The Impact of Telecommunication Technologies on Rural Development

Kamanzi Ntakirutimana G.

School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the multifaceted impact of telecommunication technologies on rural development, emphasizing the interplay between access, usage, infrastructure, and socio-economic conditions. While information and communication technologies (ICTs) such as mobile phones and internet-based services offer significant opportunities to empower rural populations, disparities in access and digital literacy persist. The research highlights that technology alone is insufficient for development unless supported by conducive local conditions and integrated policy efforts. Through historical context, analysis of rural ICT infrastructure, and case studies from regions such as South Africa, Cameroon, and India, the paper uncovers both the promise and limitations of telecommunications in addressing rural challenges. It also evaluates the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic in accelerating the digital shift and underscores the role of governments and community-led initiatives in fostering sustainable, inclusive growth. The study concludes that telecommunication technologies should be embedded within a broader rural development strategy that combines infrastructure investment, community engagement, and policy innovation to ensure equitable development.

Keywords: Rural Development, Telecommunication Technologies, ICT Access, Digital Divide, Community Networks, Socio-Economic Empowerment, Infrastructure Development.

INTRODUCTION

The increasing accessibility of telecommunication technologies such as mobile phones has improved individuals' access to information around the world. Similarly, the rapid development of information and communication technology (ICT) devices and services empowers national economies by contributing to growth in gross domestic product (GDP). However, some regions in the world remain ineffective in reaping the benefits of telecommunication technologies. Rural areas, on average, lag behind, while rural disadvantaged people are further marginalised. Telecommunication systems have frequently been developed to cover urban environments first, leaving rural communities with little or no access to telecommunication systems at all. The growing awareness of the necessity of telecommunication systems in rural areas has led local government agencies to invest resources in developing telecommunication infrastructures in those areas. However, most projects of this kind have been costly and do not yield the anticipated results. Rural people generally avoid using previously inaccessible ICT services, and the equipment is underused. Rural development comes from within (flourishing internal economy), while telecommunication systems are exogenous. This has led to the conclusion that telecommunication systems are meaningless without friendly conditions that promote rural economic activities. Telecommunication technologies such as mobile phones and radio services seem to improve rural people's access to information and thus empower their daily lives. But since there is no guarantee of positive contributions of technology to development, telecommunication technologies, on the contrary, may hinder socio-economic rights or deepen divides between information haves and have-nots.

Telecommunication systems provide only an environment where development potential is available. The real development task is to make the environment conducive to telecommunication applications that can yield positive contributions to rural development [1, 2].

Overview of Telecommunication Technologies

Telecommunication is broadly defined as the science, art, and practice of transmitting information by electromagnetic signals. Telecommunication technologies are devices that facilitate the conversation between individuals via telephones or mobile phones operating through land-based centers and satellites. Telecommunication technologies are technology systems or instruments that convey, transfer, and access computers and information by means of a variety of telecommunication media, such as communication networks. Telecommunication technologies comprise human inventions that make it easy for people to send and receive information at a distance by electrical means. This means of interaction is broadly defined as telecommunication. It encompasses a growing range of technologies. The modern age relies on diverse information/communication technologies (ICT) networked in such a way that it facilitates rapid information flow and transformation of societies. Globalization was first ushered into the world with the Industrial Revolution and mass media technologies. The founding of modern telecommunication technologies dates back a long way. Technologies such as the magnetic wires, the telephone, wireless telegraphy, radio broadcasting, cable/terrestrial television, and satellites made the world smaller by dwarfing both space and time. With the assistance of the telecommunication technologies, it has become possible for atomized societies located at diverse Geographic/ethnic locations to be bonded. People are now in the Information Age, where they are crowded together by this floating information and wave of telecommunication technologies. In other words, telecommunication technologies have changed the ways people have normally been brought together. Along with these advancements, communities are transforming their economic form, using new ways of production which has entirely depended on information development. More specifically, this means that third and fourth social groups, namely information intermediaries and information aristocrats, have sprung up. Emerging systems of information and telecommunication technologies often start with local, cheap re-formats of foreign systems. As a direct result, the newly formed communities have little or no power at all in the development of this information-based global world. Then there is a great power shift in the manner discussed above at the introduction: it is information decolonization [3, 4].

Historical Context of Rural Development

The traditional rural development processes began changing in the 1980s due to urgent issues in lagging EU regions, worsened by the transition following the 1989 collapse of the state socialist system. The challenge of improving economic and social development, along with increasing focus on employment opportunities, infrastructure, and social services, became evident. A complex approach was necessary, yet both the EU and member states recognized that traditional technocratic means and raising adequate resources were insufficient solutions. New monetary sources like EU funds were explored alongside softer, cheaper instruments such as knowledge sharing and networking. As a result, numerous studies emerged in the early 1990s on innovative rural development strategies led by local and international experts, emphasizing the role of local actors. However, while local resources and competencies were important, they were not the most decisive factors for success in rural development processes, which were also influenced by macro-level circumstances at regional and EU levels. Consequently, local actors in developing areas continued with conventional processes initiated post-World War II. The telecottage program, seen as a non-conventional rural development approach, faced skepticism regarding its viability in these regions, particularly those already experiencing minimal success from earlier development efforts. The extensive examination of the telecottage initiative, filled with hopes and expectations, provided only limited support for mutual understanding and acceptance, especially in areas with prior rural development programs yielding poor results [5, 6].

Current State of Telecommunication in Rural Areas

Telecommunication supply and use patterns in rural areas reveal a dual structure, with the majority of the rural population living in underdeveloped areas where the current level of telecommunication service is low or absent completely. Moreover, to understand differences in telecommunication development in rural areas, not only must the overall economic development of the region be taken into account, but its geographical position and historical, social factors as well. In poorly developed rural areas, three groups are distinguished based on the telecommunication service supply. It is also true for telecommunication service prices, which are extremely high in underdeveloped rural areas. Since demographic factors influence telecommunication service use patterns, rural areas were classified according to them, with

special attention to the resource-dependent character of the rural settlement. The degree of telecommunication service use is significantly influenced by telecommunication education. In the case of poorly developed rural areas, a regression analysis was executed to shed light on the set of factors that have the strongest impact on telecommunication education. It is accompanied by certain information technology innovations, but without thoroughly analyzing the social and geographical characteristics of employment and usage. There is no clear correlation between the development of underprivileged regions and the new communication tools. Mowlana warned that the consequences and effects of the information revolution are uncertain, and we should carefully form our opinions about how it changes the lives of rural areas and the people living there, and to what extent it can be the engine and facilitator of development. Malecki warned that information technologies do not provide quick solutions to the problems of underdeveloped rural areas, since those are influenced by long-term processes. Penetration of digital technologies is just a small piece of rural development [7, 8].

Benefits of Telecommunication Technologies

The distribution and usage of telecommunications technologies in any country have a noticeable impact on all sectors and areas of development. Telecommunications technologies widen the communication arc, thereby enhancing the role of communication in any process, including rural development. Rural development involves efforts to improve the living conditions of people in rural areas to achieve development integrated with the overall development of the society. The major objectives of rural development schemes in any country are poverty alleviation in rural areas, their integration in the national economy, dynamic self-reliant development, and improvement in the quality of life, particularly of the poor people. While governmental and institutional efforts have been made to achieve these objectives, rural development remains a challenge facing societies across the world. One of the supplementary efforts made in most countries is to develop the distribution and usage of telecommunication technologies. There exists a correlation between the availability of telecommunication and the overall development of a country. Backwardness in telecommunication technologies and poor performance in rural development and standard of living exist across both developing and developed countries. The gap in development between countries taking a lead in the development of telecommunication technologies and those lagging is widening, keeping the latter in a vicious circle of poverty and underdevelopment. Like in other countries, telecommunications technologies had a very small role to play in the rural development efforts of India over several decades. It was only in the 1990s that the telecom sector in India took the shape of an industry to contribute substantially to the economic growth of the country. While the major share of the growth went to the urban sector, rural telecommunication development efforts were also taken up. Despite the problems, rural telecommunication development initiatives in terms of access, infrastructure, and usage have improved significantly. However, rural parts of the country still lag behind urban areas in the availability of telephone connections and teledensity. State-wise urban-rural telecom service gap is sharply illustrated, and rural parts of the country in the performance of telecom services have continued to lag behind urban areas [9, 10].

Challenges Faced by Rural Communities

Rural communities in South Africa often face major challenges in ensuring access to healthcare, education, information, and financial services. For instance, there are few places in rural communities where people can hear about new job opportunities. Regardless of the extent of existing coverage, rural inhabitants often have limited access to information on new markets for supplying goods. Although telecommunication technologies in South Africa have proven to enable better communication in rural areas, the dissemination of information in these areas is often limited. Rural areas tend to have poor road infrastructure and transport facilities. Because of limited social services in rural areas, inhabitants often need to travel to urban areas to apply for jobs. Once in the main towns, they are often not aware of vacancies or opportunities on the other side. For this reason, rural areas suffer higher unemployment and underemployment than urban regions. Moreover, relocation to urban areas is often inhibited by the costs of travel and the lack of access to transport. Apart from limited job opportunities, the lack of child-care services means that mothers are often constrained from taking jobs. They could work if there were locals who could look after their children. Rural inhabitants cannot use the telephone or post to apply for jobs, and are often unaware of job vacancies in their areas. Telecommunication technologies can play an important role in the socioeconomic development of rural areas. They can address many of the challenges faced by rural communities, helping rural inhabitants become aware of opportunities for jobs, education, and health services. Furthermore, telecommunication technologies can allow rural communities to share

their own experiences and provide an opportunity for others in similar situations to become aware of what has been accomplished elsewhere. These technologies can also provide a necessary platform for economic exchange, whether related to agriculture, crafts, goods, or general services. Aside from telephones or fax machines, and radios or televisions, other technologies have improved over time and could prove useful in rural areas as well. However, many of them require an adequate infrastructure that ensures connection to a wider network. Local initiation and sponsorship of services is an integral part of sustainable telecommunication projects [11, 12].

Case Studies of Successful Implementation

The paper examines the role of Information Communication Technology (ICT) Community Centres in the rural Dwesa community of South Africa's Eastern Cape. Despite 83% of respondents being aware of the local computer centre, only 30% use it regularly due to factors like time constraints, fear of technology, lack of information, poor media coverage, and high costs. There is a positive correlation between centre usage and enhancements in farmers' record-keeping, though no improvements in pricing and market information systems were noted. The centre offers access to various internet-based services such as telephony, email, data transfers, satellite TV, computers, and printing. The Dwesa community is actively working to increase access to these technologies to support development goals, fostering collaboration and knowledge sharing. Additionally, the paper describes a wireless community network in Duaba, a rural village in Cameroon, operational for over a year, despite geographical challenges. The model is community-driven, focusing on sustainability and cost-recovery. It explores the challenges faced by rural wireless networks in developing nations, offering insights into technology and operational models. The hardware and basic operational principles of the network are detailed, along with a review of sustainability issues that address bandwidth limitations, service quality, and connectivity dependencies. The operational sustainability focuses on the business model, community management, and funding strategies [13, 14].

Role of Government and Policy Makers

Telecommunication technologies have a profoundly significant impact on rural development across various parts of the world. For instance, in a rural Arabian Peninsula village, mobile phones serve as vital tools that connect residents to a myriad of trade opportunities with not just those in the cities, but also with neighboring villages that may have previously seemed out of reach. This mobilization of information and communication options isn't limited to mobile phones alone; for example, fishing cooperatives in Kenya, which have now emerged as an important African IT hub, are actively exploring the extensive and transformative potential of telecommunications for buying, selling, and trading fish. This innovative approach has led to the creation of a more transparent market environment that helps stabilize prices and effectively reduce waste in the fisheries sector, enhancing economic viability for the local community. Similar observations were noted in Cambodia, where the advancement of telecommunications has created growth opportunities, although challenges persist. Operators in the region continue to struggle with issues surrounding service provider nominations and setting consistent standards that could help improve service delivery. Policymakers, such as Rural Electrification Authorities (REAs), play a crucial and influential role in steering the expansion of telecommunications into rural areas, ensuring that these technologies reach those who will benefit from them the most. It's important to note that different regions approach these telecommunications issues in distinctly unique ways; for instance, most developing countries today are focusing more on fostering market competition rather than relying on the outdated command-and-control methods that were prevalent in the past. Thus, the concept of universal service encompasses essential needs that go well beyond telecommunications alone. It includes pertinent areas such as the development of roads, educational institutions, and marketplaces, which are ultimately far more critical for the prosperity and growth of rural communities than merely the establishment of telecommunication infrastructure. This integrated perspective on development highlights the interconnected nature of different services and resources necessary for holistic rural progress [15, 16].

Future Trends in Telecommunication Technologies

The rural landscape is continuously changing. As time passes by, technology embeds itself deeper into the lives of people. New and affordable ceiling-mounted projection technologies that are designed for the portable computer generation will replace the ceiling-based video projection technology prevalent today in virtual bias hearing all around the world. The mobile revolution will continue, and increasingly feature touchscreen technologies. Tablets will drop below $100, and low-end devices will flood continents. Technology will become ubiquitous in and out of the classroom. Low-cost netbooks and Mini-ITXs will become available at around $20. Teaching and learning materials will become even more localized. Low-

cost or even free software will replace existing Office-style products. Open-source collaborative platforms will rise and foster new online communities in hard-to-reach areas previously impossible to gather. The big Internet brands will create services that facilitate community building, and cooperation amongst teachers and schools, and replace the now-defunct email lists. Streaming video technologies will dominate interaction and communication for both classroom and off-class use. While today's technology penetration is deep, the future simply holds the same, faster. Teachers will, or at least be expected to, bring technology into their classrooms. These technologies impact how they are expected to teach and interact with students. Teachers may find themselves at odds and strangled by expectations to use technology they feel little control over in a manner they feel unfamiliar or uncomfortable. Teachers may embrace new technologies and find them to be valuable tools for teaching, learning, and connecting with colleagues [17, 18].

Impact Of COVID-19 on Telecommunication in Rural Areas

Wireless communication technologies significantly impact the fight against COVID-19. Since the WHO declared COVID-19 a pandemic in March 2020, countries have faced disruptions in achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Researchers from various fields have contributed over 24,000 articles addressing the pandemic. Reliance on digital technologies surged, with innovations like tactile robotics in food service, drones for deliveries, AI and IoT for contact tracing and crowd monitoring, as well as virtual learning to support students. These applications necessitate reliable, high-speed communication networks, prompting the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) to urge improvements in overwhelmed communication systems. The ITU highlighted the critical role of communication technologies in spreading essential information, facilitating online education, enabling remote work, and supporting e-businesses. Despite facing layoffs and economic slowdowns, the telecommunications sector proved vital in aiding governments combating COVID-19. Developing and least developed countries, with limited access to utilities and inadequate resilience, experience more significant socio-economic shocks than developed nations. Consequently, governments and industries are encouraged to alleviate vulnerabilities in pandemic-affected sectors. Lower and middle-income nations encounter greater challenges in managing COVID-19 due to their underdeveloped communications infrastructure, thus, a coordinated ICT-led strategy is essential to mitigate the pandemic's impact and bolster the economy [19, 20].

Sustainability of Telecommunication Solutions

The development of telecommunication solutions must be sustainable, affordable, debt-free, lucrative, and socially beneficial. Establishing telecommunication infrastructure in rural areas is costly and challenging due to low user density from sparse populations. Additional barriers include a lack of services like education and electricity, along with low household incomes, making it unappealing for major service providers to extend access to these communities. Various telecommunications solutions exist, primarily using a computer server connected to a Wide Area Network (WAN) via modem or satellite, with data relaying nodes set up in specific locations. However, delivering ICT services in rural areas faces more difficulties than in well-developed urban zones. The absence of a networking infrastructure hinders rural progress. Wired networks are often impractical due to terrain, and while some rural areas may have cellular service, many residents find communication costs prohibitive. Cellular providers generally apply uniform rates, which disproportionately affect low-income households, leading to monopolistic pricing and tariffs that can consume a third of a monthly salary. Although gradual tariff reductions could boost usage, pricing mechanisms remain inflexible. Local exchanges lack justification for imposing high long-distance call rates [21, 22].

Community Engagement and Participation

Inhabitant participation in rural community development allows activities to align with local priorities. While participatory activities can be costly, telecommunications can streamline communication, facilitate interactive exchanges, and reduce expenses. This study examined the role of telecommunications in community-driven development programs in rural areas by exploring the opportunities and challenges within their social contexts. Telecommunications and information technologies are seen as tools for empowerment and inclusion in development. However, the challenges and opportunities presented by telecommunications affect rural development programs differently. To analyze the capability of telecommunications in supporting local participation, we focused on their role in addressing administrative, technical, and socio-cultural barriers. Semi-structured interviews with local organizations and rural residents were conducted, and responses were thematically coded. Document analysis and informal meetings supported the interview findings. High travel costs, risks, lack of knowledge, and low

literacy hinder participatory planning. Telecommunications can alleviate these barriers by connecting participants through pre-communication, on-site work environments, and expert information. Challenges in participatory implementation include a lack of trust, access issues, incompatible timing, and skills gaps. Telecommunications can enhance participation through monitoring systems, aiding local administration, sending reminders, and leveraging social networks. However, services from foreign firms and a lack of local language data complicate monitoring. Assessing telecommunications capability highlights the importance of viewing technological solutions within their social contexts. Although the application of telecommunications in participatory programs faces criticism, understanding their potential benefits can help counteract local telecommunication barriers [23, 24].

Measuring The Impact of Telecommunication Technologies

Among this list of priority issues and factors affecting rural telecommunication accessibility, it is appropriate to mention first the lack of knowledge and awareness about telecommunications and its potential contribution to rural development. Similarly, even among those local elected officials sent to the field to illustrate RDAT, several were surprised at the impact that even the simplest telecommunications technology could have on rural communities. While the need for telecommunications does not seem to be disputed, some key questions remain: what telecommunications? What needed to be done to make the telecommunications adequate and sustainable? And what role and participation by external sponsors/development agencies would facilitate such a process? The different regional perspectives can offer insights into these questions and help clarify the potential of telecommunications for rural development. Stakeholders, policy-makers, politicians, and others who are faced with e-asiatic economy, a realisation of a telecommunication infrastructure and network on the priority list, should be aware that telecommunications as an input to development is still at the level of how to think about it. In practice, which services, what infrastructure, what institutions, what nal models, what policies, and what research, etc., remain mostly unclear. These remaining development issues potentially offer of the order of 20 years of further research work. With on-going urban-rural migrations, a level of knowledge and planning should be reached that at least has a good chance of limiting the potential adverse impacts of telecommunications on these further developing regions of the world. Admittedly, a lot of progress has been made in understanding telecommunications in developing countries. The findings from this interview study can serve as a basis on which to set up future research and as a strawman to get a discussion going. Many of the statements, however, are still vague and not sharp enough for direct applicability in RDAs by outward sponsors. Over time, additional details and refinements have to be added to the findings [25, 26].

Recommendations For Stakeholders

During deployment, it's vital to identify local needs and opportunities by promoting awareness and gathering feedback from users and operators to facilitate participatory adjustments to nodes, frequencies, and bandwidth. Ignoring these steps undermines objectives: sponsors establish frameworks, users remain uninformed about service availability, and operators neglect local demands. The solution design must be adaptable to social and technical changes. For instance, if the initial model is tightly designed around one node, the bandwidth could instead be utilized to balance capacities across multiple nodes. When public enterprises fall short on infrastructure, seek partnerships with public authorities and associations for collaborative efforts. Understanding the motivations of these entities is crucial for engagement. A public enterprise could provide quality data links by training technicians, while local governments contribute knowledge and partnerships. Profit-oriented enterprises may also be attracted to act as responsible operators. Ultimately, partnerships can leverage public, parametric, and private enterprises to build and manage a broader infrastructure. To make business plans comprehensible, present detailed cost analyses of infrastructure and service relevance, identifying necessary costs and savings for viability. For instance, by providing floral presentations at transport costs from Douala to Limbe, Woods and Co. successfully warned customers of upcoming hours through proactive communication. This approach highlights how adequate data can help differentiate local price capabilities from added costs associated with implementing various infrastructures [27, 28].

CONCLUSION

Telecommunication technologies hold the potential to transform rural life by expanding access to information, enhancing economic activities, and improving service delivery in sectors such as health, education, and agriculture. However, their impact is neither automatic nor uniformly positive. The disparity in telecommunication access between urban and rural areas persists due to infrastructural deficits, socio-economic barriers, and limited digital literacy. Case studies and practical experiences show

that success depends heavily on contextual factors, including community engagement, local governance, and supportive policy frameworks. While ICTs can facilitate development, they must be part of a holistic strategy that addresses deeper systemic issues in rural regions. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the urgency of strengthening rural telecommunication systems to ensure resilience in times of crisis. Moving forward, policies should prioritize inclusive digital infrastructure, affordability, and education to enable rural communities not only to access technology but to use it effectively for self-determined development.

REFERENCES

1. Hambly H, Rajabiun R. Rural broadband: Gaps, maps and challenges. Telematics and Informatics. 2021 Jul 1;60:101565.
2. Tognisse IS, Degila J, Kora AD. Connecting Rural Areas: A Solution Approach to Bridging the Coverage Gap. In2021 IEEE 12th Annual Ubiquitous Computing, Electronics & Mobile Communication Conference (UEMCON) 2021 Dec 1 (pp. 0873-0873). IEEE. researchgate.net
3. Williams R. The technology and the society. InPopular Fiction 2023 Jul 14 (pp. 9-22). Routledge.
4. Watson A, Lupton D, Michael M. Enacting intimacy and sociality at a distance in the COVID-19 crisis: The sociomaterialities of home-based communication technologies. Media International Australia. 2021 Feb;178(1):136-50. sagepub.com
5. Adobati F, Debernardi A. The breath of the Metropolis: Smart working and new urban geographies. Sustainability. 2022 Jan 17;14(2):1028
6. Harun-ur-Rashid M. Community information services in rural Bangladesh. Development in practice. 1996 Nov 1:358-62.
7. Hobday M. Telecommunications in developing countries: the challenge from Brazil. Taylor & Francis; 2023 Dec 22.
8. Zhang Y, Love DJ, Krogmeier JV, Anderson CR, Heath RW, Buckmaster DR. Challenges and opportunities of future rural wireless communications. IEEE Communications Magazine. 2022 Jan 13;59(12):16-22. [PDF]
9. Oinas-Kukkonen H, Karppinen P, Kekkonen M. 5G and 6G broadband cellular network technologies as enablers of new avenues for behavioral influence with examples from reduced rural-urban digital divide. Urban Science. 2021 Aug 12;5(3):60.
10. Braesemann F, Lehdonvirta V, Kässi O. ICTs and the urban-rural divide: can online labour platforms bridge the gap?. Information, Communication & Society. 2022 Jan 2;25(1):34-54. tandfonline.com
11. Zhang C, Khan I, Dagar V, Saeed A, Zafar MW. Environmental impact of information and communication technology: Unveiling the role of education in developing countries. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2022 May 1;178:121570. [HTML]
12. Wang D, Zhou T, Wang M. Information and communication technology (ICT), digital divide and urbanization: Evidence from Chinese cities. Technology in Society. 2021 Feb 1;64:101516.
13. Riad A, Sağıroğlu D, Üstün B, Pokorná A, Klugarová J, Attia S, Klugar M. Prevalence and risk factors of CoronaVac side effects: an independent cross-sectional study among healthcare workers in Turkey. Journal of clinical medicine. 2021 Jun 15;10(12):2629. mdpi.com
14. Alao A, Brink R. Strategies for using ICT skills in educational systems for sustainable youth employability in South Africa. Sustainability. 2022 Dec 9;14(24):16513.
15. Aruleba K, Jere N. Exploring digital transforming challenges in rural areas of South Africa through a systematic review of empirical studies. Scientific African. 2022 Jul 1;16:e01190.
16. Spielman D, Lecoutere E, Makhija S, Van Campenhout B. Information and communications technology (ICT) and agricultural extension in developing countries. Annual review of resource economics. 2021 Oct 5;13(1):177-201. google.com
17. Tedre M, Toivonen T, Kahila J, Vartiainen H, Valtonen T, Jormanainen I, Pears A. Teaching machine learning in K−12 classroom: Pedagogical and technological trajectories for artificial intelligence education. IEEE access. 2021 Jul 19;9:110558-72. ieee.org
18. Sprenger DA, Schwaninger A. Technology acceptance of four digital learning technologies (classroom response system, classroom chat, e-lectures, and mobile virtual reality) after three months' usage. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education. 2021 Feb 9;18(1):8. springer.com

19. Freeman S, Marston HR, Ross C, Morgan DJ, Wilson G, Gates J, Kolochuk S, McAloney R. Progress towards enhanced access and use of technology during the COVID-19 pandemic: A need to be mindful of the continued digital divide for many rural and northern communities. InHealthcare management forum 2022 Sep (Vol. 35, No. 5, pp. 286-290). Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications.

20. Saeed N, Bader A, Al-Naffouri TY, Alouini MS. When wireless communication faces COVID-19: Combating the pandemic and saving the economy. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.06637. 2020 May 12.

21. Johnson D, Matthee K, Sokoya D, Mboweni L, Makan A, Kotze H. Building a rural wireless mesh network. Meraka Institute. African Advanced Institute for Information & Communications Technology. 2007 Oct 30.

22. Hamdoun H, Alzubi JA, Alzubi OA, Mangeni S. Key economic and environmental perspectives on sustainability in the ICT sector. arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.05559. 2016 Feb 17.

23. Levchenko I, Britchenko I. Estimation of state financial support for non-priority territorial units using the example of bridge construction. Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies. 2021 Feb 26;1(13):109.

24. Koch A, Ritz M, Morrow A, Grier K, McMillian-Bohler JM. Role-play simulation to teach nursing students how to provide culturally sensitive care to transgender patients. Nurse education in practice. 2021 Jul 1;54:103123.

25. Acilar A, Sæbø Ø. Towards understanding the gender digital divide: A systematic literature review. Global knowledge, memory and communication. 2023 Feb 20;72(3):233-49.

26. Landa N, Zhou S, Marongwe N. Education in emergencies: Lessons from COVID-19 in South Africa. International review of education. 2021 Apr;67(1):167-83.

27. Han Y, Guo H. Governmental support strategies and their effects on private capital engagement in public–private partnerships. Public Management Review. 2024 Apr 2;26(4):908-26.

28. Uddin S, Ong S, Matous P. Stakeholder engagement variability across public, private and public-private partnership projects: A data-driven network-based analysis. PloS one. 2023 Jan 6;18(1):e0279916.