EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH
(EEJSAR) ISSN: 2992-4146
©EEJSAR Publications Volume 7 Issue 3 2025

Comparative Toxicological Evaluation of Natural and Artificial Sweeteners: Focus on Liver and Kidney Damage

Nambi Namusisi H.

School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Sweeteners, both natural and artificial, are commonly used as sugar substitutes in food and beverages due to the rising global concern over obesity, diabetes, and other metabolic disorders. These substances, however, raise concerns regarding their potential toxic effects, particularly on vital organs such as the liver and kidneys. This review explores the toxicological profiles of natural and artificial sweeteners, with a particular emphasis on their hepatotoxic and nephrotoxic potential. While natural sweeteners like stevia and monk fruit extract are generally considered safe, artificial sweeteners such as aspartame, saccharin, and sucralose have been linked to various adverse effects in animal models, including liver and kidney damage. This article synthesizes data from preclinical and clinical studies, providing a comparative analysis of their safety profiles and the mechanisms underlying their toxicity. The aim is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the potential risks associated with sweetener consumption and inform regulatory decisions on their safety in food products.

Keywords: Sweeteners, Hepatotoxicity, Nephrotoxicity, Artificial sweeteners, Natural sweeteners

INTRODUCTION

The use of sweeteners has significantly increased as consumers shift towards healthier alternatives to refined sugars [1]. Sweeteners are broadly categorized into two types: natural and artificial. Natural sweeteners, such as stevia, honey, and agave nectar, are derived from plant sources, while artificial sweeteners, including aspartame, sucralose, and saccharin, are synthetically produced [2,3]. Both categories of sweeteners are commonly used in processed foods and beverages to provide sweetness without contributing excessive calories [4]. Despite their widespread adoption, concerns regarding the long-term health implications of sweeteners remain, particularly regarding their effects on vital organs like the liver and kidneys, which are central to detoxification and metabolic processes [4]. The liver plays a crucial role in metabolizing various substances, including sweeteners, while the kidneys are responsible for filtering out waste products from the blood [5]. Chronic exposure to high doses of sweeteners may interfere with the normal functioning of these organs, leading to potential toxic effects [6]. This review seeks to critically assess the available toxicological evidence on both natural and artificial sweeteners, with a primary focus on their hepatotoxic and nephrotoxic effects. The review will delve into the underlying mechanisms of toxicity, examine clinical findings, and discuss the potential risks these substances pose to human health, especially in individuals with pre-existing conditions or those consuming excessive amounts over extended periods. Ultimately, this work aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the safety profiles of sweeteners, informing both regulatory decisions and consumer awareness.

Mechanisms of Toxicity of Sweeteners

1. Natural Sweeteners

Stevia, derived from Stevia rebaudiana, is one of the most commonly used natural sweeteners, favored for its antioxidant properties and low glycemic index [7]. It has been widely regarded as a safe alternative to refined sugars, and numerous animal studies suggest minimal hepatic and renal toxicity [7]. Some studies have even pointed to its potential protective effects against liver damage caused by toxic agents [8]. For example, stevia extracts have been shown to reduce oxidative stress and inflammation in liver tissues [10]. However, when administered in high doses, stevia extract may cause mild renal impairment, including changes in enzyme activities, though these effects

are generally reversible after the cessation of exposure [9]. Thus, while stevia appears to have a favorable safety profile, excessive intake could lead to mild disturbances in renal function. Monk fruit, or Siraitia grosvenorii, contains mogrosides, which are compounds responsible for its sweetening properties [11]. These mogrosides also possess antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, contributing to the fruit's growing popularity as a natural sweetener [12]. Although the safety profile of monk fruit extract is not as extensively studied as stevia, available evidence suggests that it does not cause significant liver or kidney damage at typical dietary intake levels [13]. The limited data on monk fruit extract suggests that it may be a relatively safe option for consumers looking for natural alternatives to sugar [12]. However, more extensive studies are needed to confirm its long-term safety, especially in high consumption scenarios.

2. Artificial Sweeteners

Aspartame, one of the most widely used artificial sweeteners, has been shown to contribute to liver toxicity in both animal and human studies [14]. Aspartame is metabolized into three primary compounds: aspartic acid, phenylalanine, and methanol [15]. In excess, these metabolites can accumulate to toxic levels in the body, particularly in individuals with metabolic disorders such as phenylketonuria (PKU), which impairs phenylalanine metabolism [15]. Hepatic and renal damage has been reported in rodents exposed to high doses of aspartame, with notable signs of oxidative stress, apoptosis, and enzyme disruption observed in liver tissues [16]. These toxic effects are linked to the accumulation of methanol, which is further metabolized into formaldehyde, a potent toxic compound that can cause cellular damage in both the liver and kidneys [17]. As a result, while aspartame is considered safe at standard consumption levels, excessive intake, particularly over long periods, may pose risks to liver and kidney health [18]. Saccharin, one of the oldest artificial sweeteners, has been under scrutiny for its potential carcinogenicity and overall toxicity. Long-term studies in rats have shown that high doses of saccharin lead to liver damage, characterized by elevated liver enzymes, histological changes, and degeneration of renal tubular structures [19]. Despite these findings, regulatory agencies such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) have classified saccharin as safe when consumed within established daily intake levels [20,21]. However, the controversy surrounding saccharin's safety underscores the need for continued monitoring and research into its long-term health effects. Sucralose, a chlorinated derivative of sucrose, is considered to have a high safety margin and is one of the most commonly used artificial sweeteners [22]. While it has been shown to be safe at typical intake levels, some studies suggest that prolonged exposure to high doses of sucralose may result in hepatotoxic effects [23]. This includes alterations in liver enzymes and changes in bile duct morphology [23]. Additionally, kidney damage has been observed in long-term animal studies, where prolonged sucralose exposure led to changes in renal function and histological alterations in kidney tissues [24]. These findings raise concerns about the potential cumulative effects of sucralose on liver and kidney health, especially in individuals who consume large amounts of sucralose over extended periods. In conclusion, while both natural and artificial sweeteners are widely considered safe when consumed within regulated limits, excessive intake or long-term exposure to high doses may lead to potential hepatotoxic and nephrotoxic effects [25]. The mechanisms underlying these toxicities appear to be linked to metabolic byproducts and oxidative stress, which can cause damage to liver and kidney tissues [26]. Further research is required to better understand the full scope of these effects, particularly in humans, to ensure that these sweeteners remain safe for long-term consumption.

Hepatotoxicity and Nephrotoxicity of Sweeteners

1. Impact on the Liver

The liver plays a critical role in the metabolism of sweeteners, as it is responsible for their detoxification and processing [5]. Both natural and artificial sweeteners undergo hepatic metabolism, where they are either excreted or converted into bioactive metabolites [5,6]. When consumed in excessive amounts, sweeteners can lead to liver damage through mechanisms such as oxidative stress, inflammation, and apoptosis in liver cells [5]. The presence of certain biomarkers, such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and bilirubin, is often elevated in response to liver injury induced by sweeteners [27]. These markers serve as indicators of hepatic dysfunction and damage.

Artificial Sweeteners: Numerous animal studies have demonstrated that exposure to artificial sweeteners such as aspartame and saccharin can result in elevated oxidative stress markers in liver tissues [28]. Oxidative stress plays a central role in hepatocyte necrosis, leading to liver dysfunction [29]. High doses of aspartame have been associated with liver injury, with increased hepatic apoptosis and disruption of normal enzyme activities [16,17]. Saccharin, while an effective sweetener, has been shown to induce liver damage characterized by histological changes such as cellular degeneration and inflammatory responses in liver tissues [19]. Sucralose, although considered to have a high safety margin, has shown potential hepatotoxic effects in animal studies, especially at high doses [22,23]. These effects include the development of fatty liver disease and liver fibrosis, both of which are indicative of chronic liver

damage [30]. **Natural Sweeteners:** Stevia, a popular natural sweetener, has demonstrated hepatoprotective effects in several animal studies due to its potent antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties [7,8]. Stevia's ability to scavenge free radicals and reduce inflammatory markers suggests it may protect the liver from toxic insults [10,31]. However, excessive doses of stevia have been shown to disrupt liver enzyme function, leading to mild hepatotoxicity [8]. The hepatotoxic effects of stevia are generally mild and reversible upon discontinuation of high-dose exposure [32]. Conversely, monk fruit extract, another natural sweetener, appears to have a favorable safety profile, with no significant liver toxicity reported at normal intake levels [12,13,33]. Although limited, the available data suggests that monk fruit extract does not induce substantial liver damage under typical consumption conditions [12,13].

Impact on the Kidneys

The kidneys are essential for the filtration and excretion of waste products, including the metabolites of sweeteners [34]. As a result, prolonged or excessive exposure to sweeteners may impact renal function [35]. The nephrotoxic effects of sweeteners are less well-studied than their hepatotoxic effects, but emerging evidence suggests that high doses of certain artificial sweeteners can lead to kidney injury [36]. Kidney damage can manifest as tubular degeneration, glomerular hypertrophy, and changes in renal function [37].

Artificial Sweeteners: Animal studies have shown that prolonged exposure to high doses of artificial sweeteners, including aspartame and saccharin, can result in significant renal damage [28,38]. Aspartame, for example, has been linked to renal impairment in rodents, with signs of tubular degeneration and glomerular damage observed in histological analyses [39]. Saccharin has been associated with altered renal function and kidney tissue damage, as well as increased fibrosis in kidney structures [40]. Sucralose, though generally regarded as safe, has been shown to cause renal damage at high concentrations, with histological changes such as tubular dilation, fibrosis, and alterations in kidney function [40]. These findings suggest that excessive consumption of artificial sweeteners may contribute to renal damage over time.

Natural Sweeteners: The nephrotoxic effects of natural sweeteners are less well-documented in scientific literature, with limited studies available on their impact on kidney health. Stevia, while generally considered safe, has shown mild nephrotoxic effects in animal studies when administered at very high doses [40]. These changes, including alterations in renal biomarkers and enzyme activities, are typically reversible upon cessation of exposure [41]. However, these effects are not observed at standard doses and are considered unlikely to occur in normal human consumption [42]. On the other hand, monk fruit extract has not been associated with any significant nephrotoxic effects, with animal studies showing no adverse impact on kidney function at typical intake levels [43]. Thus, monk fruit extract appears to be a safe alternative with minimal risks to renal health. In conclusion, while both natural and artificial sweeteners are generally safe when consumed within recommended limits, excessive intake or long-term exposure to high doses can lead to hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity. Artificial sweeteners such as aspartame, saccharin, and sucralose have been linked to liver and kidney damage in animal models, particularly at high doses. In contrast, natural sweeteners like stevia and monk fruit appear to have more favorable safety profiles, although excessive consumption may still pose risks, especially for the liver and kidneys. Further research, especially long-term human studies, is necessary to fully understand the extent of these effects and to establish clearer guidelines for safe consumption.

Human Health Implications and Regulatory Perspective

Clinical Evidence

Human studies on the toxicity of sweeteners are limited but growing [44]. Most of the available evidence comes from clinical trials and epidemiological studies, which suggest that artificial sweeteners like aspartame, saccharin, and sucralose do not pose a significant risk to human health at normal consumption levels [45]. However, excessive intake, particularly over prolonged periods, may result in adverse effects, including liver and kidney dysfunction, especially in individuals with preexisting conditions [46].

Regulatory Agencies

Regulatory agencies such as the U.S. FDA, European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), and World Health Organization (WHO) have established acceptable daily intake (ADI) levels for artificial sweeteners based on available safety data [47,48]. These guidelines ensure that sweeteners are consumed within safe limits. Despite these regulations, concerns remain regarding the long-term health effects of sweeteners, particularly with regards to chronic exposure and their cumulative impact on liver and kidney health.

CONCLUSION

The toxicological evaluation of natural and artificial sweeteners reveals both benefits and risks associated with their use. While natural sweeteners such as stevia and monk fruit extract generally exhibit favorable safety profiles, excessive consumption may still lead to mild hepatic and renal toxicity. Artificial sweeteners, although extensively studied and regulated, have been linked to liver and kidney damage in animal models, particularly at high doses.

Further research, particularly long-term human studies, is essential to better understand the cumulative effects of sweeteners on liver and kidney health and to refine regulatory guidelines for their safe consumption.

REFERENCES

1. Kemp S, Schweppes C, Lindley M. Developments in sweeteners. In: Elsevier eBooks. 2007. p. 185–201. doi:10.1533/9781845693367.185
2. Das A, Chakraborty R. An introduction to sweeteners. In: Reference series in phytochemistry. 2016. p. 1–13. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-26478-3_1-1
3. Artificial sweeteners and cancer. Cancer.gov. 2023. Available from: https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/diet/artificial-sweeteners-fact-sheet
4. Ikechukwu, GC., Egba SI., Ibeh, RC., Helal EU, Ejiofor, EU and Okafor, PN. Assessment of Sub-chronic Effect of Two Artificial Food Additives on Selected Biochemical Parameters in Wistar Rats. *Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, 2017; 12: 180-190
5. Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG). In brief: How does the liver work? InformedHealth.org-NCBI Bookshelf. 2023. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK279393/
6. Ghusn W, Naik R, Yibrin M. The Impact of Artificial Sweeteners on Human Health and Cancer Association: A Comprehensive Clinical review. Cureus. 2023. doi:10.7759/cureus.51299
7. Peteliuk V, Rybchuk L, Bayliak M, Storey KB, Lushchak O. Natural sweetener. PubMed. 2021;20:1412–30. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34803554
8. Orellana-Paucar AM. Steviol Glycosides from Stevia rebaudiana: An Updated Overview of Their Sweetening Activity, Pharmacological Properties, and Safety Aspects. Molecules. 2023;28(3):1258. doi:10.3390/molecules28031258
9. Uhuo E N, Egba S I, Nwuke P C and Odinamadu H Renoprotective effects of adansonia digitata leaf extracts on renal functions and histopatological changes vancomycin induced nephrotoxicity in Wistar rats. Comparative Clinical Pathology, 2022; 31(1):1-14
10. Godfrey Ogochukwu Ezema, Ndukaku Yusuf Omeh, Egba Simeon Ikechukwu, Ejiofor C Agbo, Adachukwu Ada Ikeyiand Emmanuel Ifeanyi Obeagu. Evaluation of Biochemical Parameters of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Based on Age and Gender in Umuahia (2023) Asian Journal of Dental and Health Sciences 2023; 3(2):32-36
11. Kemp SE, Lindley MG. Developments in sweeteners for functional and speciality beverages. In: Elsevier eBooks. 2009. p. 39–54. doi:10.1533/9781845695569.1.39
12. Chen N, Cao W, Yuan Y, Wang Y, Zhang X, Chen Y, et al. Recent advancements in mogrosides: A review on biological activities, synthetic biology, and applications in the food industry. Food Chemistry. 2024;449:139277. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2024.139277
13. Wazir M, Verma H, Singh J, Singh P, Passey S. The Battle of Natural Sweeteners: A comprehensive guide to monk fruit and stevia. Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science Journal. 2025;13(1):24–45. doi:10.12944/crnfsj.13.1.2
14. Finamor I, Pérez S, Bressan CA, Brenner CE, Rius-Pérez S, Brittes PC, et al. Chronic aspartame intake causes changes in the trans-sulphuration pathway, glutathione depletion and liver damage in mice. Redox Biology. 2017;11:701–7. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2017.01.019
15. Choudhary AK, Lee YY. The debate over neurotransmitter interaction in aspartame usage. Journal of Clinical Neuroscience. 2018;56:7–15. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2018.06.043
16. Shaher SAA, Mihailescu DF, Amuzescu B. Aspartame safety as a food sweetener and related health hazards. Nutrients. 2023;15(16):3627. doi:10.3390/nu15163627
17. Shaher SAA, Mihailescu DF, Amuzescu B. Aspartame safety as a food sweetener and related health hazards. Nutrients. 2023;15(16):3627. doi:10.3390/nu15163627
18. Czarnecka K, Pilarz A, Rogut A, Maj P, Szymańska J, Olejnik Ł, et al. Aspartame—True or false? Narrative review of Safety Analysis of general use in products. Nutrients. 2021;13(6):1957. doi:10.3390/nu13061957
19. Alkafafy MES, Ibrahim ZS, Ahmed MM, El-Shazly SA. Impact of aspartame and saccharin on the rat liver: Biochemical, molecular, and histological approach. International Journal of Immunopathology and Pharmacology. 2015;28(2):247–55. doi:10.1177/0394632015586134
20. European Food Safety Authority. Saccharin: safety threshold increased. European Food Safety Authority. 2024. Available from: https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/news/saccharin-safety-threshold-increased
21. Ruiz-Ojeda FJ, Plaza-Díaz J, Sáez-Lara MJ, Gil A. Effects of sweeteners on the gut microbiota: A review of experimental studies and clinical trials. Advances in Nutrition. 2018;10:S31–48. doi:10.1093/advances/nmy037

22. Favaro-Trindade CS, Rocha-Selmi GA, Santos MGD. Microencapsulation of sweeteners. In: Elsevier eBooks. 2015. p. 333–49. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-800350-3.00022-4
23. Kossiva L, Kakleas K, Christodouli F, Soldatou A, Karanasios S, Karavanaki K. Chronic use of artificial sweeteners: pros and cons. Nutrients. 2024;16(18):3162. doi:10.3390/nu16183162
24. Magnuson BA, Roberts A, Nestmann ER. Critical review of the current literature on the safety of sucralose. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2017;106:324–55. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2017.05.047
25. Abhilash M, Paul MVS, Varghese MV, Nair RH. Effect of long term intake of aspartame on antioxidant defense status in liver. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2011;49(6):1203–7. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2011.02.019

26. Uroko RI., Egba SI., Uchenna ON., Ojiakor CA., Agbafor A., and Alaribe, CA (2018) Therapeutic effects of methalonic extracts of Funtumia Africana leaves on antioxidants and hematological indices of carbon tetra chloride induced oxidative stress on rats. Drug Invention Today 12(1)
27. Lala V, Zubair M, Minter DA. Liver function tests. StatPearls - NCBI Bookshelf. 2023. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK482489/
28. Azeez OH, Alkass SY, Persike DS. Long-Term saccharin consumption and increased risk of obesity, diabetes, hepatic dysfunction, and renal impairment in rats. Medicina. 2019;55(10):681. doi:10.3390/medicina55100681
29. Uroko Robert Ikechukwu., Agbafor Amarachi, Uchenna Oluomachi Nancy, Achi Ngozi Kalu, Egba Simeon Ikechukwu**,** Nweje-Anyalowu Paul Chukwuemaka and Ngwu Ogochukwu Rita. Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity of Aqueous Extracts of Palm Friuts *(Elaeis guineensis)* Asian Journal of Biochemistry, 2017; 12: 49-57
30. Wu HT, Lin CH, Pai HL, Chen YC, Cheng KP, Kuo HY, et al. Sucralose, a non-nutritive artificial sweetener exacerbates high fat Diet-Induced hepatic steatosis through taste receptor type 1 Member 3. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2022;9. doi:10.3389/fnut.2022.823723
31. Ogugua, Victor N., Njoku, Obioma U., Egba, Simeon I., Uroko, Robert I and Ignatius Glory. In vitro study of nutritional and antioxidant properties of methanol extract of *Nauclea latifolia* root bark. Biomedical Research, 2018; 29(21): 3766-3773
32. Ochulor Okechukwu C., Njoku Obioma U., Uroko Robert I and Egba Simeon I**.** Nutritional composition of *Jatropha tanjorensis* leaves and effects of its aqueous extract on carbon tetrachloride induced oxidative stress in male Wistar albino rats. Biomedical Research 2018; 29(19): 3569-3576
33. Younes M, Aquilina G, Engel K, Fowler P, Fernandez MJF, Fürst P, et al. Safety of use of Monk fruit extract as a food additive in different food categories. EFSA Journal. 2019;17(12). doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5921
34. Ogobuiro I, Tuma F. Physiology, renal. StatPearls - NCBI Bookshelf. 2023. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK538339/
35. Ran Z, Zheng Y, Yu L, Zhang Y, Zhang Z, Li H, et al. Linking artificial sweetener intake with kidney function: insights from NHANES 2003–2006 and findings from Mendelian randomization research. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2024;11. doi:10.3389/fnut.2024.1387676
36. Torigoe K, Torigoe M, Oka S, Obata Y, Mukae H, Nishino T. Aspartame, as an artificial sweetener, does not affect renal function and antioxidative states in mice. BMC Research Notes. 2024;17(1). doi:10.1186/s13104-024-06816-6
37. Vaidya SR, Aeddula NR. Chronic kidney disease. StatPearls - NCBI Bookshelf. 2024. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK535404/
38. Torigoe K, Torigoe M, Oka S, Obata Y, Mukae H, Nishino T. Aspartame, as an artificial sweetener, does not affect renal function and antioxidative states in mice. BMC Research Notes. 2024;17(1). doi:10.1186/s13104-024-06816-6
39. Abdel-Ghaffar SKh, Adly MA, El-Sayed MF, Abd-Elsamei WM. Protective effects of some antioxidants against long-term intake of aspartame toxicity on liver and kidney: biochemical and histopathological approach in rats. The Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology. 2021;82(1). doi:10.1186/s41936-021-00244-9
40. Robert I. Uroko., Charles N. Chukwu., Simeon I. Egba., Fatima A. Adamude andJoy C. Ajuzie (2020) Combined ethanol extract of *Funtumia africana* and *Abutilon mauritianium* leaves improves the lipid profile and kidney function indices of benign prostatic hyperplasia in rats. *Acta Sci. Pol. Technol. Aliment.* 2020; 19(4): 395-4045
41. Farid A, Hesham M, El-Dewak M, Amin A. The hidden hazardous effects of stevia and sucralose consumption in male and female albino mice in comparison to sucrose. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal. 2020;28(10):1290–300. doi:10.1016/j.jsps.2020.08.019
42. Samuel P, Ayoob KT, Magnuson BA, Wölwer-Rieck U, Jeppesen PB, Rogers PJ, et al. Stevia Leaf to Stevia Sweetener: Exploring its science, benefits, and future potential. Journal of Nutrition. 2018;148(7):1186S-1205S. doi:10.1093/jn/nxy102

43. Younes M, Aquilina G, Engel K, Fowler P, Fernandez MJF, Fürst P, et al. Safety of use of Monk fruit extract as a food additive in different food categories. EFSA Journal. 2019;17(12). doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5921
44. Sharma A, Amarnath S, Thulasimani M, Ramaswamy S. Artificial sweeteners as a sugar substitute: Are they really safe? Indian Journal of Pharmacology. 2016;48(3):237. doi:10.4103/0253-7613.182888
45. Artificial sweeteners and cancer. Cancer.gov. 2023. Available from: https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/diet/artificial-sweeteners-fact-sheet
46. Kossiva L, Kakleas K, Christodouli F, Soldatou A, Karanasios S, Karavanaki K. Chronic use of artificial sweeteners: pros and cons. Nutrients. 2024;16(18):3162. doi:10.3390/nu16183162
47. World Health Organization: WHO. Food additives. 2023. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/food-additives#:~:text=The%20starting%20point%20for%20determining%20whether%20a,over%20a%20lifetime%20without%20adverse%20health%20effects.
48. Program HF. Aspartame and other sweeteners in food. U.S. Food And Drug Administration. 2025. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/food/food-additives-petitions/aspartame-and-other-sweeteners-food

CITE AS: Nambi Namusisi H. (2025). Comparative Toxicological Evaluation of Natural and Artificial Sweeteners: Focus on Liver and Kidney Damage. EURASIAN EXPERIMENT JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC AND APPLIED RESEARCH, 7(3):41-46