Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 108-115, 2025

ROJESR Publications Online ISSN: 1115-9790

https://rojournals.org/roj-engineering-and-scientific-research/ Print ISSN: 1115-6155



https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.108115

# The Future of Space Exploration: Innovations in Propulsion Technologies

**Nyambura Achieng M.**

**School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International University Uganda**

**ABSTRACT**

As humanity sets its sights on more ambitious space missions, the evolution of propulsion technologies emerges as a critical enabler. This paper examines the trajectory of propulsion systems from chemical rockets to groundbreaking electric, nuclear, and fusion-powered concepts, assessing their capacity to overcome the physical and economic constraints of traditional spaceflight. Emphasizing historical context, current capabilities, and emerging innovations, the study explores the influence of AI, miniaturization, international collaboration, and environmental considerations on propulsion development. Particular attention is paid to how future missions to Mars, Europa, Titan, and beyond necessitate more efficient, powerful, and sustainable propulsion systems. Additionally, the paper investigates how lunar technologies can be adapted for deep-space applications and identifies key challenges impeding progress, such as long development timelines, high costs, and integration complexity. Through analysis of current research, exploratory projects, and case studies, this paper provides insight into the technologies poised to revolutionize space travel and broaden the horizons of human and robotic exploration.

**Keywords:** Advanced Propulsion Systems, Electric Propulsion, Nuclear Thermal Propulsion, Space Exploration, AI in Aerospace Engineering, Sustainable Spaceflight, Interplanetary Missions.

## INTRODUCTION

In the need of developing and applying technologies required for all future robotic planetary lander and rover missions to Mars, Europa, Titan and Enceladus, an overview of current technologies and research and development trends in the areas of propulsion, landing, surface scientific investigation and resource utilisation is provided. Ideas are proposed on spin-in and spin-off opportunities for technologies used on Moon missions that could be adapted to the requirements of future planetary missions. A range of propulsion, landing, investigation and resource utilisation concepts are presented with current strengths and weaknesses, relevant technology development trends and gaps in capabilities and knowledge. Comparisons are made on how far into the future current technologies would be ready to fly. In several cases consideration is given to how technologies developed for Lunar missions could be reconfigured for use on Mars, Europa, Titan or Enceladus over an extended development timeline. In planetary science, Earth-based, ground and airborne Solar System simulation and reconnaissance are important to guide priority setting for missions that are presently achievable and that will need 10-20 years to develop technologies for mission capabilities that aren't feasible today. The Mars Sample Return mission is an example of one such flagship mission. No equivalent type mission is on the drawing board for the ocean worlds of Europa and Enceladus, even though they are also high priority targets for further exploration. The biggest drawback to tackling such missions is that no current space agencies have an ocean worlds mission in their programmatic horizon at this stage, despite the excitement built over two decades. Because these native moons of giant gas planets are more than three times farther from Earth than Mars, they are radically more challenging targets. Planetary protection systems require cold storage, ultra-clean delivery and active monitoring of long duration transfer (greater than 10 years) from Earth [1, 2].



**Historical Overview of Propulsion Technologies**

NASA has explored various advanced propulsion options beyond chemical propulsion as part of a long-term strategy. This research aims to expand capabilities for human space missions and address significant physics questions of the 21st century. Advanced propulsion technologies promise to unlock new frontiers in the solar system and beyond. For over a century, chemical rockets have limited the maximum speed of mass movement to about ten kilometers per second. While designs have improved, fundamental changes have not been made, maintaining this speed limit. Consequently, physical constraints restrict orbit sizes and reachable destinations within interesting time frames. A comprehensive overview of the desired capabilities of advanced propulsion systems is crucial. To support this, a thorough survey of potential propulsion technologies was conducted, assessing systems that could emerge in the next century, including both conventional and innovative approaches that may be overlooked. Though some concepts are speculative, the urgency for enhanced propulsion systems for space exploration within NASA necessitates a broad review and consideration of their potential capabilities. The overview begins with desired propulsion capabilities, followed by a discussion of candidate systems and technologies, clarifying these concepts for better understanding. Finally, the document concludes with anticipated needs for future assessment and recommendations for further research efforts [3, 4].

**Current Propulsion Technologies**

The first successful man-made object launched into space was a chemical rocket, which remains essential for space exploration. Rockets enable us to escape Earth's gravity and enhance spacecraft operations in low-Earth orbit and beyond. However, scientists are actively developing advanced propulsion systems to support the growing demand for exploration missions. The 21st century saw a boom in the commercial space sector, improving space access due to more launch providers and reusability technology. Governments, particularly the US, are investing heavily in missions beyond low-Earth orbit, including Mars and lunar explorations, necessitating spacecraft with enhanced propulsion for extended ranges and reduced mission durations. Chemical engines primarily propel spacecraft along required trajectories but have limitations regarding further acceleration and exploration. There is considerable inertia associated with man-made objects in space, which leads to missed exploration opportunities due to prolonged waiting times. Therefore, innovative propulsion technologies are vital to open or expand exploration windows. Chemical propulsion systems remain the most prevalent for missions, providing high thrust and enabling significant spacecraft mass acceleration. Thrust consumption can reach several hundred kg/s, producing millions of pounds of thrust at launch. Various engine types include solid, liquid, and hybrid engines. The Saturn V moon rocket, launched in 1998, is still renowned for its power and reliability, utilizing five F-1 engines to generate immense thrust. While liquid propellant engines dominate deep-space missions due to their performance, they are costly and complex for long-term applications. Hybrid propellant engines, combining solid and liquid fuels, were developed as alternatives. These engines offer improved cost-effectiveness, reliability, and controllability, serving as a middle ground between solid and liquid systems. A notable example is the hybrid engine used in SpaceShipOne, launched by WhiteKnightOne. Despite lower thrust response than liquid engines, hybrids provide great start-up reliability, allowing quick responses and reuse at reduced costs [5, 6].

**Innovations in Propulsion Technologies**

Large-scale space exploration missions are aimed at a better understanding of our solar system, and several small missions exploring individual solar system bodies are being conducted around the world. The scientific community expects more missions from the USA, Japan, and China, while Europe is pursuing a smaller number of major missions that cover micromissions as well. On top of this, rapid increases of smaller commercial operators for missions in or to low Earth orbit with hundreds of small satellites have been seen. As a result, new concepts for planetary missions have emerged, such as CubeSat missions that can be 10-20 kg and delivery missions or escort missions that go to a planetary target on a different time timeline from a major spacecraft. However, the key enabling technologies, such as compact propulsion systems, onboard collision avoidance systems, and instrumentation for communication, are still not fully mature to accommodate the expected missions. Emerging innovative technologies, e.g., solar power generation for propulsion and FIS on board, would be applicable to support small missions with longer travel times. The latter in on-board propulsion for arrival orbital insertion may help mature the technologies in a reasonable period. For planetary exploration, including surfaces, hazard detection and avoidance systems are the most critical for missions to prevent an uncontrolled impact. In addition, propulsion systems for distance missions need to be more powerful to shorten the travel time. Several technologies, including electric propulsion, nuclear thermal propulsion, and conventional propulsion (solid/gas), have been considered [7, 8].



**The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Propulsion**

Robotics allows exploration, confirmation, and extension of the work done by Earth-based observations. Robotic spacecraft have already achieved great success exploring all Terrestrial planets, the Moon, and asteroids, as well as the interpretation of the data sent to Earth. With robotic spacecraft being the only possible in situ assessment option beyond the Solar System, completion of such understanding at the closest targets is of great importance. This work presents the Astrobiological Space missions realization possibility based on the most advanced technologies, new experience of their launch, development, and implementation, and prospects of their research and engineering using unique Russian scientific instruments, including ones with sensitivity well below detection, and extremely high spatial time and angle resolution. At the same time there several science and engineering problems should be solved, some of them have not yet been formulated including deliberate monitoring of exoplanets by their blocking and reflection spectra at the period of formation, assessment of geyser activity and confirmation of water presence by their impact on the surfaces ongoing until now. Robotic exploration is expected to be much more autonomous than robotic exploration. Sitting on Mars, the signals to Mars and back take about twenty minutes. At Alpha Centauri, this signal time exceeds a decade. In the same way, at the one-way communication time between Earth and Moon, the very small parameter must be divided out. Then it is crucial for deep space exploration to clearly define the data and time of decisions, and what should be on board without the knowledge of a chef. Determining mission-critical events should be carefully planned and defined. Unforeseen events may occur that cannot fit into standard assumptions. Thus, robustness should be built not into the proper algorithm but into the way of thinking" [9, 10].

**Environmental Considerations in Propulsion Developments**

In the last four decades space exploration has shifted in philosophy and execution. Robotic missions to the moon, Mars and the outer solar system have focused on understanding planetary environment, geology and atmospheres. A diversity of exploration strategies has been used. For planetary orbiters and probes, momentum-based inertial assists and chemical propulsion systems have been preeminent. For landers and aerial vehicles, a diversity of entry, descent and landing techniques have been successfully flown. Future aggressive travel plans to the outer solar system, potentially Titan and Neptune moons, are currently under study. Using initial GTO insertion, the approaches include heliocentric ejection slingshots and multiple gravity assists about Jupiter and Saturn. To enable these outer solar system missions, question was asked how can electric propulsion assist control the vehicle solar wind exposure while also providing large propulsion system performance penalties of 2860 000 kg? Could travel times be reduced and the number of launches reduced? Current NASA plans to send a flotilla of small probes using solid rocket boosters and miss out on Titan flybys. One of the themes of this symposium is the so-called "green propulsion", where the propellant mechanisms, environmental impact and safety measures are part of the vehicle designs from the outset. There is now an essentially "clean slate" for analysis of very low thrust normal orbits, where it is possible to use either electric or cold gas systems. The latter have a long legacy use in so many space missions, but the future for large scientific probe systems remains with high efficiency and variable inertia magnetic coils that are used on current NASA vehicles [11, 12].

**International Collaboration in Space Propulsion Research**

Aneutronic Fusion Spacecraft Propulsion - Future of Propulsion Paradigms within the Solar System, Transfer to Nearby Stars. Available propulsion technologies for interplanetary destinations do not support the goal. The feasibility of a new propulsion paradigm, based on advanced ideas and current scientific and engineering knowledge, with the potential to significantly impact NASA's mission capability, is explored. Contemplated candidates for deep-space exploration include continuous fusion rockets in conjunction with electromagnetic field-directing flow-control mechanisms. Other candidates with soft-landing capability focus on a combination of continuously burning low or very low thrust cold-gas devices and methane/oxygen pyrotechnics. CubeSats with low-cost systems for capturing space debris are proposed, using a combination of high-thrust scramjets and solar or ion-electric propulsion for debris-de-orbit mission. For very long-range interstellar flight, directed energy laser light sails and hybrid electro/electrochemical-magnetic sails are examined, seeking to explore the potential for a breakthrough propulsion paradigm. Summary: A new propulsion paradigm could significantly impact NASA's mission capability. For deep-space travel, the current state-of-the-art space propulsion appears largely inadequate. Electric propulsion concepts offer potential for shortening long-range space travel time, but depend on a low-mass, multi-MW electric power source. The utilization of fusion energy for spacecraft propulsion may be a compelling research direction. Fusion has reached a high level of scientific and technological maturity. The application to space propulsion must consider integration with an electric thruster. The design of a viable fusion reactor for civil power generation differs from that needed for space power systems. The space community should engage in fusion developments tailored for space applications. Ion Propulsion for Deep Space. Field propulsion systems. Superconductors and Quantum Locking. Using Earth's Magnetism as an Energy Resource. Plasma. Solar sail. Space Elevator [13, 14].



**Future Missions and Their Propulsion Needs**

Based on the Roadmap report, this section focuses on potential future missions that aim for planetary exploration, such as Mars Sample Return and a mission to Titan and Triton, and deep ocean exploration on Europa, as well as their propulsion needs. A few examples of planetary missions from both today, potential tomorrow, and beyond are reviewed. The propulsion capabilities of air-breathing and non-chemical propulsion systems are examined to evaluate whether they will meet the needs of the above missions' scopes. This section is more of a brainstorming list to take notes on what's going on in terminology and propulsion these days. Below are the lists from desktop mapping research. Missions within ten years of 2032. A mission to return several sediment cores from the Jezero Crater region in 2032 and a follow-on sample caching campaign on the Nili Fossae region. A meteorological orbiter and comet ice/sample return. A plan to identify methods to detect biosignatures, to develop/validate human landing sites and sample collection sites, and the ascent vehicle. A mission to confirm long-term habitability and explore the biosignature preserved in rock after it enters the atmosphere, bounces, and settles in Lake, or through geyser spray. Missions across the following decades. A mission to send small balloons and flying swarms of autonomous drones. A sample return from the Thor platform before it disappears. Flyby & aero-braking, balloons, and diving probes to obtain optimal science on Titan. Missions beyond 2052. An ESA-NASA collaboration has a deep trojan orbiting Jupiter and surveying the atmosphere to look for gases and temperature at different depths. Flyby & diving probes to take images and in-situ analysis of the CQ-30 to constrain cognitive breakdown times. En route, opportunities exist to characterize the rest of the Earth-narrated Trojan asteroid to provide planetary context [15, 16].

**Challenges in Developing New Propulsion Technologies**

According to a checklist published by NASA, "Lower the price (of launch)" is the top priority for new technologies, making it necessary for new propulsion concepts to reach the higher performance levels achieved by existing chemical propulsion systems. Existing systems also leave room for creativity and innovation, guiding innovation to a point where it is race-competitive. To circumvent these limitations, one must invent an entirely new form of propulsion or make radical, untrodden upgrades to existing forms that go beyond development concepts. Even when taking the necessary technological leaps, the novelty of the new form must guarantee a push from the government and the involvement of traditional launch providers. Nevertheless, once developed, it should be cheap to run, ensuring that no return to the previous drive technologies is attempted. Advancing a large rocket is a difficult problem, with only a few players having the properties for taking it on. Selecting the core technology for the vehicle is even more challenging, as many possibilities exist that haven't been examined for decades after falling victim to budget cuts. Areas of uncertainty include whether to go for a nuclear vehicle capable of reaching Mars in 90 days or a fusion-powered vehicle to build nuclear power stations in orbit, thereby cutting costs or possibly solving energy poverty on Earth. Prioritizing these upgrades would be easier if there were a chemical rocket in service, democratizing the exploration effort and creating a herd mentality to prompt innovation. Still, if only upwards of a dozen spacesuits exist, the freedom of action is much more constrained [17, 18].

**Case Studies of Innovative Propulsion Projects**

The purpose of this study is to overview of the background, objectives, methodology, results, and follow-on activities for the "Vehicle Design and Trajectory Analysis of Breakthrough Propulsion Concepts" project, part of the NIAC Phase II competition. This study supports a larger proposal involving multiple organizations and aims to conduct critical path analyses of selected breakthrough propulsion concepts. Previous studies indicated feasibility for each selected concept, with the base concept set for initial investigation to define future analysis levels. Broader investigations will follow as support becomes available. This Phase II effort is recommended to pursue two parallel directions: First, to initiate conceptual design and preliminary trajectory/performance analyses of propulsion concepts and spacecraft. This aims to define trajectory capabilities, examine performance advantages, identify potential mission applications, and assess challenges in a mission environment. Comparative analyses against standard propulsion options will inform this effort. Second, gathering experts in classical and advanced propulsion to systematically identify technological gaps and prioritize issues for concept types. The feasibility of using magnetic confinement nuclear fusion propulsion is under assessment, though many unknown physics processes hinder quantification. A "proof of principle" test-bed is proposed, applicable to fusion propulsion and power generation, anticipated to take 20 years and cost 5-75 million dollars. Notably, Lockheed Martin is developing a compact 1–2-meter prototype to demonstrate the viability of magnetic confinement of deuterium-tritium fusion reactions [19, 20].



## Public Perception and Support for Space Exploration

Public perception is often a neglected aspect when it comes to addressing the space exploration and travel issues facing humanity. Non-technical aspects of space travel, such as public support and input, have often been covered briefly or brushed aside altogether in favor of topics dealing with rocket propulsion improvements or propulsion fuels. Nevertheless, such an omission seems to be inappropriate in regards to the question of how society would go about outgrowing the planet. A current method of analyzing public perception is as a component of research where researchers administer a seminar on space travel topics to the public, then measure various factors of public interest and understanding of such issues before and after the presentation. Seminar topics presented deal with public perception and interest in budget, safety, speed, and what space travel is necessary for. Many common questions posed in blog forums by people skeptical and concerned about space travel were also compiled into an additional quiz. This paper aims to shed light on public interest in the considerations given to space travel and to gather publicly relevant data to approach the question of how humanity will survive. The questions, where a method is designed to investigate the current awareness of space travel issues, were placed into an online survey where individuals could take it anonymously. The main questions of interest were the subcategories of safety, cost, mission type, destinations, and their knowledge of several space travel companies. Some questions were drawn from and based on already available quizzes posted on various public blogs and forums on space travel. By surveying public perception with descriptive data analysis to solidify the trends, it is hoped to be able to emphasize the importance of public discourse on such issues in improving public governments and space agencies' handling of these endeavors [21, 22].

## The Future of Human Space Travel

In this rapidly changing world, there is an increased focus on possible methods of travelling to outer space since there is a rapidly dwindling number of destinations on Earth. Currently, the stages of this process are being explored, and developing technologies needed for this future are being developed. In the past, the governments of the USA and Russia competed on who could go to the Moon first and send manned missions to outer space. However, now companies such as SpaceX and Virgin Galactic are leading the process of commercializing space travel. SpaceX is focused on colonizing Mars, while Virgin Galactic is working on sending tourists on suborbital flights. To send heavy cargoes into orbit, massive rockets such as the Saturn V and the Shuttle system have been built, with the latter capable of even sending several Hubble Space Telescopes into space. However, they are costly to develop and maintain, with massive budgets, and a system built on such a scale is vulnerable to a lack of support, as was seen with the Shuttle. Therefore, companies like SpaceX are seeking to make rockets with fewer moving parts and ground support. Having this in mind, new rockets such as Falcon 9 with its reusable first stage and Titan IV heavy lift vehicles have been developed, but they can still only send cargoes in low Earth orbit. While the rocket equation makes it very tough to reach out of the recessed orbit, almost anything else is technically feasible. Several concepts are being worked on: Scram-Jet rockets powered with oxygen from the atmosphere up to hypersonic planes and FalconX launch loops, which propel near-space planes AquaGates around a tunnel with a ground speed to take out the rocket equation and just needing final funnelling rockets. However, the turn after traveling at such high speeds has to be considered and planned not to melt something due to high reentry speeds [23, 24].

## Economic Impacts of Advancements in Propulsion Technologies

Mankind's interest in going to space is not new. Telescopes have been invented to gaze at the faraway stars. Air balloons were launched to a higher altitude to view the Blue Planet more clearly. Just recently, a manned spacecraft, "Vostok 1", was launched by Russia to get a view of the Earth from above its atmosphere. Another spacecraft, "Apollo 11", successfully landed human beings on the Moon, sent by the United States. Still, some of the adult generations remember the space shuttle transporting satellites, instruments, astronauts, and habitable International Space Station (ISS) to and from Earth orbit. However, the Space Shuttle system has been retired. American space exploration has just entered a new era of space vehicles, Dragon by SpaceX and Orion by NASA, which do not use reusable launch systems.

Other nations, like China and Russia, which are also trying to develop their versions of launch systems, have not achieved engineering perfection yet. Then, what does the future of mankind in space look like? Is there a way to view, utilize, and dwell in space like the way one does in man-made constructs on Earth? Could a beach resort on the Moon, a research center on Mars, and a space city on the Lagrangian lend? Is it too far away, for one, one thousand, ten thousand, or a million years away? 25 selected technologies, 28 application fields, and 4 scenarios for the future of space and mankind propagated by the past space exploration visionaries are reviewed. Some of the technologies tackled are not needed for human participation in exploring/developing the outer space, but merely for requests of the space scientists, like a telescope and a magnetic levitator. Recent advancements in satellite technology have helped to develop a wide variety of real-time global information services. This new information paradigm, as well as the increase in reliable weather information, has made it possible to bring economic sectoral impacts. Given these factors highlights the importance of the AHI services, it is no wonder that the sectors that benefited most stem from natural resources, such as agriculture, fisheries, energy, and forestry, plus land management, spatial planning, and risk management [25, 26].



## Educational Initiatives in Space Propulsion Technologies

No one doubts that we will travel beyond our solar system, or at least attempt to do so. This will happen in 3000 to 4000. No one can predict all the problems, methods, or results. However, an attempt can be made to explore possibilities. The existence of space travel indications is given nowadays. There are two ways to gain energy for space propulsion. Here, Good nature and Bad nature should be discriminated. Assuming to use good nature, several propulsion methods are introduced. The first is 'using gravity and planetary motion'. This is currently in use to fly around the planets and to regulate certain orbits by a few calculated orbits. Next is 'using a bigger body'. A spacecraft is pushed, not by a motor but by a space body, which implies a found outer impulse until catching a big body to sustain energy. Energy is then given back by a rocket. The same way can be done for planetary rides, planets ride celestial bodies, composite planetary rides, and planetary motions. Assuming to use bad nature is used, propulsion methods using bad nature are introduced. The first is 'using a congress field'. Rockets cause the expulsion of a consumption. Momentum is kept. An informed primary field is presumed to use the back field; a human mosaic chair-style rocket should do this. Next is 'using an artificial magnet'. Natural magnetism is used to spin huge coils on Jupiter, Saturn, and on Sun to impel gases intensely. They are carved out and uplifted to asteroids and comets for energy-gaining. By fission or fusion, energy is generated. The legislative argument as to whether space colonies should be a commitment on their own implies that MEGA-civilizations will be much more powerful than M-type civilizations in society, politics, and defense. Organizations can create a global network on during the preparation of such studies. Providing this opportunity may be the greatest gift that you could offer. The audience may be the delegation of engineers, policymakers, business people, scientists, philosophers, environmentalists, and general communities and educators interested in the topic. The focus will be on promoting the importance of carrying out the preparatory studies for exploratory missions. Such promotional efforts are crucial for stimulating activities leading to discoveries and lessons learned for future missions [27, 28, 29].

## CONCLUSION

Innovations in propulsion technology are redefining the boundaries of space exploration, opening pathways to destinations once deemed unreachable. As traditional chemical propulsion reaches its limits, a spectrum of advanced systems ranging from electric and nuclear propulsion to experimental fusion concepts offers new opportunities for longer, faster, and more sustainable missions. However, realizing these possibilities requires addressing significant technical, financial, and environmental challenges. Autonomous systems and AI will play an increasing role in navigation, decision-making, and operational resilience. Global cooperation and cross-disciplinary research are vital to accelerate the maturation of these technologies. Ultimately, propulsion is not merely a means to reach distant worlds but a cornerstone of humanity's quest to understand the universe and secure a foothold beyond Earth. The future of space exploration will be shaped not just by where we go but by how we get there, and propulsion technology will be at the heart of that journey.

## REFERENCES

1. Lasue J, Bousquet P, Blanc M, André N, Beck P, Berger G, Bolton S, Bunce E, Chide B, Foing B, Hammel H. Planetary Exploration Horizon 2061 Report, Chapter 4: From planetary exploration goals to technology requirements. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.15665. 2022 Nov 24.
2. Wood D, Weigel A. A framework for evaluating national space activity. Acta Astronautica. 2012 Apr 1;73:221-36.

3. Hopkins RC, Thomas HD, Wiegmann BM, Heaton AF, Johnson L, Baysinger MF, Beers BR. Propulsion Technology Assessment: Science & Enabling Technologies to Explore the Interstellar Medium. 2015 Aug 31.
4. Grande M, Guo L, Blanc M, Makaya A, Asmar S, Atkinson D, Bourdon A, Chabert P, Chien S, Day J, Fairen AG. Planetary Exploration Horizon 2061 Report Chapter 5: Enabling technologies for planetary exploration. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.14832. 2023 Feb 28.
5. Johnson W, Silva C. NASA concept vehicles and the engineering of advanced air mobility aircraft. The Aeronautical Journal. 2022 Jan;126(1295):59-91.
6. Vasavada AR. Mission overview and scientific contributions from the Mars Science Laboratory Curiosity rover after eight years of surface operations. Space Science Reviews. 2022 Apr;218(3):14.
7. Cappelletti C, Robson D. CubeSat missions and applications. InCubesat Handbook 2021 Jan 1 (pp. 53-65). Academic Press.
8. Malphrus BK, Freeman A, Staehle R, Klesh AT, Walker R. Interplanetary cubesat missions. InCubesat Handbook 2021 Jan 1 (pp. 85-121). Academic Press. [HTML]
9. Goldsmith D, Rees M. The end of astronauts: Why robots are the future of exploration. Harvard University Press; 2022.
10. Nesnas IA, Fesq LM, Volpe RA. Autonomy for space robots: Past, present, and future. Current Robotics Reports. 2021 Sep;2(3):251-63.
11. Butrica AJ. Voyager: the grand tour of big science. From Engineering Science to Big Science: The NACA and NASA Collier Trophy Research Project Winners. 1998 Jan;251.
12. De Montluc B. The new international political and strategic context for space policies. Space Policy. 2009 Feb 1;25(1):20-8.
13. Ono M, Thakker R, Georgiev N, Gavrilov P, Archanian A, Drevinskas T, Daddi G, Paton M, Melikyan H, Pailevanian T, Lopez C. To Boldly Go Where No Robots Have Gone Before–Part 1: EELS Robot to Spearhead a New One-Shot Exploration Paradigm with in-situ Adaptation. InAIAA Scitech 2024 Forum 2024 (p. 1746). [HTML]
14. Pham D, Bowles JV, Zilliac GG, Listgarten N, Go S, Jansen RH. Parametric Modeling and Mission Performance Analysis of a True Parallel Hybrid Turboprop Aircraft for Freighter Operations. InAIAA AVIATION FORUM AND ASCEND 2024 2024 (p. 3581). nasa.gov
15. Cao W, Liu Q, Wang F, Weng C. Effects of the droplet size and engine size on two-phase kerosene/air rotating detonation engines in flight operation conditions. Acta Astronautica. 2024 Oct 1;223:108-18.
16. Bushnell DM, Moses RW, Choi SH. Frontiers of Space Power and Energy. 2021 Jul 1.
17. Bin Rahman AR, Zhang J. Trends in rice research: 2030 and beyond. Food and Energy Security. 2023 Mar;12(2):e390.
18. Sharma A. Current Trends and Future Directions in Renewable Energy Systems. InInternational Journal for Research Publication and Seminar 2024 Jun (Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 186-198).
19. Ainou FZ, Ali M, Sadiq M. Green energy security assessment in Morocco: green finance as a step toward sustainable energy transition. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2023 May;30(22):61411-29.
20. Manheimer W. Fusion It is time to color outside the lines. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.16442. 2024 Jan 27.
21. Moynihan M, Bortz AB. Fusion Technology. InFusion's Promise: How Technological Breakthroughs in Nuclear Fusion Can Conquer Climate Change on Earth (And Carry Humans To Mars, Too) 2023 Mar 25 (pp. 33-61). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [HTML]
22. Jamei E, Chan M, Chau HW, Gaisie E, Lättman K. Perceived accessibility and key influencing factors in transportation. Sustainability. 2022 Aug 30;14(17):10806.
23. Meenar M, Heckert M, Adlakha D. "Green enough ain't good enough:" Public perceptions and emotions related to green infrastructure in environmental justice communities. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022 Jan 27;19(3):1448. mdpi.com
24. Meshko N, Kaznachei S. Evolution of Company Strategies in Response to Dynamic Changes in the Global Rocket Market. European Journal of Management Issues. 2024 Apr 25;32(2):75-85.

25. Palerm Serra L, Barrera Ars J, Salas Solanilla J, Bermudez Miquel JM. Market Implications of Miniaturized Satellites: High-Performance Microsats and the Oversupply in Launchers' Attributes Set the Conditions for Market Disruption. New Space. 2014 Mar 1;2(1):43-50.
26. Ma X, Li T, Ma J, Wang Z, Shi C, Zheng S, Cui Q, Li X, Liu F, Guo H, Liu L. Recent advances in space-deployable structures in China. Engineering. 2022 Oct 1;17:207-19. sciencedirect.com
27. Lal B, de la Rosa Blanco E, Behrens JR, Corbin BA, Green EK, Picard AJ, Balakrishnan A. Global trends in small satellites. Alexandria: IDA Science and Technology Policy Institute; 2017 Jul.
28. Bai X, Xu M, Li Q, Yu L. Trajectory-battery integrated design and its application to orbital maneuvers with electric pump-fed engines. Advances in Space Research. 2022 Aug 1;70(3):825-41.
29. Wyatt BC, Nemani SK, Hilmas GE, Opila EJ, Anasori B. Ultra-high temperature ceramics for extreme environments. Nature reviews materials. 2024 Nov;9(11):773-89. [HTML]



**CITE AS: Nyambura Achieng M. (2025). The Future of Space Exploration: Innovations in Propulsion Technologies.** **Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(2): 108-115.** **https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.2.108115**