



Communication Skills for Negotiating Settlements

Abenaitwe Jackline

Department of Business Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Negotiation is a vital process in various professional and personal contexts, aiming to resolve differences and reach mutually beneficial settlements. Communication serves as the cornerstone of successful negotiations, influencing the effectiveness of discussions, decision-making, and agreements. This paper examines key communication skills essential for negotiating settlements, including active listening, verbal and nonverbal strategies, trust-building, and cultural considerations. Additionally, it examines common barriers to effective negotiation and how to overcome them through strategic communication techniques. By fostering constructive dialogue, negotiators can enhance their ability to reach sustainable agreements that satisfy all parties involved.

Keywords: Negotiation, Communication Skills, Active Listening, Conflict Resolution, Verbal Communication, Nonverbal Communication.

INTRODUCTION

Negotiation is a dialogue between two or more people or parties, intended to reach an understanding, resolve point of difference, or gain advantageous outcomes over others. Negotiation is a process where each party involved shares information and opinions with the other parties. Communication is the key to successful negotiation. Effective negotiation skills are a valuable asset in the personal and business world. A mutual agreement is a compromise between the interests of parties, but communication is a compromise to be divided amongst parties. Communication is usually described in terms of its effectiveness, very little is said about the constructive nature of communication. Many existing studies have examined barriers to effective negotiation. Many of these studies do not directly consider the relevance to firm managers, and how these barriers can be overcome [1, 2]. Contemporary studies do address the relevance to firm managers usually provide a list of techniques to avoid barriers during the negotiation process, often without giving consideration to the potential impacts of such attempts. There is a dearth of studies that discuss the practical implications of these techniques. Many people negotiate as part of their job, whether they are buying or selling, dealing with customers, suppliers or employees, or mediating between colleagues or business partners. It often involves making concessions in order to protect important issues. There are always limited resources and there are limits to what partners can give or offer. Settlements that are reached are often unsatisfactory and can create resentment and misunderstandings between parties. Successful negotiation results in long-lasting, satisfactory settlements. Settlements result from friendly agreements, sales arrangements, and business transfers; belligerent compromises; and confrontational victory defeats, such as strikes or war outcomes. Parties should consider their past negotiation experiences to realize what they can improve, and reflect on personal negotiating skills to identify any areas that can be improved using the methods suggested [3, 4].

Understanding The Role of Communication in Negotiation

Communication is the process of sending and receiving messages. Negotiation is the process of discussing issues, reaching agreement and settling disputes. The most effective route to favourable settlements is through good communications, simply because no two negotiations are ever the same. This is because each is driven by different needs, interests and expectations. These needs, interests and expectations must be clearly and concisely communicated in a manner that can be understood by the other party. In some cases, these may already be clear; however, in the vast majority of cases, the underlying needs or interests

will need to be carefully drawn out and voiced. Agreeing on a new direction of communication in such circumstances can prove particularly difficult under the pressure of an impending dispute. Building and maintaining a good working relationship between the parties also requires professional communication. The correlation between effective communications and a positive outcome is strongly supported. There is a common preconception by those who have not tested their skills in a formalised setting that good results will naturally ensue. In the real world, communication is arguably the most challenging aspect of negotiations. Paying close attention to both the verbal and nonverbal communications is imperative; however, what has been said and what has been agreed can often be very different, resulting in the accusation of renegeing [5, 6]. Communicating is always easier when at least some common ground can be found. Having found that commonality, additional issues can be addressed more freely. Those well versed in different styles will find some people easier to agree with than others. Communicators are encouraged to adapt their style to that of the other person. Complementing the spoken word with non-verbal gestures is also an effective way of reinforcing the importance of an argument. Closely watching body language can also be particularly revealing. Those who are able to read and react appropriately to the subtle signs of their interlocutors stand a much greater chance of success. These skills fall under the category of emotional intelligence. It is widely acknowledged that a failure to spot the non-verbal communications between the parties is one of the main reasons why negotiations break down. Problems invariably arise because of misunderstandings. In the overwhelming majority of cases these are down to poor communications. Both parties also have a tendency to react emotionally; invariably regretting something they have said. Finally, most negotiations are predicated by the actions of one or more parties. A calm and measured attempt to deal with the situation often reveals that the initial impression made is not shared by the other party. Participants are encouraged to cultivate their skill for listening, and to try to see things from the view of the other party. This simple strategy can greatly increase the likelihood of a successful negotiation, as it is clear that a successful negotiation greatly depends on the quality of the communications [7, 8].

Active Listening Techniques

Negotiation is a method of communication considered to be synonymous with bargaining, with its roots deeply embedded in the haggling like behavior of medieval market traders. However, the business of negotiation need not be conducted with raised voices or verbally persistent adversaries. It is about utilizing communication to engage the opposite party in dialogue with the objective of substantiating an open exchange of views that will uncover possibilities for mutually beneficial settlements. Negotiation can be learned. The art of negotiation is a complex process involving both verbal and nonverbal communication skills. The development of advanced communication skills is essential for increasing the probability of achieving desired settlement outcomes. This discussion will focus on active listening as one of the most important and useful communication skills in negotiation contexts [9, 10]. Active listening is the cornerstone of effective communication in the negotiation process, as well as other contexts. The concept has to do with engaging in the process of distinguishing and absorbing what an interpersonal dialogue partner is saying. Engagement is the key word. There is a substantial difference between listening and hearing. Hearing is a biological function, whereas active listening is a dynamic process that calls for the active engagement in trying to understand the speaker's perspective. It is surprisingly challenging to get another person to feel understood. Speaking can be done more swiftly than the counterpart of thoughtful listening which also involves the further processing of what was said. In the parlance of active listening is the skill of demonstrating compendium regarding the speaker's message, and it is a set of techniques apprehensive by the listener (e.g., paraphrasing, reflecting, asking clarifying questions). Three reasons for negotiators to listen actively are: Better rapport with counterparts and better potential results, act of listening constitutes half of a dialogue between listener and speaker, fewer misunderstandings - a communication void needs to be filled. There are challenges involved in acting as an active listener in the negotiation process. Being attentive can appear as less important for someone else, leading negotiation counterpart to believe their concerns are not being taken earnestly, heavy concentration on understanding and furthering what was said can preclude the formulation of responses, and it is an acquired skill. Emotions impede cognitive understanding. Active listening can have a wide range of implications for the negotiation process. There is a strong positive association between displaying an understanding of the situation and the settlement forms crafted by plaintiffs. Negotiators who listened actively had the highest rate of lucrative agreements. Demonstrating understanding can lead to a reduced quantum of claimed damages. Practice makes perfect, and there are significant potential benefits in polishing active listening skills. Emotion understanding is an important component of

emotional intelligence, it also holds that the rectitude of emotions has impacts on judgements and behaviour [11, 12].

Verbal and Nonverbal Communication Strategies

Communication is a broad and complex process, encompassing verbal and nonverbal strategies. Verbal communication refers to the words that are used to express and convey meaning. Nonverbal communication involves the use of other forms of expression. Often, messages are communicated verbally and/or non-verbally, and the non-verbal communication will accompany or follow verbal communication. Nonverbal messages are frequently an unintended by-product of verbal communication. When spoken to, people often stand a certain distance away from one another, assume a posture most communication conveys many of the same thoughts. However, there is often a discrepancy between a negotiator's verbal and nonverbal communication. Strategies to enhance verbal communication include using "I" statements, effective word choice, and asking open-ended questions. Nonverbal cues include posture, facial expression, nodding or shaking one's head, and leaning towards or away from the other party [13, 14]. Since rhetors employ verbal and nonverbal means of communication simultaneously, it can be inferred that negotiating strategy choice is governed by the presence or absence of potential danger, i.e. conflict. It is assumed that the total intensity level of high-risk negotiation increases as a result of increased confidence or annoyance, and negotiating parties feel entitled or willing to share their hidden, i.e. secret information. Therefore negotiation, like other discourses, can be divided into "cold" and "hot" categories of communication. The message of threatened negotiators is characterised as ambiguous and long, including psychological and ethical treatment. Threatened speakers' behaviour is notable for a high intensity level in voice, gaze, kinesic and paralinguistic means. Given the large opportunities for a reader to skip a text, the conveying of information in an economic and direct manner is argued to be in some instances subordinate to a rhetoric's purpose of informing the reader as fully as possible. Said reader is also a knowledge-based agent; as such, he expects his personal store of information to be employed efficiently and effectively. In terrorism negotiation literature, prior use of "operational" voice and word forms are not observed, despite the high priority such terrorism negotiations place on this. Rather, the deployment of these features in threatening negotiation should be observed as the most potent textual signals, revealing much about the negotiator's underlying frame of mind. Regarding Leonteschenko's "Cold" and "Hot" vs. "Weak" and "Strong" rubrics, these are held not to be the most sharply defined categories, and hence do not support scrutiny of the prevalence of these in threatening negotiation [15, 16].

Building Trust and Rapport

In any type of settlement, negotiation, or back and forth, parties are more successful when they trust each other. This applies to legal settlements, lease contracts, inter-departmental exchanges, and everyday negotiations. Time spent building positive relationships with colleagues, customers, and other parties can have surprising results. Trust can take a long time to build, but a series of recent studies suggest that you can make rapid gains in this area with a few techniques at your disposal. This cannot be overstressed: building trust is one of the most vital ingredients in influencing people, and, by extension, negotiations. When we trust somebody, we grant them the benefit of the doubt. We expect them to react in a particular way, and when they do, this reinforces the trust. Further, since we expect them to act in a certain way, we work towards promoting actions that are then beneficial to the other person. This is perhaps why there is more genuine success in collaborative and trust-based relationships. When we feel that we can confide in somebody, has our best intentions at heart, and is going to be fair to us, then we converse freely, constructively, and release resources that may not have been otherwise. The importance of trust in negotiation is also noted by community mediators, who posit trust as the very first [17, 18]. Are there any tried-and-true techniques to building trust? The best advice for building trust is merely to be, in fact, trustworthy. That is, do what you say you will do on time and as you said you would. Be truthful in all situations and give people what they deserve: fairness. It is also advisable to not misrepresent new information, and be transparent. People are more likely to trust you if you do not unnecessarily keep information from them. There have been a variety of studies conducted into different aspects of behaviours that build trust across a variety of professions. A systemic review of articles into inducing trust in 'dyadic relationships between two previously unacquainted persons' was conducted and found five key tactics: 1) the level of ingratiation, 2) self-disclosure, 3) mimicry, 4) similarity, and 5) the halo effect, to increase perceptions of trust. However, it was also noted that while these tactics could induce a level of trust, the manner in which they were elicited substantially influenced the duration of the felt trust: trust was felt by those who were genuinely liked for reasons unrelated to the bargaining. Instead, the feeling of trust from the remaining conditions evaporated as soon as the conference ended [19, 20].

Handling Difficult Conversations and Conflict

Like the oasis in the desert this online class can be a lifesaver for busy first-year students. There is nothing quite like a well-rehearsed series of lectures and exercises on legal writing to ease first-year students into the tricky waters of legal analysis. This three-credit water hole manages to survey all the main sources and also give a basic writing foundation. Your online tutor will help with reading, reviewing and brainstorming meaning summaries and analysis of. However, a deep source at often a good block is computer, and your online tutor can supply extensive analysis and lists of additional reading and research. Your online tutor is a smart forum for writing difficult and issues [21, 22].

Cultural Considerations in Negotiation Communication

Negotiation is a form of communication in which parties with a vested interest come together to discuss and make decisions about issues. The way in which these parties communicate and negotiate with one another will be subject to important cultural influences. The way a given society negotiates agreements, finalizes contracts and resolves disputes with other societies over issues such as trade, peace talks, alliances, non-aggression pacts, etc., constitutes its very own 'negotiation culture'. The significance of both negotiation as a cross-cultural language activity and a set of general negotiation strategies to be used across cultures become clear only against the background of different cultural approaches to negotiation. If one negotiator's culture is interpretative, solution-oriented, direct and aggressive, while the other negotiator's culture views agreements as tangible bricks, slows things down with a ticket system, and considers all verbal communication too fleeting to carry significant meaning, then these different sets of negotiators may have some problems reaching a settlement. One set of such influences on communication involves the norms and expectations regarding proper communication behaviour for members of a given culture. The same utterance in two different languages may have distinct interpretations given the characteristics of the overall common practice of interaction in the target community. Languages are usually classified into two types based on the structure and usage of their verbal and non-verbal communication. "High-context" languages use contextual elements such as intonation, pauses and other non-verbal means to express their messages. While in a "low-context" language the verbalized message is expected to be the main source of information. Generally, negotiators preferentially use high-context languages when negotiating with counterparts from the same language background. That way, the negotiators can serve as an effective part of the communication, hence facilitating the exchange and understanding of more complex ideas. Also, it has been observed that skills that are effective in negotiating within a given linguistic/cultural tradition become less so when employing the same norms in a deal with a counterpart from an alien culture. Interlocutors from each culture's point will deal with their foreign interlocutor without fully recognizing the distinct context-dependent intentions and approaches [23, 24].

CONCLUSION

Effective communication is fundamental to the negotiation process, influencing the outcome of settlements in both personal and professional settings. The ability to actively listen, interpret verbal and nonverbal cues, build trust, and adapt to cultural differences significantly enhances a negotiator's success. By developing and refining these skills, negotiators can foster productive discussions, reduce misunderstandings, and create long-lasting agreements. Ultimately, mastering communication strategies in negotiations leads to more favorable outcomes, strengthening relationships and promoting cooperation among parties.

REFERENCES

1. Kramár J, Eccles T, Gemp I, Tacchetti A, McKee KR, Malinowski M, Graepel T, Bachrach Y. Negotiation and honesty in artificial intelligence methods for the board game of Diplomacy. *Nature Communications*. 2022 Dec 6;13(1):7214. [nature.com](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29114-1)
2. Kalogiannidis S. Business trust and communication as predictors of business growth. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*. 2021;18(10):1512-22.
3. Venugopal S, Viswanathan M. Negotiated agency in the face of consumption constraints: a study of women entrepreneurs in subsistence contexts. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*. 2021 Jul;40(3):336-53. [researchgate.net](https://doi.org/10.1108/JPPM-05-2021-0011)
4. Kolb DM. Too bad for the women or does it have to be? Gender and negotiation research over the past twenty-five years. *Negotiation Journal*. 2009 Oct 14;25(4):515-31.
5. Bowden J, Mirzaei A. Consumer engagement within retail communication channels: an examination of online brand communities and digital content marketing initiatives. *European Journal of Marketing*. 2021 May 11;55(5):1411-39.

6. Verčič AT. The impact of employee engagement, organisational support and employer branding on internal communication satisfaction. *Public Relations Review*. 2021 Mar 1;47(1):102009.
7. Tamminen KA, Bissett JE, Azimi S, Kim J. Parent and child car-ride interactions before and after sport competitions and practices: Video analysis of verbal and non-verbal communication. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*. 2022 Jan 1;58:102095. [\[HTML\]](#)
8. Khan M, Zeb S. Academic conversation: a case to exemplify the influence of non-verbal communication on human interaction. *NUST Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*. 2021 Jun 7;7(1):79-98.
9. Edwards-Fapohunda DM. The role of adult learning and education in community development: A case study of New York. *Iconic Research And Engineering Journals*. 2024 Jul;8(1):437-54. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
10. Khahro SH, Talpur MA, Bhellar MG, Das G, Shaikh H, Sultan B. GIS-based sustainable accessibility mapping of urban parks: Evidence from the second largest settlement of Sindh, Pakistan. *Sustainability*. 2023 Apr 4;15(7):6228. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
11. Karapetyan A. ENHANCING NEGOTIATION SKILLS WITHIN EDUCATIONAL SETTING. *Armenian Folia Anglistika*. 2024 May 15;20(1 (29)):107-14.
12. Tustonja M, Stipić DT, Skoko I, Čuljak A, Vegar A. ACTIVE LISTENING—A MODEL OF EMPATHETIC COMMUNICATION IN THE HELPING PROFESSIONS. *Medicina Academica Integrativa*. 2024 Mar 13;1(1):42-7. [sum.ba](https://www.sum.ba)
13. Helmold M. Nonverbal communication. *Successful international negotiations: A practical guide for managing transactions and deals*. 2020:163-72.
14. Baten RA, Hoque E. Technology-driven alteration of nonverbal cues and its effects on negotiation. *Negotiation Journal*. 2021 Feb 6;37(1):35-47.
15. Liang L, Li H, Sun C. Asymmetric information, signaling, and round listing prices: evidence from China's housing market. *Applied Economics*. 2024 Sep 19;56(44):5289-301.
16. Zhang H. China and climate multilateralism: A review of theoretical approaches. *Politics and Governance*. 2022;10(2):50-60.
17. Rozell JC, Ast MP, Jiranek WA, Kim RH, Della Valle CJ. Outpatient total joint arthroplasty: the new reality. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2021 Jul 1;36(7):S33-9. [\[HTML\]](#)
18. Kähkönen T, Blomqvist K, Gillespie N, Vanhala M. Employee trust repair: A systematic review of 20 years of empirical research and future research directions. *Journal of Business Research*. 2021 Jun 1;130:98-109. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
19. Alam A. Social robots in education for long-term human-robot interaction: socially supportive behaviour of robotic tutor for creating robo-tangible learning environment in a guided discovery learning interaction. *ECS Transactions*. 2022 Apr 24;107(1):12389.
20. Baker MT, Lu P, Parrella JA, Leggette HR. Consumer acceptance toward functional foods: A scoping review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022 Jan 22;19(3):1217. [mdpi.com](https://www.mdpi.com)
21. Taala WD. Hermeneutic Phenomenology in the Context of Knowledge, Attitude and Practices (KAP) on Pedagogy Among Faculty Teaching During the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Studies Journal*:91.
22. McCormick KM, Guilbault KM. Thriving Amidst the Pandemic: Teaching Gifted Students Online and the Role of Adaptation and Innovation. *Journal of Advanced Academics*. 2024 May;35(2):199-229. [\[HTML\]](#)
23. Sudartini S. Preserving cultural identity: The imperative of prioritizing Indonesian character values in English textbooks to safeguard against cultural hegemony in language learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan Karakter*. 2024 Apr 1;1(1):21-37.
24. Sudartini S. Preserving cultural identity: The imperative of prioritizing Indonesian character values in English textbooks to safeguard against cultural hegemony in language learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan Karakter*. 2024 Apr 1;1(1):21-37.

CITE AS: Abenaitwe Jackline (2025). Communication Skills for Negotiating Settlements. *Research Output Journal of Arts and Management* 4(1):36-40. <https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJAM/2025/413640>