Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 23-28, 2025

ROJESR Publications Online ISSN: 1115-9790

https://rojournals.org/roj-engineering-and-scientific-research/ Print ISSN: 1115-6155

https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.2328

Crisis Response: Engineering Health Solutions in Emergencies

Abdullahi Abdirahim Bashiir

Faculty of Engineering Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Health crises, including natural disasters, infectious disease outbreaks, and conflict-related emergencies, present complex challenges requiring rapid, efficient, and adaptive responses. This paper examines how engineering plays a pivotal role in enhancing health outcomes during emergencies through the development of crisis response systems, resource allocation models, and adaptive medical technologies. It outlines the phases of emergency response management—detection, decision-making, resource mobilization, and action—and highlights innovative engineering frameworks that strengthen public health infrastructure. Case studies such as AMPATH in Kenya demonstrate how adaptable systems can sustain care delivery in politically unstable environments. The paper also investigates the challenges of delivering point-of-care solutions in displacement settings and the essential role of technology and community engagement. It emphasizes the need for cross-sector collaboration, policy reforms, and the integration of human-centered design to improve responsiveness and resilience. Ultimately, this research aims to guide future efforts in building sustainable, inclusive, and technologically informed crisis response strategies that minimize morbidity and mortality during health emergencies.

Keywords: Health emergency response, Crisis engineering, Emergency health systems, Public health resilience, Disaster preparedness, Community-based solutions, Biomedical innovation.

INTRODUCTION

Emergency response to sudden-onset incidents such as accidents, crimes, and fires is a major problem faced by communities. Timely information must be communicated to the appropriate first responders and acted upon in a timely manner to minimize the impact of the incident. Emergency response management comprises several stages: detection, prediction, resource allocation, dispatch, and response. At each stage, some sub-problems need to be tackled. For example, detecting a fire incident could involve monitoring for smoke, heat, or flame. Once a possible fire incident is detected, a decision must be made regarding the nearest resources that should be allocated to the incident to minimize its expected impact, which may involve determining which fire engines, ambulances, etc., should be dispatched to the incident's location and which route they should take. The goal of this set of problems is to create efficient emergency response management (ERM) pipelines. Once the incident has occurred, the focus is on controlling the ongoing incident. Response to incidents that occur in the community, such as disease outbreaks, is a critical problem faced by public health organizations. In particular, public health organizations continuously work on detecting infectious disease outbreaks early, so that a swift response can be initiated, which identifies cases and their contacts and mitigates further transmission. Entire pipelines must be designed that consist of all the necessary components that must be executed in order to make an effective response to incidents that occur in the community. Each component of the pipeline consists of a sequence of steps that must be taken in order to achieve some internal objective [1, 2].

Understanding Health Emergencies

Health emergencies present significant risks to public health, disrupting health services and necessitating urgent action. Such events include infectious disease outbreaks and disasters that cause injuries, trauma, or damage to health infrastructure, as well as consequences of contamination. Health emergencies stem from various factors, requiring preparedness from governments, international organizations, and NGOs across prevention, response, and recovery efforts. Despite planning, these emergencies can strike unexpectedly, complicating detection and response efforts. Humanitarian crises, often complex emergencies with overlapping health needs, arise in situations of existing stressors like poverty and conflict, exacerbated by events such as civil unrest or epidemics. Compound health emergencies occur when multiple triggers affect vulnerabilities in specific populations, leading to rapid systemic failures and widespread health impacts. Responses must evolve swiftly, addressing needs before, during, and after the emergency [3, 4].

Engineering Solutions for Health Emergencies

Every year, disasters injure, kill, and traumatize millions globally. Current health emergency responses are slow and ineffective. The International Community aims to enhance resilience to disasters, recognizing the need for better preparation and mitigation of health impacts. Effective responses depend on engineering systems to deliver health resources rapidly and reliably. Innovation is crucial for developing a new generation of health emergency solutions, necessitating engineering models and tools. These include mathematical models for reproductive health, weapon exposure, psychological aid, low-cost vaccines, and efficient delivery systems for pharmaceuticals amidst changing disaster scenarios. Addressing these challenges can improve life quality by empowering relief communities to reduce suffering from disasters. Health hazards vary, but effective resolution requires engineering solutions for unstable conditions. These situations hinder the creation of effective, on-site responses, though adaptation of established systems may provide temporary relief. Swift delivery of diverse health resources is crucial to meet unpredictable demands. However, the international disaster response infrastructure is complex, often delaying the mobilization of necessary resources. Currently, it takes an average of 1 day to activate resources post-disaster, by which emergency services are overwhelmed, leading to preventable suffering and mortality. Applying engineering systems modeling and simulation can help understand health patterns in post-disaster communities and improve response times significantly [5, 6].

Case Studies of Successful Interventions

In 2007, violence following the Kenyan presidential election displaced many and disrupted community life. An analysis of the Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare (AMPATH) response found that care delivery disruptions were minimized, prioritizing patient and staff safety. Care delivery procedures were adjusted to the new environment, offering insights into maintaining HIV care during crises. The challenges of providing antiretroviral therapy (ART) in resource-limited settings are intensified by political instability. A case study on AMPATH outlined valuable lessons for ART programs in unstable regions. Following the election of a new president, violence erupted based on ethnic and political lines, creating uncertainty. Creative responses minimized disruptions to ART delivery, prioritizing safety and communication to ensure ongoing care for patients. Resources were rapidly mobilized to assess risks and facilitate care, with mechanisms for decision-making established amid chaos. Ongoing political disputes post-violence continued to challenge care delivery, but previously established procedures allowed flexible adaptation to new issues [7, 8].

Challenges in Crisis Response Engineering

While engineers have made tangible contributions to improving health care delivery after natural disasters, training programs for engineering students at universities have not acknowledged the unique scenarios and solutions applicable to displacement situations, nor have they engaged humanitarian organizations in collaborative work leading to the invention of such solutions. Biomedical engineering solutions at the point of care are especially needed to improve access to basic health services in low-resource environments where essential equipment and supplies are unreliable or nonexistent. Adequate solutions exist for addressing population health issues in low-resource contexts, such as the work of the engineering teams at the point of care, delivering sustainable and simple solutions for basic essential health care. However, effective solutions can be much more comprehensive, given the needs, nuances, and realities of health care delivery in refugee settlements. Implementing point-of-care solutions is especially relevant as a means to address health issues in a camp environment that may lack basic health services or to optimize health service understanding for both engineers and humanitarian organizations. As with any very low-resource context, a comprehensive understanding of the ecosystem is required in order to generate impactful technologies. Such a partnership should have three main components: an awareness campaign among students and professionals in the engineering community regarding the dire and unique scenarios faced by displaced populations, an invitation for cross-sector partnerships with organizations and universities actively working in displacement-related situations, and a two-way channel of communication through which frontline health care workers can express their needs and in which undergraduate students can collaborate with organizations [9, 10].

Frameworks For Effective Crisis Management

Both a competing workflow pipeline and a decision support system served as proof-of-concept demonstrations of the proposed complexity-based disruptive supply chain design framework. Using an industrial case study, multiple rewiring scenarios were proposed as complexity-based supply chain design strategies to demonstrate the benefits of the framework. These scenarios were converted into a general scheduling optimization problem. A competing workflow pipeline comprising the supply chain design model, complex network analysis, optimization algorithms, and decision tools was constructed. A decision support system implemented a key workflow pipeline. The frameworks, together with their modelling, computational, and visualization tools, are expected to support complex supply chain design of response strategies to mitigate the impact of disasters. Regardless of type and context, natural hazards have global, serious, and long-lasting impacts on the lives and resources of affected communities. Damage to social and economic systems and disruption of critical services are the principal results in a disaster scenario. It is imperative that communities implement effective crisis management strategies to sustain these critical services. The urgent need to manage socioeconomic contention in and vulnerability to disasters calls for a paradigm shift in crisis management. Building resilience of critical infrastructure systems and services can prevent emergencies, mitigate disaster impacts, and accelerate recovery. Specifically, state-of-the-art design paradigms for engineering resilience-enhancing CCIS, including service network design with reliability and scalability considerations, operations and reconfiguration of MRE-enabled social networks, and routing and scheduling of operational vehicles, will be presented. Natural hazards are ubiquitous and lethal to human life if alarms and responses are not timely. If their occurrence is predictable, outbreak prevention is the most effective strategy to avoid disasters; otherwise, testing, tracking, and quarantine are the immediate responses to an epidemic outbreak. Containment of hazardous materials and surrounding of targets are effective ranges of response in catastrophic incidents and terror attacks, respectively [11, 12].

Role of Technology in Health Solutions

Treatment of diseases in forced migration and humanitarian settings has prompted low-cost health technology development, highlighting urgent challenges. However, it overlooks deaths and disabilities arising when common acute diseases are absent. During forced migration, healthcare access diminishes: babies are born without care, the sick struggle to get treatment, towns face vaccine shortages, and HIV testing and treatment are neglected. Various chronic diseases can emerge from conditions like the tent and the smell. In the last Iraq war, healthcare utilization fell, leading to increased fetal and child mortality, maternal deaths, and birth defects. The unique health needs of displaced populations remain underexplored, necessitating attention from biomedical engineering researchers. This paper outlines critical health outcomes, where innovation may thrive, potential adverse pathways, and discovery opportunities to enhance health conditions in inaccessible areas. Existing devices often fall short, requiring widespread technologies and sustained funding. Additionally, visualizing health is vital where it is lacking. Health assessments, informatics, and data systems can mobilize resources effectively. This approach highlights how ambiguous health outcomes can be mapped locally or globally. Most digital platforms fail to utilize simple graphic displays or user-generated maps for advocacy, while risk communication tools could enhance health need identification. The need for adaptable data systems is essential, along with a catalogue to assess devices across healthcare systems. Routine data could reveal diagnostic suggestions and facilitate automated reporting for transparency and reproducibility [13, 14].

Community Engagement and Health Solutions

People around the world are increasingly experiencing varied and multiple health shocks, which have a critical impact on people's lives, livelihoods, and well-being. Climate change and environmental degradation have been shown to be linked to outbreaks of zoonotic diseases. These challenges lead to serious and ongoing uncertainty for many populations who face the prospect of ongoing poverty and multiple health shocks, such as deadly epidemics, floods, and landslides. When faced with a health-related shock or crisis, the first responders are usually those who are immediately affected, including both community members and local frontline health staff. Because community members often have detailed practical knowledge about their environment, they are also often effective first responders and play a critical role in creating viable and effective solutions to health crises. Researchers have shown the importance of engaging frontline personnel to effectively respond to a crisis. There is evidence showing that engaging local communities in the response is an effective way to positively respond to health emergencies. These studies emphasize that a community should be taken as a genuine partner and involved at all levels of the response, starting from initial planning. Most importantly, this partnership should be established well ahead of the crisis to build trust and ownership. There is diversity in documented approaches used to engage communities in responding to health shocks across different contexts and types of communities. An important way to expand upon the core thesis is to consider factors that affect how well a community presents or can present an effective health shock response [15, 16].

Policy Implications and Recommendations

Governments, health authorities, and humanitarian organizations have vital roles in responding to infectious disease outbreaks. Despite clear methods for effective resource use, governments often struggle with early outbreak detection, leading to well-established diseases before they are recognized. Engineers typically contribute standard solutions tailored to local contexts within health care systems. Governments and NGOs may respond by providing goods, yet these responses often fail to align with how diseases spread, causing outbreaks to cross local borders. While local treatment capacity exists, diseases frequently migrate to less-prepared areas. Local governments often lack adequate response capabilities, requiring health authorities to look internationally for pandemic preparation, as evidenced during the flu season of 2009. While modeling, data collection, and transmission understanding span various disciplines, knowledge is siloed among epidemiologists, engineers, social scientists, and mathematicians, limiting collaboration. Engineers, trained to analyze complex systems, acquire diverse domain knowledge, and build models of intricate systems, have created frameworks to track infectious disease progression. They have designed data acquisition methods and concepts for tracking outbreaks over time, ensuring broader accessibility to critical information [17, 18].

Future Directions in Crisis Response Engineering

Crisis response is fast-paced, but human acceptance of extraordinary events is often slow, leading to complications and uncontrolled actions. Governments, global organizations, and emergency response teams face challenges due to the unpredictable nature of disasters. Human factors professionals should create collaborative environments that foster empathy, anticipation, understanding, and responsiveness. Future research on strategic impact analysis will assist decision-makers in recognizing potential consequences. These individuals must possess deep knowledge of emergencies and sensitivity to human issues. Design discussions often raise “what-happened” questions, while engineers focus on creating life-saving tools. Designers also aim to impact, employing optimized methods. Technicians may understand little about post-disaster sequences or the effectiveness of urgent-response tools, leading to uncertainty in action. Conversely, designers work to enhance lives and advance civilization. There is a significant gap in research regarding product user experience and toolbox performance analysis, which is essential for improvement and understanding user issues. Filling this research gap is crucial for both creators and emergency researchers, particularly in validating professional issues during disasters. Supply informatics emerges as a method for intelligent decision-making and coordination. Disasters yield complex and dynamic information, yet human understanding and action remain static [19, 20].

Ethical Considerations in Crisis Engineering

As contemporary crises grow in speed and severity, our response systems and health solutions must adapt to effectively address emergencies like the COVID-19 pandemic, poisoning incidents, natural disasters, or war-related crises. Effective crisis management is essential, focusing on the stages of preparedness, response, and recovery. Despite advanced response systems, many objectives can be overlooked. The evolving consequences of a health crisis require ongoing adjustments to plans and implementation. Data generated during responses should be integrated into comprehensive crisis representations, ideally using decision-support systems. Understanding the health crisis's state is fundamental, as crises progress through predictable phases, influencing various systems from social to physical. Accurate engineering of the systems requires expert involvement and a robust governance structure to mitigate moral hazards. Health crisis modeling consists of chronological models, causal models, ontologies, simulation techniques, and decision theory, addressing what information is crucial for mitigation and recovery actions. A broad societal approach is necessary for emergency solutions, coordinating diverse responses. Incorporating qualitative linguistic knowledge for public perception insight involves automation. Knowledge narratives express emotions, modeled with fuzzy techniques and machine learning applied to social media content to identify narratives and sentiments, alongside event-based simulations. These methods culminate in a demonstrator platform for monitoring and forecasting societal crisis states [21, 22].

CONCLUSION

Health emergencies, whether triggered by disease, disaster, or conflict, demand coordinated, innovative, and timely responses. Engineering offers critical tools and models for enhancing the speed, accuracy, and effectiveness of these responses, particularly in resource-constrained or unstable environments. The development and application of technological systems, from point-of-care diagnostics to supply chain optimization, enable targeted and scalable interventions. Case studies reinforce that adaptable, locally engaged frameworks yield the most sustainable outcomes. However, to fully realize the potential of engineering in health emergencies, interdisciplinary collaboration, policy innovation, and a sustained commitment to community involvement are imperative. Future advancements must prioritize empathy, efficiency, and equity, ensuring that health systems are not only reactive but also resilient and inclusive in the face of emerging global crises.

REFERENCES

1. Kyrkou C, Kolios P, Theocharides T, Polycarpou M. Machine learning for emergency management: A survey and future outlook. Proceedings of the IEEE. 2022 Dec 9;111(1):19-41. google.com
2. Feng Y, Cui S. A review of emergency response in disasters: present and future perspectives. Natural hazards. 2021 Jan;105:1109-38.
3. Quinn JM, Jigar T, Reinwald M, Annan PS, Aapore T, Wilson JM, Bourdeaux ME, Ulrichs T, Bricknell MC, Moore A, Goebbels S. Comprehensive medical support in complex emergencies (CMSCE): pilot course review. Globalization and Health. 2022 Apr 12;18(1):39.
4. T. Boyd A, T. Cookson S, Anderson M, O. Bilukha O et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Public Health Response to Humanitarian Emergencies, 2007–2016. 2017. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
5. Parker CF, Stern EK. The trump administration and the COVID-19 crisis: exploring the warning-response problems and missed opportunities of a public health emergency. Public Administration. 2022 Sep;100(3):616-32.
6. Md Hamzah N, Yu MM, See KF. Assessing the efficiency of Malaysia health system in COVID-19 prevention and treatment response. Health care management science. 2021 Jun;24:273-85.
7. Goodrich S, Ndege S, Kimaiyo S, Some H, Wachira J, Braitstein P, Sidle JE, Sitienei J, Owino R, Chesoli C, Gichunge C. Delivery of HIV care during the 2007 post-election crisis in Kenya: a case study analyzing the response of the Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare (AMPATH) program. Conflict and health. 2013 Dec;7:1-2.
8. Gerdin M, Clarke M, Allen C, Kayabu B, Summerskill W, Devane D, MacLachlan M, Spiegel P, Ghosh A, Zachariah R, Gupta S. Optimal evidence in difficult settings: improving health interventions and decision making in disasters. PLoS medicine. 2014 Apr 22;11(4):e1001632.
9. Albahri AS, Khaleel YL, Habeeb MA, Ismael RD, Hameed QA, Deveci M, Homod RZ, Albahri OS, Alamoodi AH, Alzubaidi L. A systematic review of trustworthy artificial intelligence applications in natural disasters. Computers and Electrical Engineering. 2024 Sep 1;118:109409. sciencedirect.com
10. Boakye J, Guidotti R, Gardoni P, Murphy C. The role of transportation infrastructure on the impact of natural hazards on communities. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2022 Mar 1;219:108184. sciencedirect.com
11. Ma C, Qirui C, Lv Y. "One community at a time": promoting community resilience in the face of natural hazards and public health challenges. BMC Public Health. 2023 Dec 14;23(1):2510.

12. Mavrouli M, Mavroulis S, Lekkas E, Tsakris A. The impact of earthquakes on public health: A narrative review of infectious diseases in the post-disaster period aiming to disaster risk reduction. Microorganisms. 2023 Feb 7;11(2):419.
13. Zambrano-Barragán P, Hernández SR, Freier LF, Luzes M, Sobczyk R, Rodríguez A, Beach C. The impact of COVID-19 on Venezuelan migrants' access to health: A qualitative study in Colombian and Peruvian cities. Journal of Migration and Health. 2021 Jan 1;3:100029. sciencedirect.com
14. Khatri RB, Assefa Y. Access to health services among culturally and linguistically diverse populations in the Australian universal health care system: issues and challenges. BMC public health. 2022. springer.com
15. Loewenson R, Colvin CJ, Szabzon F, Das S, Khanna R, Coelho VS, Gansane Z, Yao S, Asibu WD, Rome N, Nolan E. Beyond command and control: a rapid review of meaningful community-engaged responses to COVID-19. Global Public Health. 2021 Sep 2;16(8-9):1439-53. tandfonline.com
16. Corbin JH, Oyene UE, Manoncourt E, Onya H, Kwamboka M, Amuyunzu-Nyamongo M, Sørensen K, Mweemba O, Barry MM, Munodawafa D, Bayugo YV. A health promotion approach to emergency management: effective community engagement strategies from five cases. Health promotion international. 2021 Dec 1;36(Supplement_1):i24-38. oup.com
17. Wang J, Shi E, Yu S, Wu Z, Ma C, Dai H, Yang Q, Kang Y, Wu J, Hu H, Yue C. Prompt engineering for healthcare: Methodologies and applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14670. 2023 Apr 28.
18. Zangana HM, Abdulazeez AM. Developed clustering algorithms for engineering applications: A review. International Journal of Informatics, Information System and Computer Engineering (INJIISCOM). 2023 Dec 13;4(2):160-82. unikom.ac.id
19. Liao QV, Subramonyam H, Wang J, Wortman Vaughan J. Designerly understanding: Information needs for model transparency to support design ideation for AI-powered user experience. InProceedings of the 2023 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems 2023 Apr 19 (pp. 1-21). [PDF]
20. Abuaddous HY, Saleh AM, Enaizan O, Ghabban F, Al-Badareen AB. Automated User Experience (UX) Testing for Mobile Application: Strengths and Limitations. International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies. 2022 Feb 15;16(4). researchgate.net
21. Karadag CO, Hakan AK. Ethical dilemmas in disaster medicine. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal. 2012 Oct 30;14(10):602.
22. Cuthbertson J, Penney G. Ethical decision making in disaster and emergency management: a systematic review of the literature. Prehospital and disaster medicine. 2023 Oct;38(5):622-7.

CITE AS: Abdullahi Abdirahim Bashiir (2025). Crisis Response: Engineering Health Solutions in Emergencies. Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 23-28. https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.2328