Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 44-51, 2025

ROJESR Publications Online ISSN: 1115-9790

https://rojournals.org/roj-engineering-and-scientific-research/ Print ISSN: 1115-6155

https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.4451

Innovations in Ergonomic Design: Enhancing Workplace Safety

Mugisha Emmanuel K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of technology and workplace dynamics necessitates the continuous development of ergonomic designs that prioritize worker health, safety, and productivity. This paper examines the historical development, core principles, and current innovations in ergonomic design, focusing on how tailored workstations and advanced tools such as 3D modeling, AI, and human-robot collaboration are shaping modern occupational health strategies. Through a multidisciplinary lens involving biomechanics, anthropometry, engineering, and psychology, the study assesses ergonomic interventions across industries and highlights their impact on mitigating musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) and enhancing workplace performance. By evaluating case studies, regulatory frameworks, and implementation challenges, the research underscores the need for inclusive and adaptive ergonomic solutions. Future directions emphasize digital ergonomics and cross-sector collaboration to proactively address risks in evolving work environments.

Keywords: Ergonomics, Workplace safety, Human-centered design, Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs), Anthropometry, 3D modeling, Digital ergonomics.

INTRODUCTION

A workstation is where individuals perform their tasks, comprising a desk, ergonomic chair, and equipment like a computer, telephone, and printer. Its design must suit individual needs; chair height should match femur height, while desk height should be 77% of knee or tibia height. Chairs should allow a torso lean of 20-30 degrees, with feet flat and thighs angled down. This study aims to design a chair and desk that fit targeted users, utilizing anthropometric measurements and 3D modeling to create, analyze, and compare different chair designs, such as those with or without armrests. Ergonomics seeks to enhance work efficiency and safety, minimizing harm and injuries at work. It identifies risk factors and recommends solutions through ergonomic assessments to evaluate workstation designs. Many employees report discomfort and pain in various body areas due to poorly designed work environments. Understanding relevant regulations and standards is crucial, as they help mitigate injury risks. Besides layout and equipment adjustment, awareness of ergonomic design and user interfaces is vital for preventing injuries. Comprehensive injury prevention plans, including training on standards and assessment tools, are essential to reducing job-related injuries effectively. [1, 2].

Historical Overview of Ergonomics

The subject of ergonomics has undergone considerable evolution over the past century in parallel with changes in workstation characteristics and standards. The increasing pace of technological development has indirectly affected ergonomics through the gradual transformation of the basic structure and

conditions of work. In 1907, the first ergonomic studies were conducted to understand how new work methods, combining shunting and loading processes, to improve work efficiency and performance. The enthusiastic interest in and groundbreaking results of these early studies paved the way toward modern work physiology and ergonomics, which evolved throughout the twentieth century amid rapid growth in industrial development and exploitation of industrial methods over ever wider areas of human activity. During this time, some of the earliest work about health hazards in Industry, safety, comfort, and productivity in Industry and Office work was completed using the principles of anthropometry, biomechanics, physiology, psychology, engineering, and systems design. The hybridisation of ergonomics, where a human-centered approach combined with automation, artificial intelligence, and virtual reality has a role to play in promoting ergonomics and related Health, Safety, and Environmental Principles on a wider platform, is a futuristic thought. As we look forward into the new century, there is great scope to anticipate new developments in ergonomics based primarily on leveraging digital technologies for the simultaneous convergence of physical and digital space [3, 4].

The Importance of Ergonomics in The Workplace

Human factors and ergonomics are a multidisciplinary field involving psychology, engineering, industrial design, graphic design, statistics, operations research, and anthropometry. It focuses on designing the workplace, equipment, and practices to fit the worker, enhancing interaction with products, systems, and environments. Ergonomics is categorized into physical, cognitive, and organizational ergonomics. Inadequate ergonomic interventions in manufacturing can lead to dysfunctional effects when workstation inputs poorly match worker attributes, causing hazards, poor health, and reduced productivity. Ergonomics, once known as human factors, aims to design systems and tools that suit their users. This concern evolved from efforts to optimize human performance, prioritizing people over machines. Work environments should enhance human productivity and creativity while accommodating individual capabilities and limitations. Despite technological advances in modern manufacturing that reduce manual handling and physical strain, workers still face discomfort from awkward postures due to increased tasks requiring manual documentation, illustrating ongoing ergonomic challenges [5, 6].

Key Principles of Ergonomic Design

In modern society, individuals must adapt to technology, yet it should cater to human needs. With our economy depending on technology and office work, ergonomics is crucial. Its goal is to enhance comfort, ease of use, and safety, helping to prevent or reduce work-related injuries. Ergonomic design focuses on human anatomy's capabilities and limitations, with six key principles applicable to any designer: (1) design for user size, considering height and weight variations; (2) design for user strength, ensuring users can operate products safely; (3) design for user perception, making visual displays perceivable; (4) simplify the operator control task, reducing workload and confusion; (5) design for compatibility, aligning human reactions with the design; and (6) design for user comfort, minimizing discomfort. These principles apply to computer workstations, display devices, and office layouts. On-the-job ergonomic evaluations are vital yet often challenging due to learning times, reporting inconsistencies, and limited resources. Quantifiable evaluations based on biomechanical measurements allow detailed comparisons of workstation effectiveness. This research aims to find solutions to ergonomic discomfort using personal computer workstations, enhancing end-users' comfort, productivity, and health [7, 8].

Current Trends in Ergonomic Innovations

The workplace is changing rapidly, with researchers developing tools to meet the demands of accelerated learning. Multiple displays are now used to enhance multitasking, while portable devices provide increased mobility. However, these innovations bring challenges related to work posture and biomechanics as new behaviors emerge with new devices. Various ergonomic solutions exist for computer workstations, but changing devices necessitate reevaluating design principles. Currently, research emphasizes qualitative insights into the use of these devices, lacking quantitative data on how their characteristics impact user tasks. As new ways to utilize portable devices arise, it's crucial to explore workstation designs that align with the evolving work philosophy associated with these devices. While ergonomic solutions for laptops have focused on design, they often overlook the compact nature of the devices in workstation setups. This indicates a need for workstation designs that reflect the shapes of emerging devices. Additionally, there is a research gap regarding writing input devices. While

movement-based inputs exist, finger input is intrinsically linked to the device, complicating ergonomic design. Alternative input devices could help alleviate wrist strain from finger use [9, 10].

Impact of Ergonomic Design on Employee Health

The International Labour Organization (ILO) estimates that 2.3 million people die annually due to occupational accidents and work-related diseases, equating to 6,300 fatalities daily. Over 34 million non-fatal injuries occur each year, leading to about four days of lost work per injured person. The global cost of these injuries and illnesses is estimated at 4% of the world's GDP, with an immeasurable hidden cost stemming from the suffering of workers and their families. Ergonomics, or human factors, involves adjusting work conditions to fit worker capabilities. Ergonomists and health professionals collaborate to assess and promote interventions focused on continuous improvement. The rise of information technology (IT) has transformed workplace dynamics, enhancing work distribution and quality of life. However, it can also negatively impact workers' health, as workplace stress leads to disability for millions. Rapid IT implementation often leaves insufficient time for adjustment, neglecting human factors, working conditions, and training, particularly regarding ergonomics. Effective training is essential to optimize new tools and work organization while considering psychosocial factors. Inclusivity in new work organizations for physically and intellectually challenged individuals is often lacking, which affects quality of life. Ergonomists traditionally collaborate with engineers and managers, but workplace changes must prioritize the human perspective. Such changes can provide opportunities but also pose risks, especially for individuals with disabilities. Identifying key risk factors and means for improvement must use a cooperative ergonomics model to ensure practical and efficient long-term solutions. Ergonomic guidelines suggest that typical executive workstations have a fixed surface and vertical monitors at ear level [11, 12].

Case Studies of Successful Ergonomic Implementations

Ergonomics is a science that encompasses the understanding of interactions among humans and other elements of a system and applies the knowledge to design to optimize human well-being and overall system performance. Ergonomics considered in design could be a robust tool to mitigate biomechanical factors such as excess load, high repetition, large range of motion, awkward posture and vibration in industries evaluated a review of ergonomics intervention in Indian metal-based industries. A case study of office workstation, as desk design or arrangement or accessories, has been undertaken to improve ergonomics amongst white collar jobs and in turn reduce Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSDs) in the neck, shoulder and fore-arm region after the intervention. Postural analysis was also done in terms of discomfort assessment and identification of workstation inadequacies through observation and interview. Results indicate that majority of the users at currently designed desk and chair system observe discomfort in neck region which eventually leads to MSDs if they remain unattended after a period of time [13, 14].

Challenges in Implementing Ergonomic Solutions

Most corporates globally are concerned about the health and safety of employees, focusing on workplace ergonomics to prevent Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSDs). Ergonomics audits are conducted across industries using various assessment tools. While these tools effectively identify and quantify ergonomic risks, they do not offer solutions for risk reduction. Therefore, it is crucial to develop an innovative Assessment and Design Tool to analyze and redesign ergonomic aspects to mitigate health and safety issues. Governments are also involved in implementing ergonomic guidelines across industries. In India, numerous sectors like Electrical, Electronics, Automobiles, Textiles, and Pharmaceuticals employ many unskilled workers, who are particularly vulnerable to MSDs, often due to workstation designs. Attention to workstation design is essential, requiring monitoring both at the design stage and during operations. Trade publications such as 'Design' and 'Product Design' support designers in various fields. The ergonomics group at IIT Kharagpur and Cognizant Technology Solutions has created a product called Work Design, which aids designers in evaluating workstation layouts. However, due to the limitations of current ergonomic tools, these designs have not been widely adopted in the market. The proposed invention is a tool that integrates CAD design inputs and early-stage enterprise modeling to assess the interaction of man and machine. This innovative methodology utilizes Instructional Design from the enterprise modeling phase to evaluate design fitness concerning M-work design, outputting a redesigned tool compliant with Microsoft Office applications [15, 16].

Future Directions in Ergonomic Research

This report highlights the need for ongoing research in emerging science and technology to address health and safety challenges in workplaces. While it cannot cover all aspects, it identifies critical areas for further investigation, particularly in automated systems, collaborative robotics, and artificial intelligence. Understanding the implications of technological advancements on workplace dynamics, job displacement, and safety is crucial. Research should focus on the design of automated systems, their impact on precision, latency, and health and safety factors. Additionally, explorations into human-robot collaboration regarding task allocation, training, and assessment are necessary. Monitoring technology raises concerns about behavioral surveillance, compliance, and societal risks, alongside exploring long-term exposure to low-level electromagnetic fields. Another focus is biotechnological advancements aimed at reducing chemical and biological risks. Lower priority yet relevant areas include nanotechnology and its occupational safety implications, as well as the risks posed by social media and automation in exacerbating workplace harassment and safety exposures. Bridging existing gaps in occupational safety knowledge, information, and services is essential, especially in our rapidly evolving, interconnected world. Collaborative efforts across disciplines and partnerships with health, education, civil society, and media are vital to effectively use knowledge and communicate safety insights [17, 18].

Regulatory Standards and Guidelines

Understanding the current state of regulatory standards and guidelines concerning ergonomics and human factors in workstation design and evaluation is the goal of this section. The Commission Internationale de Prévention de L'Ergonomie has defined socioeconomic guidelines for ergonomics and industrial human factors in the microscope-workstation design and evaluation. There are many economic implications in nearly all ergonomics cases that make headlines. Many complex, serious, sometimes fatal, unreported industrial mishaps in every nation are precursors to the headline mishaps. The newer microscopes, their accessories, and workstations can—and should—contribute significantly to eliminating risk and hazard. Standards and guidelines exist to assist engineers in design. Microscope workstations are poorer in both the analytic design process and regulations than the other non-occupational macro workstations. Human Factors and Ergonomics (HF/E) is a relatively young academic field in quantity but not in tenets. Also discussed are the standard and guideline issues specifically pertinent to the microscope-industry. Critically evaluating existing ergonomic standards and guidelines globally, and developing improved ones for microscope, discontinued, and newly introduced ergonomics guidelines, standards, and regulations for computer, sewing, hair-cutting, telephone, and other workstations are part of this effort. Another task is to analyze and categorize the human, practical, and socio-political factors that prevent or postpone needed change. The first implicit task is to collect, consolidate, and disseminate background information on ergonomics and its long-standing issues [19, 20].

Role of Employers in Promoting Ergonomics

Education of employees is an essential strategy in preventing occupational injuries. However, businesses often expect improvements in occupational injuries without employee training on ergonomics. Nevertheless, inadequate employee knowledge of ergonomics was found, indicating a gap in knowledge between employers and employees. Employment insecurity and ever-increasing workloads were often blamed for employee lack of awareness of ergonomics. On the employer's side, there was a lack of investment in ergonomics. Basic ergonomics principles were not taught during basic safety training. There was little follow-up from the employer in investigating complaints about tools, workloads, and workplace organization. Too much task rotation was causing eye strain for some employees. Protective eye goggles should be provided to such employees. A lack of communication channels and follow-up actions on the effectiveness of previous recommendations was also a problem. Top management's attitude was cautiously optimistic about applying ergonomic guidelines. More complaints about the tools are required to get them wisely replaced. Majority likes the way those tools are connected to the conveyor. The gripper of multi-roller tools is safer and flexibly designed. Task rotation was not liked since every job must be done by everyone. Sometimes they wished for different work to do. Task rotation causes some individuals to forget how to operate others, while others become stressed because they are not used to certain tasks. Participation of employees in investigations into workplace quality was reasonable. More peace was wanted in the workplace. Workers were annoyed when noise exceeded 70 dB and too much noise came from hammers banging onto steel, pneumatic valves, and tool compressors. Although window

opening was allowed, hot air from adjacent steel wok was annoying. Investments in air cooling and soundproofing were limited. More assistance from production planning was wanted since last-minute changes often created problems. Trust between various hierarchies had to be built slowly. Informal chats and team-building activities would help in regard. Crew leaders and management trust creation had to be planned ahead. Basic ergonomics knowledge might help employees understand workstation layout, tools, and task organization analysis better. It might also help them organize simple tools and measurements themselves. It was recommended that basic ergonomics education be planned along with future workstation renovations at long intervals [21, 22].

Training Programs for Ergonomic Awareness

The importance of ergonomic training programs for workers and supervisors is established, serving as a foundation for implementing an ergonomic program. Barriers to success, such as lack of management support, worker motivation, trainer knowledge, facilities, and logistics, can be addressed. Effective training contains essential components. Continuing education for workers and supervisors, along with awareness and refresher training for all employees, enhances long-term effectiveness. A case study on in-plan ergonomics training for assembly workers reveals improvements in knowledge, attitude, posture, and work practices. Various awareness and behavior changes post-training are highlighted, with noted enhancements in job evaluation and hazard control by supervisors. Follow-up evaluations were satisfactory. Worker-computer interactions studied before and after a seven-hour training showed significant positive changes in ergonomic awareness, although not sustained in later evaluations. Spot-checks tested training retention, and recommendations for designing VDT ergonomics training included. This paper reviews the importance and key ergonomic concepts in computer tasks, emphasizing posture and input devices. Understanding discomfort's magnitude and causes is crucial, as knowledge gaps contribute to MSDs. The importance of ergonomics in offices, literature on training effects, and research design are discussed. Results indicate that ergonomic training reduces discomfort while significantly improving awareness. Recommendations for enhancing training effectiveness are provided. In companies reliant on computers, ergonomics training is as essential as software/hardware training. Computer tasks often lead to discomfort and pain in various areas. Literature supports the connection between computer tasks and MSDs, with most users experiencing symptoms. This study proposes two hypotheses regarding ergonomic training programs for VDT sets [23, 24].

Employee Feedback and Ergonomic Design

The ergonomic risk assessment feedback system is designed to improve work posture evaluation in workplaces. It features a computerized system that displays current ergonomic risks for workers. This paper describes the creation of a logbook entry interface interacting with the feedback system. Testing on self-recorded working postures showed it is user-friendly, provides real-time feedback, and delivers understandable information about ergonomic risks. If implemented, this system may serve as a simple, cost-effective tool for enhancing ergonomic awareness among workers, potentially reducing risks of musculoskeletal disorders. The system's architecture includes a main server that accesses data from worker posture sensors and evaluates force-backed design (FBD) criteria. It can operate independently or connect to desktop systems. Additionally, a Biomechanical Assessment System with Real-time Biofeedback monitors on-body sensor data from a motion tracker. This standalone system alleviates network load, while analysis of reclining postures offers more credible sit/stand data. Although it does not create predictive ergonomics, it highlights trends in persistent awkward postures. Participant feedback indicates strong support for the system's ergonomic and biofeedback designs. As workplace monitoring becomes complex, industrial engineers strive to improve environments and worker behaviors. A working action catalogue standardizes monitoring procedures, helping to identify ergonomic postures. Originally collected self-recorded data on videotapes provided examples for training newcomers, but after three months, focus shifted to other priorities. Workers often adopted a mentality of “leaving it to industrial engineers" [25, 26].

The Role of Ergonomics in Remote Work

The trend of remote work offers advantages but also presents challenges, particularly regarding ergonomics, which is crucial to prevent long-term issues. Research shows that the organization of telework and the physical work environment directly affect comfort and health. Poor ergonomic conditions can cause discomfort, psychosocial stress, and health problems, negatively impacting

performance. Telework conditions can generate both ergonomic and psychosocial risks, widely acknowledged by safety practices, media, and health organizations. Ergonomic risks can result in cardiovascular issues, headaches, fatigue, and musculoskeletal problems in areas like the neck and back. Studies indicate that excessive typing, improper computer monitor placement, and using non-ergonomic furniture, such as laptops without proper setups, increase the risk of grievances. Incorrect posture is linked to pain, depending on home office setups. Using quality equipment at the right height can alleviate discomfort. Providing ergonomically designed and adjustable workstations, along with training for teleworkers, can further reduce discomfort. Research in teleworking typically focuses on evaluating remote work conditions through questionnaires, and measurement instrument validity is essential for reliable findings [27, 28].

Global Perspectives on Ergonomics

Ergonomics connects physical and cognitive work aspects with machine, tool, procedure, and environment design. Ergonomists must adopt a global perspective in knowledge generation and uptake, recognizing the responsibilities tied to knowledge globalization. A comprehensive understanding of material culture and qualitative research techniques is essential. Core ergonomics knowledge is viewed as partial, leading to global partnerships. Some ergonomics concepts are less applicable outside developed regions, and solutions involve collective political action, expert training, and citizen participation. Designing workplaces was driven by examining work processes and operators. While ergonomists have shifted focus from industrial engineers, workplace design remains narrowly defined. A broader interpretation incorporates physical, cognitive, social, organizational, and cultural design aspects. A proposed research agenda aims at exploring relationships among redesigned workplaces, productivity, and well-being. Structural workplace design should consider subsystems, inputs, outputs, and interventions. Research extending beyond ergonomics emphasizes knowledge co-production. Sustainability redesign must preserve the natural environment, sociocultural resources, and living conditions, highlighting ergonomics' crucial role. There is also a need to expand traditional analysis to include a socio-ecological perspective on sustainability across ecosystems and communities, addressing the complexities of sustainable relationships [29, 30].

CONCLUSION

Innovations in ergonomic design are transforming how workplaces approach safety, efficiency, and employee well-being. This research reveals those effective ergonomic interventions, rooted in anthropometric data, technological advancements, and regulatory compliance, significantly reduce physical strain and musculoskeletal disorders. However, implementation challenges persist, particularly in low-resource environments and rapidly changing work cultures. As digital transformation continues, integrating artificial intelligence, virtual simulation, and smart monitoring into ergonomic solutions offers a promising path forward. Success will depend on interdisciplinary collaboration, inclusive policy development, and continued research into emerging risks and technologies. Ultimately, a human-centered, proactive ergonomic strategy is not only a matter of compliance but a catalyst for sustainable productivity and workforce resilience.

REFERENCES

1. Adiga U. Enhancing occupational health and ergonomics for optimal workplace well-being: a review. International Journal of Chemical and Biochemical Sciences. 2023;24(4):157-64. iscientific.org
2. Odebiyi DO, Okafor UA. Musculoskeletal disorders, workplace ergonomics and injury prevention. InErgonomics-new insights 2023 Feb 8. IntechOpen.
3. Shan G. Exploring the intersection of equipment design and human physical ability: Leveraging biomechanics, ergonomics/anthropometry, and wearable technology for enhancing human physical performance. Advanced Design Research. 2023 Jun 1;1(1):7-11.
4. Autsadee Y, Jeevan J, Othman MR, Salleh NH. Evolution and current trends of human resource development in the maritime industry through performance analysis and science mapping procedures. Journal of Maritime Research. 2023 Aug 25;20(2):148-61. academia.edu
5. Gani AZ, Zamberi MM, Teni MH. A review of ergonomics towards productivity. Int. J. Sup. Chain. Mgt. 2018 Aug;7(4):306-11.

6. Hedge A. Ergonomic workplace design for health, wellness, and productivity. CRC Press; 2016 Aug 5.
7. Lin MY. Moving Away From the Traditional Desktop Computer Workstations: Identifying Opportunities to Improve Upper Extremity Biomechanics. Harvard University; 2015.
8. Mgbemena CE, Oyekan J, Hutabarat W, Xu Y, Tiwari A. Design and implementation of ergonomic risk assessment feedback system for improved work posture assessment. Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science. 2018 Jul 4;19(4):431-55.
9. Ghoulam K, Bouikhalene B. Exploring the Impact of Mobile Devices in E-Learning: A case Study Evaluating its Effectiveness. Educational Challenges. 2024 Oct 23;29(2). educationalchallenges.org.ua
10. Ghoulam K, Bouikhalene B, Babori A, Falih N. Exploring the impact of mobile devices in electronics e-learning: A case study evaluating the effectiveness of mobile learning applications in the field of electronics and sensors. Advances in Mobile Learning Educational Research. 2024 Jun 14;4(2):1058-72. syncsci.com
11. Teixeira LR, Pega F, de Abreu W, de Almeida MS, de Andrade CA, Azevedo TM, Dzhambov AM, Hu W, Macedo MR, Martinez-Silveira MS, Sun X. The prevalence of occupational exposure to noise: A systematic review and meta-analysis from the WHO/ILO Joint Estimates of the Work-related Burden of Disease and Injury. Environment international. 2021 Sep 1;154:106380. sciencedirect.com
12. Paguay M, Febres JD, Valarezo E. Occupational accidents in Ecuador: an approach from the construction and manufacturing industries. Sustainability. 2023 Aug 21;15(16):12661.
13. Mota FG, Araújo LB, de Oliveira Castro A. A Importância da Ergonomia na Prevenção de Acidentes de Trabalho e seu Impacto na Economia e Produtividade. ITEGAM-JETIA. 2019 Sep 6;5(19):156-62.
14. Zadem A, Chettouh S, Saadi S. The Role of Ergonomics and Workplace Design in Enhancing Well-being at Work. والأرغ نوم يا الوق ايا ة. 2024 Dec 27;18(3):42-56.
15. Gangopadhyay S, Dev S. Design and evaluation of ergonomic interventions for the prevention of musculoskeletal disorders in India. Annals of occupational and environmental medicine. 2014 Dec;26:1-6.
16. Choi TY, Liker JK. Institutional conformity and technology implementation: A process model of ergonomics dissemination. Journal of Engineering and Technology Management. 1992 Jun 1;9(2):155-95.
17. AlMarri M, Al-Ali M, Alzarooni M, AlTeneiji A, Al-Ali K, Bahroun Z. Enterprise Resource Planning Systems for Health, Safety, and Environment Management: Analyzing Critical Success Factors. Sustainability. 2025 Mar 26;17(7):2947. mdpi.com
18. Niehaus S, Hartwig M, Rosen PH, Wischniewski S. An occupational safety and health perspective on human in control and AI. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence. 2022 Jul 6;5:868382. frontiersin.org
19. Prost M, Le Bail C, Lassalle J, Chizallet M, Boudra L, Guibourdenche J, Bourmaud G, Rétaux X. Ergonomics for sustainable development: issues, models and practices from the historical analysis of the ARPEGE's French commitee Design for Sustainable Development. InProceedings of the European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics 2024 2024 Oct 8 (pp. 1-8). [HTML]
20. Horina JL, Kovačević D, Lulić TJ, Lovrenić-Jugović M, editors. Proceedings of the 10th International Ergonomics Conference: ERGONOMICS 2024. Springer Nature; 2025 Apr 26.
21. Bęś P, Strzałkowski P. Analysis of the effectiveness of safety training methods. Sustainability. 2024 Mar 26;16(7):2732.
22. Chatigny C. Occupational health and safety in initial vocational training: Reflection on the issues of prescription and integration in teaching and learning activities. Safety science. 2022 Mar 1;147:105580.
23. Hasani MH, Hoe VC, Aghamohammadi N, Chinna K. The role of active ergonomic training intervention on upper limb musculoskeletal pain and discomfort: a cluster randomized controlled trial. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics. 2022 Mar 1;88:103275. [HTML]

24. Sohrabi MS, Babamiri M. Effectiveness of an ergonomics training program on musculoskeletal disorders, job stress, quality of work-life and productivity in office workers: a quasi-randomized control trial study. International Journal of Occupational safety and ergonomics. 2022 Jul 3;28(3):1664-71. researchgate.net
25. Andersson M, Gunnarsson K, Rosèn G, Åberg MM. Knowledge and experiences of risks among pupils in vocational education. Safety and health at work. 2014 Sep 1;5(3):140-6.
26. Ispăşoiu A, Milosan I, Gabor C. Improving Workplace Safety and Health Through a Rapid Ergonomic Risk Assessment Methodology Enhanced by an Artificial Intelligence System. Applied System Innovation. 2024 Oct 28;7(6):103.
27. Adams R, Nino V. Work-related psychosocial factors and their effects on mental workload perception and body postures. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2024 Jul 4;21(7):876. nih.gov
28. López-González MJ, González-Menéndez E, González S, Torrano F. Study of the interrelationships between musculoskeletal disorders and psychosocial risk factors in occupational health and safety technicians. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics. 2022 Jul 3;28(3):1502-10. unir.net
29. Trstenjak M, Benešova A, Opetuk T, Cajner H. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Industry 5.0—A Systematic Literature Review. Applied Sciences. 2025 Feb 17;15(4):2123.
30. Hasanain B. The role of ergonomic and human factors in sustainable manufacturing: A review. Machines. 2024 Feb 26;12(3):159.

CITE AS: Mugisha Emmanuel K. (2025). Innovations in Ergonomic Design: Enhancing Workplace Safety. **Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 44-51. https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.4451**