



<https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.1.2933>

# The Intersection of Technology and Trauma: Addressing Mental Health in Crisis Situations

Apio Christine

School of Nursing Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

The increasing integration of technology into mental health care has introduced new opportunities and challenges in addressing trauma in crises. This paper examines how digital tools—including virtual reality, artificial intelligence (AI), chatbots, and mobile applications—are being utilized to support individuals experiencing trauma. While technology offers innovative solutions for mental health care, ethical considerations such as data security, accessibility, and potential biases must be addressed. This study investigates how technological advancements redefine trauma care and the implications of their widespread adoption. The paper also discusses future research opportunities and policy recommendations to enhance the efficacy and ethical use of technology in mental health interventions.

**Keywords:** Technology, Trauma, Mental Health, Crisis Intervention, Artificial Intelligence, Virtual Reality, Digital Health.

## INTRODUCTION

Recent years have seen a surge of interest in the intersection of technology and trauma. The traction of this conversation denotes a general belief in the influence of technological advancements; new world-making tools offer new paths of healing and care for those who experience trauma. This essay addresses technological developments within mental health care, asking specifically how digital technologies can be employed during and after crises. The target population is extremely broad, but emergent patient-consumer tools, virtual reality, and even chatbots are examined as sites that are already or will shortly be poised to approach treatment in this manner. These approaches are taken not only because they are the cutting edge of treatment, but because they afford insight into the basic terms of trauma: how it is defined, its diagnosed features, and its mandated treatments [1, 2]. Mental health and trauma care following crisis events is an obstacle that proceeds under equal parts promise and anxiety. Previous literature delineates crisis intervention as a complex visual-haptic encounter. That is to say, it situates the therapeutic relationship as an in-person or material encounter, with precariously delineated and normative boundaries of time and place, of professional and nonprofessional caregiver, of patient and memory. Establishing the boundaries of trauma in this way allows them to be harvested by the continued reinvention and technological development of mental health care. In the following, developments in pharmacology, machine learning, AI, and commercial technology are shown to provide new relationships between selfhood, technology, and trauma. The coupling of therapy and self-help allows the relationship to trauma to be assessed in full: namely, through the marketing of therapies and the development of psychotropic drugs, organized as 'proofs' of trauma's existence and a way to market an understanding of and relationship to it [3, 4].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### **The Impact of Crisis Situations on Mental Health**

From natural disasters to pandemics, from terrorist attacks to social unrest, many types of crises are traumatic events that affect the well-being of thousands or sometimes millions of people globally. The psychological impact of such events has been deeply studied over the past years, and research has shown that people are profoundly affected by these situations. Mental health problems are common in the aftermath of a crisis, including stress, grief, and distress-related responses, like irritation and anger or unrestrained crying and sadness. Irrational fears, nightmares, confusion, mistrust, and withdrawal are other deeply distressing responses. Arousal symptoms like difficulty sleeping and concentrating, exaggerated startle response, and jumpiness are also quite common [5, 6]. Most people affected by a crisis event will go through a hard time and then come back to emotional equilibrium after some time. The available evidence suggests that a robust majority of people don't develop long-term mental health issues in the aftermath of a disaster. Nevertheless, it is important to underline that a very small percentage of people are deeply affected and go on to develop chronic mental health issues after crises. Research has shown that the way we handle day-to-day stress influences the way we handle severe stress. Both physical and environmental factors contribute to individual resilience or vulnerability during a crisis. Moreover, the social context, such as participation in community activities or having a social support network, dampens the adverse effects on mental health. Mental health interventions provided within a relatively short time after the event help limit long-term mental health problems. Some people still have difficulties in dealing with the emotional, psychological, social, and physical aftereffects of trauma years later [7, 8].

### **Innovative Technological Solutions for Mental Health Support**

Military personnel have been shown to utilize technology in unique ways and, therefore, may have specific preferences for types of mental health support. A small qualitative study examined technology use and mental health behaviors, noting that everyday technologies – such as mobile phones, video game consoles, internet chat rooms, and other outlets – all played a role in how military personnel coped with mental health difficulties, while popular military services dedicated to mental health were "of little interest." Participants cited these technologies as essential, stating that they were privately available and were used for both personal and professional communication. During deployment, the same technologies played a crucial role in reducing feelings of loneliness, homesickness, and anxiety among those communicating with loved ones [9, 10]. A vital aspect of many of these technologies is the ability to simultaneously distract, educate, and, perhaps most importantly, connect their user with others of like experience. Participants in the study also expressed concerns about seeking quality time with professionals, as doing so would take them away from colleagues and potentially expose them to ostracism or stigma. Additionally, high-stress environments can limit technical abilities as the result of psychological distress, which can reduce levels of digital readiness – the skills necessary to find, evaluate, use, and communicate information in digital formats. High digital readiness may also decrease the risk of technology-related stress by enabling users to more easily manage everyday tasks and access digital content appropriate to their offline needs. Even access to literature, educational tools, and resources could be helpful for individuals with diverse concerns, such as caregivers working with technology to communicate with loved ones. All members of high-risk groups – including caregivers working in war zones and seriously ill patients – might benefit from careful consideration of the myriad issues that accompany physical and mental distress and/or technical unpreparedness [11, 12].

### **Ethical Considerations and Challenges in Using Technology for Trauma Care**

As technological tools are increasingly being incorporated into mental health trauma care, there are several ethical considerations that researchers, professionals, and advocates must take into consideration. First and foremost, mental health professionals providing treatment with technological tools must ensure that security measures are taken to protect patient data against breaches and unauthorized access. They are also responsible for receiving informed consent from each patient, explicitly detailing how and why the data will be used in addition to the potential risks of using technological supplements to models of care—must be configured to reduce potential biases in diagnoses such as racism, ableism, and cultural insensitivity. Stakeholders must also address patent and copyright issues associated with the development of new tools. Verifying that such tools will be equally accessible to all individuals ensures that certain vulnerable groups are not further isolated or displaced. Appropriate use of technology for care is subject to constant review, ensuring that action and data follow current ethical standards. Multiple standards and guidelines can support ethical discussion of the use of technology in mental health care. For example, This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

adherence to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, including the right to access care, security, and freedom from torture. Minding that healthcare should remain accountable, and impartial, and sometimes work in a framework that allows equal care to all must be the gold standard in both policy and object of care. A consensus exists, made up of professional societies and governmental health agencies, that guides the decision-making process on both human and financial decisions in health. The ethical standards and guidelines on the use of technology reviewed seem to be fundamentally consistent across regions and populations, with variation according to community values. It is crucial to maintain this discussion, which guides ethical practices in the use of technology. Ensuring medication is administered legitimately, research is conducted transparently, and care is fair is critical in the prevention and management of foreseeable mismanagement; thus, ongoing discussion is constructive. The guidelines also operate with hype-reducing action, ensuring that a practical and best use of technology is taken. Rather than the continuous, perhaps often obsessive renewal of the technological side of care—the 'next new thing'—we may contend that ethical guidelines work to ground the level of care in values; in ethical concerns for the people who are accessing this care; and in the principles of justice and the pursuit of social good in the use of resources. In short, the best and new technology becomes a podcast to have care be best; ethical guidelines act to steer that endeavor. All in all, the responsible use of technology in trauma care will require careful pre-thinking and follow-up work to be guided by ethical standards. The thoughtful balance between the continual renewal of technological parlance and the utilitarian focus aligns, however, to protect all individuals rather than a set of humans. Thus, our use of technology must remain a person-centered approach, ensuring that all find the promised security and freedom from suffering [13, 14].

#### **Future Directions and Opportunities for Research and Practice**

There are many possibilities for technology to play a more prominent role in meeting the mental health needs of people affected by trauma, particularly in crises. Monitoring and incorporating tech adoption grant new insights into the not-yet-represented mental health care needs of people after large-scale traumatic events. Efforts to expand the provision of mental health care via technology are observed in the development of new apps targeting trauma-related mental health complaints. Apps may schedule and monitor the execution of reminders and change over time, which would help to increase intervention adherence. Additionally, research is ongoing for more low-threshold technology-driven interventions that are easily disseminated across the population. The current trend of severe lockdowns and isolation due to COVID-19 has raised several initiatives in chatbot interventions, digital mental health platforms, cross-sector governmental policies, and mental health aid delivered by social media companies, all related to distant support using technology [15, 16]. Promising future opportunities for research and practice related to current technological advancements. First, they develop app-based and mobile interventions. The majority of people have a smartphone or mobile device, and some developmental initiatives facilitate broad use by also programming websites that can be accessed using a computer. Today, most of the apps for trauma-related mental health issues are still predominantly informed by persuasive technologies. Second, opportunities lie in the use of more sophisticated artificial intelligence models that can withstand considerably more complex conversations than currently feasible given bot capabilities. Research and practice could improve via research on and with computational big and small data. An understanding of which trauma interventions might be more efficient in live programming or low-threshold interventions could be inferred from extensive data analysis – including epidemiological, ecological data, and trauma-system data. Community-based and outreach interventions would uniquely inform tech adaptation. For present and future research and practice, research syntheses on best practices and efficacy would be valuable, including but not restricted to digital interventions. This particularly applies to new projects as various digital initiatives were underway, but effect evaluations, funded interventions, and peer-reviewed papers are missing. Also, enhanced collaboration between mental health researchers, tech industries, and practitioners can inform best practices. A proactive and co-creative attitude in digital development may increase creativity for tech innovation and emphasize evidence-based tech integration [17, 18]. To improve further, involving trauma-affected people as co-researchers or participants in the design process is essential and can guide in embedding privacy and user security as a priority in the application. Taking into account the dynamics of affected people and their daily lives, including ups and downs, should also be adopted. Mental health policy might support the use and development of technology for mental health support. The implementation should be safeguarded by regulations, and a strict governance policy is needed to overcome the digital divide between those who prefer to seek safety and support through technology and those who are unable to do so [19, 20].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## CONCLUSION

The role of technology in mental health care, particularly in crises, presents a promising yet complex landscape. Digital tools such as AI-driven chatbots, virtual reality therapy, and mobile health applications offer accessible and innovative approaches to trauma care. However, their implementation requires careful ethical considerations, including data privacy, equitable access, and minimizing biases in automated systems. While emerging technologies provide new pathways for healing, they must be integrated thoughtfully and in collaboration with mental health professionals, researchers, and affected communities. Future advancements should prioritize inclusivity, regulatory oversight, and empirical validation to ensure that technology serves as a beneficial tool rather than an isolating or harmful force. By maintaining a person-centered approach, technology can enhance trauma care, bridging gaps in mental health services and fostering resilience in those affected by crises.

## REFERENCES

1. Gkeredakis M, Lifshitz-Assaf H, Barrett M. Crisis as opportunity, disruption and exposure: Exploring emergent responses to crisis through digital technology. *Information and Organization*. 2021 Mar 1;31(1):100344.
2. Hassankhani M, Alidadi M, Sharifi A, Azhdari A. Smart city and crisis management: Lessons for the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021 Jul 21;18(15):7736. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18157736)
3. Guerra-Armas J, Flores-Cortes M, Ceniza-Bordallo G, Matamala-Gomez M. User Experience in Immersive Virtual Reality-Induced Hypoalgesia in Adults and Children Suffering from Pain Conditions. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*. 2024 Aug 1;8(8):66. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/mti8080066)
4. Branstetter R, Piedy E, Rajendra R, Bronstone A, Dasa V. Navigating the Intersection of Technology and Surgical Education: Advancements, Challenges, and Ethical Considerations in Orthopedic Training. *Orthopedic Clinics*. 2024 Aug 16.
5. Seleznova V, Pinchuk I, Feldman I, Virchenko V, Wang B, Skokauskas N. The battle for mental well-being in Ukraine: mental health crisis and economic aspects of mental health services in wartime. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*. 2023 Sep 25;17(1):28. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11464-023-1000-0)
6. Das S, Choudhury MR, Chatterjee B, Das P, Bagri S, Paul D, Bera M, Dutta S. Unraveling the urban climate crisis: Exploring the nexus of urbanization, climate change, and their impacts on the environment and human well-being—A global perspective. *AIMS Public Health*. 2024 Aug 27;11(3):963. [nih.gov](https://doi.org/10.3934/publichealth.2024113963)
7. Abbas J, Wang D, Su Z, Ziapour A. The role of social media in the advent of COVID-19 pandemic: crisis management, mental health challenges and implications. *Risk management and healthcare policy*. 2021 May 12:1917-32. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.2196/2020.11.1917)
8. Manchia M, Gathier AW, Yapici-Eser H, Schmidt MV, de Quervain D, van Amelsvoort T, Bisson JI, Cryan JF, Howes OD, Pinto L, Van der Wee NJ. The impact of the prolonged COVID-19 pandemic on stress resilience and mental health: A critical review across waves. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2022 Feb 1;55:22-83. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2021.11.001)
9. Rauch M, Ansari S. Waging war from remote cubicles: How workers cope with technologies that disrupt the meaning and morality of their work. *Organization Science*. 2022 Jan;33(1):83-104.
10. Peck BS, Parcell ES. Talking about mental health: Dilemmas US military service members and spouses experience post deployment. *Journal of Family Communication*. 2021 Apr 3;21(2):90-106.
11. Rahmat TE, Raza S, Zahid H, Abbas J, Sobri FA, Sidiki SN. Nexus between integrating technology readiness 2.0 index and students'e-library services adoption amid the COVID-19 challenges: implications based on the theory of planned behavior. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*. 2022 Jan 1;11(1):50. [lww.com](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12942-022-00100-0)
12. Bali C, Feher Z, Arato N, Kiss BL, Labadi B, Zsido AN. The mediating role of ICT learning confidence and technostress between executive functions and digital skills. *Scientific Reports*. 2024 May 29;14(1):12343. [nature.com](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-57343-0)
13. Foye U, Dalton-Locke C, Harju-Seppänen J, Lane R, Beames L, Vera San Juan N, Johnson S, Simpson A. How has COVID-19 affected mental health nurses and the delivery of mental health nursing care in the UK? Results of a mixed-methods study. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*. 2021 Apr;28(2):126-37. [wiley.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/13632534.2021.1911111)

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

14. Awotunde JB, Jimoh RG, Folorunso SO, Adeniyi EA, Abiodun KM, Banjo OO. Privacy and security concerns in IoT-based healthcare systems. In *The fusion of internet of things, artificial intelligence, and cloud computing in health care 2021* Aug 12 (pp. 105-134). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [\[HTML\]](#)
15. Pendse SR, Sharma A, Vashistha A, De Choudhury M, Kumar N. "Can I not be suicidal on a Sunday?": understanding technology-mediated pathways to mental health support. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems 2021* May 6 (pp. 1-16). [nih.gov](#)
16. Pons P, Navas-Medrano S, Soler-Dominguez JL. Extended reality for mental health: Current trends and future challenges. *Frontiers in Computer Science*. 2022 Nov 18;4:1034307. [frontiersin.org](#)
17. Bell IH, Pot-Kolder R, Rizzo A, Rus-Calafell M, Cardi V, Cella M, Ward T, Riches S, Reinoso M, Thompson A, Alvarez-Jimenez M. Advances in the use of virtual reality to treat mental health conditions. *Nature Reviews Psychology*. 2024 Aug;3(8):552-67. [researchgate.net](#)
18. George AS, George AH, Baskar T, Karthikeyan MM. Reclaiming our minds: mitigating the negative impacts of excessive doomscrolling. *Partners Universal Multidisciplinary Research Journal*. 2024 Sep 25;1(3):17-39. [pumrj.com](#)
19. Idrees SS, Hannes K, De Andrade M. Seeking refuge in South Africa: Navigating power, healing, and co-creation in body-mapping processes. *Social Inclusion*. 2024;12.
20. Somers J, Wheeler L. A blueprint for collaborative action to build a trauma-informed school: A case study. *Professional School Counseling*. 2022 Oct 27;26(1c):2156759X221134670.

**CITE AS: Apio Christine (2025). The Intersection of Technology and Trauma: Addressing Mental Health in Crisis Situations. Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 4(1): 29-33. <https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJESR/2025/4.1.2933>**