, and sustainability are discussed. Health care in the United States is more costly than in any other nation, but there are large disparities in access to, quality of, and outcomes of health care, especially among racial and ethnic minorities and the poor. Such differences are viewed as inequitable by a majority of the public. This report focuses primarily on topics related to health care access, quality, and service use, with attention to addressing such disparities through the more systematic use of health information technologies and training and demands for cultural competence in the health care workforce. Commercial health care industries have a great influence on setting the agenda, priorities, and pursuits of local and federal governments. They pull data on selected variables from existing databases to tell interesting stories and make arguments that favour

their interests. Observers from various quarters express the need for more systematic assessments of the current situation and tracking of changes over time. One possibility is to explore transparency inquiries for public health agencies, as are extensively conducted in the areas of health care coverage, quality, and costs, and measuring impact in the areas of tobacco control, nutrition, and physical activity. These inquiries would serve practice- and policy-related needs for assessing which populations are being reached and which are not, what problems are most pressing, and how effective efforts have been for improving population health and reducing health disparities. Findings might also be leveraged for increasing and directing resources [1, 2].

Understanding Health Disparities

Health disparities in the United States can be defined as differences in health outcomes or health-related factors that are closely linked with social or economic disadvantage. Health disparities exist among racial and ethnic minority groups, and they can also be found among low-income individuals, people with less education, women, the elderly, and other socially disadvantaged groups. Large disparities can be found for many different measures of health outcomes, health-related quality of life, and health-related behaviors. For example, death rates from heart disease are significantly higher among African Americans than among whites; colorectal cancer mortality is 50% greater among blacks than among whites; and diabetes and oral disease control are worse among Mexican Americans than among whites. These health disparities and others must be eliminated because they are unfair (and can be viewed as injustices) and because they can be addressed through public health measures. Health disparities have been characterized by federal agencies and others for many years. Public health agencies have defined and classified them in a variety of ways, including by the racial/ethnic groups affected; by the specific health-related factor, outcome, behavior, or health determinant; and by the year or decade of publication of the descriptive report. Health disparities have also been described in a general fashion, characterizing their nature without specifying particular groups or health-related measures. In one recent definition, health disparities were described as a general term that encompasses all of the direct comparisons of health outcomes across social, economic, or demographic groups, which are associated with any direct health-related factor or its area. Regarding the idea of groups, categories such as race/ethnicity, gender, income, education, or place of residence were given as examples. Today's definitions of health disparities are similar, but the most recent definitions have not defined the border [3, 4].

Definition and Scope

Health equity typically refers to the attainment of the highest level of health for all people. as such, health equity implies that everyone should have a fair opportunity to attain their full health potential. Given the same social requirements and conditions, no population group should be disadvantaged in achieving its health potential. This suggests that, in devising a measure of health equity, it is important to evaluate and clarify the requirements and conditions underlying health attainment. In recent years, several terms have emerged with specific reference to health imbalances. Chief among these is health disparity, which refers to some population-based difference in health status or health outcome. Despite there being no universally accepted definition of health inequality, many researchers and organizations have come to agree upon a working definition which refers to health differences that are avoidable, unfair, and unjust. This latter qualification suggests that a determinant of inequity is largely structural and thus more preventable than a determinant of inequality, which might be more about the supply of risky behaviors and choices available to social agents. Transparency in measurement choices is critical for the advancement of scientific research. This is particularly true in the case of complex population-based measures where, through their variability, one group may be cast either in a brighter or darker light than it should. This inevitably leads to tension in public health among objective science, policy, and politics that seek to represent populations in favourable light, advocates that seek to generate interest to address health inequities, and sceptics who question the validity of available data sources and the means or constructs by which they are analyzed. Since (and perhaps because of) health disparities are often thought of as a masquerade, discussed as paradoxes, or referred to as the "whitehall" effect, hardness and elusiveness in science often result in harshness and condescension in public debate [5, 6].

Historical Context

Race, gender, and socioeconomic factors intersect across generations to create systemic obstacles to health and healthcare for some populations. In the 21st century, several major tragedies have highlighted

these inequities and the need for an informed national dialogue on the intersection of race and ethnicity, and health and healthcare. The AIDS epidemic grew unchecked in Black, Hispanic, and Native populations while mainstream America ignored the problem. In 1998, a landmark report documented widespread racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare. In 1999, a summit spearheaded by a major organization and attended by wide-ranging stakeholders issued a call to action to rapidly reduce heart disease, stroke, and diabetes risk in the same populations vigorously. Despite this landmark report, which received increased funding, scientific effort, and political attention, in 2007, the health resources and services administration of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services reported that none of the health disparities had improved or had been narrowed during the intervening years. By 2009, it was able to show that racial and ethnic disparities in the healthcare environment which may lead to worse healthcare were still rampant. Health and healthcare are influenced by race issues, but in America, they are also pervasively influenced by socioeconomic status, gender, region, place, and many other factors. Major assaults against group health and healthcare in the 21st century have included the 9–11 attacks, post-9/11 immigration policy changes, Hurricane Katrina, Guantanamo Bay detentions, and the AIDS epidemic. Issues of ethnicity and race have surfaced with heightened intensity in the aftermath of these tragedies, but tragically have also become far more complicated [7, 8].

Current Statistics

Hispanics, who now make up 19% of the US population, have a projected growth rate of 167%, compared to 7% for non-Hispanic whites. Diverse ethnic backgrounds bring cultural differences, and these inequities explain the persistence of health disparities in the US. In September 2013, 3.28 million (12.8%) Hispanics were not enrolled in health insurance, as well as 904,000 (12.5%) American Indians, 860,000 (9.1%) Pacific Islanders, 1.58 million (8.5%) multiracial groups, and 447,000 (7.2%) African Americans. Local studies of rural and local populations, poor access to care, and lack of rural physicians directly contribute to health disparity. Health outcomes are particularly poor for African American, Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander, and some Latino groups. Access barriers to health insurance coverage are particularly high for Latinos, unskilled, and less educated individuals. Among Medicaid populations, nonvoters report poorer health than voters, but have greater rates of unmet health needs. Transgender individuals face an elevated burden of unmet health care needs and health outcomes associated with a history of mistreatment. In Ohio, compared to a majority of non-Hispanic whites, lower quality of care is reported by Black/African Americans, Latino/Hispanic Americans, multiracial individuals, and Native Americans. Health risk factors are disproportionately prevalent among Latinos, African Americans, and American Indians: discrimination and self-reported health are associated with a history of suicidal ideation among sexual minority populations [9, 10].

Root Causes of Health Disparities

Health is vital for community vitality and quality of life, influencing public health initiatives aimed at improvement, especially in areas with health hazards, drug issues, violence, and limited health care access. Safety net institutions like health centers and faith-based clinics are crucial for neighborhood health efforts. However, local governance often struggles to manage external negative health influences. Public methods often engage only after issues arise, necessitating comprehensive community strategies to address long-term health neglect. Emphasizing civil liberties, public health should adopt a preventive, community-oriented model that could tackle pandemic diseases while addressing poverty and racism cost-effectively. This model encourages collaboration with experts from social work, youth, economic development, and education, broadening community engagement and resources. Communities require stability between outbreaks to empower residents and leaders in developing permanent strategies. Engaging residents promotes healing within neighborhoods, fostering renewed hope and local pride. Therefore, integrating health development with community initiatives offers unique opportunities for progress, addressing not only health but also education, welfare, and issues of inequity, making health development essential in combating social despair [11, 12].

Innovative Solutions

Health disparities due to race and ethnicity are strong contenders for domestic priorities and may potentially become stronger options for intervention. Many realize that without attendant leadership and a thoughtful framework, gains in public health might theoretically be made, but likely not be distributed equitably, resulting in greater health disparities. Without appropriate measures of accountability for this

situation, the chance of it being addressed may be slight. With this situation in mind, examination of the subject of equity and public health intervention is warranted, aimed at identifying opportunities and gaps in current knowledge. Spurred by frontline health disparities in access to health services, disparities in quality of care and its absence, and activity focused on a range of health care quality and patient safety initiatives, such as improving information technology or consumer medication safety, they examined leading efforts to address racial and ethnic health disparities across a range of specifications. The efforts described are not intended to be exhaustive, but are likely to be among the most important in the future. In terms of useful constructs about the health care delivery system that may be useful in assessing alternatives for improving equity in public health intervention, six constructs emerged. These include: a range of paradigms for intervention as defined by various levels of analysis; relevance to disparities and quality in the health care delivery system; substantial and eligible intervention options; the applicability of intervention options to health outcomes; the potential for adjustment by levels of government; and evidence of success or counterproductive effects. At the health system level, several possible intervention points are suggested to address health disparities, all of which fall into the category of curricular intervention. The curricular interventions, their reasons for selection, and whether they are within the purview of national agencies are discussed. In the first level of articulation, there is a fair degree of confidence about the effectiveness of approved and approved by others. There are several issues pertaining to this level that still need exploration [13, 14].

Case Studies

Experiences of Families with Children with Special Health Care Needs. Over the past decade, two studies have characterized the nature, extent, and health and health care implications of this problem for families raising children with special health care needs. The first study employed focus groups, interviews, and qualitative analyses to understand family experiences and perceptions regarding limitations in health and health care for CSHCN. A summary report and a series of quantitative reports from this study have been released. The second study surveyed families raising children with disabilities and chronic health conditions who were also eligible for Medicaid. A comprehensive report detailing family perspectives and experiences from this study will be released in 2008. Both studies highlight salient family perspectives on and experiences with health and health care, focusing on perceptions that identify where and why gaps currently exist. The survey results confirm the existence and pervasiveness of these unmet needs in the health and health care experiences of families raising CSHCN. Many families identified unmet need for health care services, specifically in the areas of access to specialty care, access to providers who accepted new patients, and access to coordination of care services. Additionally, large percentages of families raised concerns about some aspect of health care received in the past 12 months, with many citing specific problems with completeness of care, communication between providers, and accessibility to providers. The survey findings also confirm the degree to which these unmet needs and gaps in care lead to additional consequences and burdens for families [15, 16].

Role of Stakeholders

In recent years, various states, communities, and organizations have focused on improving health equity and addressing long-standing health disparities. At the July 2022 REC meeting, panelists shared innovative approaches to these disparities, with some concentrating on community-level initiatives utilizing new technologies. Others highlighted state or organizational strategies involving legislation and equity action frameworks to enhance policies influencing health equity. Massachusetts and its Department of Public Health exemplified how evidence can foster community-driven innovations, particularly during the visible systemic changes prompted by the COVID-19 pandemic. One initiative in Washington, DC, targeted the social isolation exacerbated by the pandemic, integrating evidence on health and the built environment and including historically marginalized perspectives. Initial discussions revealed frustration over the inability to develop supportive healthcare systems before the pandemic. A complex, innovative process among diverse stakeholders led to initiatives that could be quickly executed, positively impacting health equity. These initiatives included establishing a Health & Equity Action Academy for Congress members, launching a public-service announcement titled "Your Voice, Your Power," facilitating public discussions on community care, enhancing multi-state access to community health workers, and ensuring new digital contact tracing requirements include translation and community geography considerations [17, 18].

Challenges in Implementation

Health disparities highlight significant differences in disease incidence, health outcomes, and access to healthcare tied to social, economic, or environmental disadvantages, primarily driven by social determinants of health like education, income, and resource access. These fundamental non-medical causes lead to observable health disparities among various groups, affecting both the quality and length of life. It's important to clarify that these disparities are not meant to be derogatory to the affected populations. Globally, health disparities present pressing public health challenges, resulting in lower life expectancy and poorer overall health for certain groups. Stereotypes contribute to these issues, often viewed as common observations rather than questioned. Transforming these beliefs is vital for achieving health equity and equality. Addressing these challenges requires promoting pragmatism and optimism, fostering a hopeful outlook to drive positive community changes. Challenging stereotypes is also an opportunity for media and public figures to raise awareness. A compelling strategy could involve comparing global statistics on an extremely contagious disease with those of another, underscoring disparities that demand urgent action. Acknowledging and actively addressing poverty is essential, as it significantly correlates with health disparities and is an unacceptable reality needing mitigation [19, 20].

Future Directions

The question of how to eliminate population health disparities now and in the future is an area that demands a thoughtful response. Disparities do not develop overnight, nor do they vanish with the simple one-off application of a resource, regulation, or strategic intervention. Therefore, past experiences in eliminating population health disparity are examined through three lenses: historical elapsed time, historical barriers, and historical lessons. Evidence and insights from these areas suggest 'future directions' in the efforts to eliminate population health disparities through concerted and mutual cooperation nationally and globally. There is considerable consensus that health-related disparities, however defined, are a significant social ill, destructive of the life and welfare of individuals, families, and communities. One of the first overarching questions to consider in this arena is whether health-related disparities can be eliminated at all or whether they are as immutable as the human condition itself. Disparities can and should be eliminated as they relate to the development and maintenance of social conditions that moderate extreme and undesired differences. Disparities do not develop overnight, nor do they vanish with the simple one-off application of a resource, regulation, or strategic intervention. The first step is a full understanding of how the particular disparity of interest developed. How long has the disparity existed? What is its historical context? At what point in time, and how, did it widen, shrink, or otherwise change? Then the second step is to look carefully at how the particular disparity has been eliminated in the past. How long did this take, and what were the historical barriers and lessons learned? The third step is to consider how the insights from these two broad areas can be applied to the current situation. What ways seem to be most likely to succeed, and how can resources and cooperation be organized around the efficient and effective application of those methods? [21-25].

CONCLUSION

Persistent health disparities in the U.S. highlight deep-seated inequities rooted in systemic, social, and economic structures. While substantial efforts have been made to document and understand these disparities, eliminating them requires moving beyond traditional frameworks toward more innovative, community-driven, and cross-sectoral approaches. Integrating health equity into all policies, leveraging data for transparency, and strengthening cultural competence within the healthcare workforce are vital steps. Community involvement, technological innovation, and inclusive legislation offer promising paths forward. However, overcoming challenges in implementation demands accountability, sustained leadership, and a commitment to social justice. The advancement of health equity must become a national priority, transforming not just healthcare delivery but the systems that shape the very conditions of health.

REFERENCES

1. Zavala VA, Bracci PM, Carethers JM, Carvajal-Carmona L, Coggins NB, Cruz-Correa MR, Davis M, de Smith AJ, Dutil J, Figueiredo JC, Fox R. Cancer health disparities in racial/ethnic minorities in the United States. British journal of cancer. 2021 Jan 19;124(2):315-32. nature.com
2. Sutton MY, Anachebe NF, Lee R, Skanes H. Racial and ethnic disparities in reproductive health services and outcomes, 2020. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2021 Feb 1;137(2):225-33. lww.com

3. Wilder JM. The disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on racial and ethnic minorities in the United States. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021. nih.gov
4. Zahnd WE, Murphy C, Knoll M, Benavidez GA, Day KR, Ranganathan R, Luke P, Zgodic A, Shi K, Merrell MA, Crouch EL. The intersection of rural residence and minority race/ethnicity in cancer disparities in the United States. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021 Feb;18(4):1384. mdpi.com
5. Bränström R, Fellman D, Pachankis JE. Age-varying sexual orientation disparities in mental health, treatment utilization, and social stress: A population-based study. Psychology of sexual orientation and gender diversity. 2023 Dec;10(4):686. apa.org
6. Wittgens C, Fischer MM, Buspavanich P, Theobald S, Schweizer K, Trautmann S. Mental health in people with minority sexual orientations: A meta-analysis of population-based studies. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica. 2022 Apr;145(4):357-72. wiley.com
7. Reichman V, Brachio SS, Madu CR, Montoya-Williams D, Peña MM. Using rising tides to lift all boats: equity-focused quality improvement as a tool to reduce neonatal health disparities. InSeminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine 2021 Feb 1 (Vol. 26, No. 1, p. 101198). WB Saunders. nih.gov
8. Waite S, Scott J, Colombo D. Narrowing the gap: imaging disparities in radiology. Radiology. 2021. rsna.org
9. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Medical preparedness for bioterrorism and chemical warfare: A public health integration review. Medicine. 2025 May 2;104(18):e42289.
10. Riley AR, Chen YH, Matthay EC, Glymour MM, Torres JM, Fernandez A, Bibbins-Domingo K. Excess mortality among Latino people in California during the COVID-19 pandemic. SSM-population health. 2021 Sep 1;15:100860. sciencedirect.com
11. Marquez DX, Wilbur J, Hughes S, Wilson R, Buchner DM, Berbaum ML, McAuley E, Aguiñaga S, Balbim GM, Vásquez PM, Marques IG. BAILA: A randomized controlled trial of Latin dancing to increase physical activity in Spanish-speaking older Latinos. Annals of Behavioral Medicine. 2022 Dec 1;56(12):1231-43. nih.gov
12. G. Robinson R. Community Development Model for Public Health Applications: Overview of a Model to Eliminate Population Disparities. 2005. [PDF]
13. Maness LR, McCauley GA. Reducing health disparities through an education rich in cultural competence and service. Journal of Best Practices in Health Professions Diversity. 2020 Apr 1;13(1):66-77.
14. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
15. Khalil L, Leary M, Rouphael N, Ofotokun I, Rebolledo PA, Wiley Z. Racial and ethnic diversity in SARS-CoV-2 vaccine clinical trials conducted in the United States. Vaccines. 2022 Feb 14;10(2):290. mdpi.com
16. Kerrigan D, Mantsios A, Karver TS, Davis W, Taggart T, Calabrese SK, Mathews A, Robinson S, Ruffin R, Feaster-Bethea G, Quinteros-Grady L. Context and considerations for the development of community-informed health communication messaging to support equitable uptake of COVID-19 vaccines among communities of color in Washington, DC. Journal of racial and ethnic health disparities. 2023 Feb;10(1):395-409. springer.com
17. Chongthawonsatid S. Identification of unmet healthcare needs: A national survey in Thailand. Journal of Preventive Medicine and Public Health. 2021 Mar 4;54(2):129. nih.gov
18. Srinivasan S, Ekbladh A, Freedman B, Bhat A. Needs assessment in unmet healthcare and family support services: A survey of caregivers of children and youth with autism spectrum disorder in Delaware. Autism Research. 2021 Aug;14(8):1736-58. nih.gov
19. Brownson RC, Kumanyika SK, Kreuter MW, Haire-Joshu D. Implementation science should give higher priority to health equity. Implementation Science. 2021 Dec;16:1-6. springer.com

20. Nundy S, Cooper LA, Mate KS. The quintuple aim for health care improvement: a new imperative to advance health equity. Jama. 2022 Feb 8;327(6):521-2.
21. Braveman P. The social determinants of health and health disparities. Oxford University Press; 2023.
22. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. Medicine. 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279.
23. Schillinger D. Social determinants, health literacy, and disparities: intersections and controversies. HLRP: Health Literacy Research and Practice. 2021 Jul 1;5(3):e234-43.
24. Derrick GE, Chen PY, van Leeuwen T, Larivière V, Sugimoto CR. The relationship between parenting engagement and academic performance. Scientific Reports. 2022 Dec 24;12(1):22300. nature.com
25. Dorling D. Slowdown: the end of the great acceleration—and why it's good for the planet, the economy, and our lives. Yale University Press; 2020 Mar 31.

CITE AS: Omeye Francis I. (2025). Innovative Approaches to Addressing Health Disparities. Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 52-58. https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.5258