



Strategies for Inclusive Education in Public Systems

Asiimwe Aisha

Department of Public Administration and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Inclusive education aims to provide equitable learning opportunities for all students, regardless of their abilities, backgrounds, or socio-economic status. This paper examines strategies for fostering inclusive education within public systems, examining legal frameworks, school culture, teacher training, student support systems, collaboration, and assessment practices. While international policies promote inclusive education, implementation challenges persist due to resource limitations, teacher preparedness, and societal attitudes. This study underscores the need for well-structured teacher training, school-community partnerships, and equitable policies to ensure meaningful inclusion. The paper concludes by highlighting the significance of a holistic, multi-stakeholder approach to making inclusive education a sustainable reality.

Keywords: Inclusive Education, Equity in Learning, Teacher Training, Educational Policies, Special Needs Education, Public School Systems.

INTRODUCTION

Since the biggest aim of any educational system is to educate learners in environments where they feel welcome and they can achieve their full potential, it is imperative for current education systems to examine and improve inclusive educational practices. Inclusive education is rooted within the understanding that it is common to have diversity in both learners' needs and learners' backgrounds. With the increasing awareness of educational needs for children and students with disabilities, mental health problems, geographic limitations, or personal reasons freedom, developing education systems at or near home has become more important in those areas. A fully inclusive environment is a goal, but not a reality in today's education system. Some learners experience a more inclusive education than others, but many still are excluded/included on the margins. To an understanding of what inclusive education is, an overview of current definitions, and its guiding principles is presented. Then the discussion moved towards key topics related to inclusive practices followed by a discussion on the creation of inclusive environments. Finally, the concluding remarks emphasise the importance of inclusive practices in the success of meeting the inclusive education policy goals. This provides a reflective analysis of the policy and practice of inclusive education and strategies to further encourage inclusivity. Inclusive education is seen as a way to ensure that all learners' needs are met, equity is ensured, and that students are provided with the instruction necessary to be successful [1, 2].

Understanding Inclusive Education

Inclusive education describes how schools should develop systems to support effective learning for all students. Such schools embrace everyone, reducing barriers linked to economy, society, and disabilities. Education systems shape society, recognizing diversity and capitalizing on individual strengths benefits all students. This inclusive approach counters exclusion, particularly in India's competitive education scene, making it a vital and beneficial option for a productive educational and social atmosphere. It connects with low-income and under-informed communities, promoting respect and support. Inclusive education emphasizes enhancing schools and communities to welcome all students, irrespective of their abilities or disabilities. An increasing number of nations acknowledge the need for inclusive systems to address human diversity. Educators should ask critical questions regarding inclusion and disabilities: What structures are inadequate? What roles do special needs children play in different contexts? How

can limited resources and attitudes align for inclusive learning? How can communities help support inclusion? How do we ensure that inclusive education is a continuous effort? Transforming traditional schools into inclusive ones faces challenges, such as existing commitments to segregated models and resistance to change. The competitive education focus complicates progress. Nevertheless, the worldwide movement for inclusive education reform presents proactive opportunities. Investing in early childhood development, teacher training, and supporting out-of-school children is crucial. Real-life challenges faced by individuals like Vijay reveal sustainability gaps. Education policies meant to improve quality often face scrutiny, especially regarding access. Despite policies allowing re-admission of special needs children, stigma often discourages family participation. Communities perceiving strict schooling as exclusionary may withdraw support, and discrimination against students with special needs worsens stigma, while negative attitudes from teachers and peers impede reintegration. Legal measures tackling these challenges are limited, with many violations of children's rights remaining unaddressed [3, 4].

Legal Frameworks and Policies

Public education systems around the world serve students from various backgrounds with diverse needs. Over the last quarter-century, a global movement has sought to enhance the quality of education for students with diverse needs through inclusive education. These efforts have included changes to the way education is delivered to ensure that schools are capable of responding to diverse student populations. Inclusive education has taken root in the policy discourse of many countries, but it is the implementation of this policy within public systems that remains daunting [5, 6]. The evolution toward inclusive education has been facilitated by international conventions and national laws. Since the initiation of the Jomtien and Salamanca Conferences in the early 1990s, educational systems worldwide have been acknowledging the need to extend educational opportunities as widely as possible. As a result, many countries have reformulated their National Policies on Education which now contain some commitment to 'inclusive schools' as essential in achieving the Education for All objective. This global commitment has uncovered the lack of adequate legal frameworks or the existence of framework laws that do not conform to perceived global standards regarding inclusive education [7, 8]. However, legislation by itself is not a guarantee for realization. The established legal mandates for comparable quality education might not be implemented as envisaged. Effective implementation who and what should have equitable and adequate access to education, as well as on how public resources should be allocated among various types of service providers. Under current legal mandates, the primary responsibility for realizing the right of comparable quality primary education lies with the state. Having the choice of private schools might have an adverse impact on state school quality and consequently on equity in education. Moreover, although required by law, many state primary educational institutions charge fees. Despite quantity expansion in primary schooling, net enrolment rates in almost half of the districts are below the national average and drop-out rates are relatively high. This has a negative impact on domestic workers forming in a childhood. Since the selected sample of private institutions is concentrated in urban areas, the write-up also suggests many students who completed primary schooling from feeder MDRPs could not attend private schools due to the distance. Hence, the public sector has relatively important meaning to ensure equitable access to comparable quality primary education. This short write-up emphasizes the obligation on state institutions to respect the principles of equity, especially with regard to quality basic education. On examination of the sort of obligations a state has in order to respect the provision of the right to education [9, 10].

Building Inclusive School Culture

One of the central aspects of inclusive education is the idea of integrating students with disabilities or other differences into general education classrooms within the public school system. However, the reality of inclusive education is complex. Cultural differences, student behavioral management, educator quality, necessary funding requirements, and curriculum disparities due to the demands of standardized testing are just a few of the many issues that will undoubtedly complicate this program in the public school system. Despite these difficulties, students with disabilities have made significant progress academically, behaviorally, and socially because of the inclusive programs in which they participated in general classes with children without disabilities [11, 12]. Although a diverse body of literature exists on the topic of inclusive education, a study analyzing the effort to cultivate an inclusive culture of schools involves unique perspectives from high school students, some of whom are in special education. Students and high school students who are not in special education and who all have different socio-demographic affiliations. By considering all these viewpoints, this study fills a gap in the research on work that successfully generates an inclusive educational environment for all young people, across the disability spectrum, in the public school system. This analysis also contributes a unique understanding of the challenges that school

culture poses to students who have a rapid tendency towards the coexistence of peers with disabilities, as mandated by law [13, 14].

Teacher Training and Professional Development

Teachers are the frontline of inclusive education and their training plays a critical role in the insourcing of inclusive education. It is essential for educators to acquire necessary skills and knowledge to handle a diverse cohort of students. Simultaneously, continuous teacher training throughout their career needs to be ensured. In Wales, educators receive ongoing training and support. Nonetheless, a recent study found that teachers in Wales felt like they needed training in order to be effectively inclusive. A review of various models of professional development programs aimed at enabling educators to become more adept at inclusive practices found that active learning increased teacher efficacy the most. Traditional training workshops have minimal effects due to lack of both embedded strategies and content reinforcement. This stresses the importance of the approach used in teacher training programs and can inform such programs aimed at inclusive practices. Moreover, educators are expected to implement various strategies and practices within the regular classroom in order for inclusive education to be successful. This includes adapting teaching methods, utilizing a diverse range of materials, structuring the learning environment accordingly and differentiating instruction. Studies reveal that mainstream teachers struggle with this task. Their major pedagogical difficulties are in implementing necessary adaptations for students with SEN and adjusting the curriculum to suit the distinct needs of their learners. They can receive additional, informal support from their colleagues in facing these and other challenges when teaching inclusively. An analysis of the total impacts of inclusive strategy usage revealed that teachers used methods in clumps, indicating a need for the development of a wider variety of practices within the teachers' skill set. Findings also showed that more experienced teachers used less differentiation techniques and that certain school factors could predict teachers' use of diverse strategies [15, 16].

Supporting Students with Diverse Needs

In inclusive classrooms, students have diverse learning styles and needs, including those with disabilities and gifted individuals. Traditional lecture methods may not suit all; some may struggle with focus, while others excel academically but lack emotional maturity. Recognizing these differences is essential; teaching should adapt to various learning styles instead of using a one-size-fits-all approach. Schools must create inclusive cultures through personalized support and individual learning plans, developing comprehensive policies rather than isolating students. Teachers need ongoing training and resources to identify effective strategies for supporting struggling learners. Inclusive education involves all children attending the same school. While separate programs for gifted students can exist, the emphasis should remain on inclusivity. Peer support enhances psychological well-being and promotes respect among students from different backgrounds. Shared activities foster understanding and reduce segregation. Schools should recognize all students' talents and provide role models to encourage acceptance of diversity. Gifted students require tailored support through mentorship and enrichment programs, coupled with parent collaboration to bolster self-esteem and success perceptions. An inclusive atmosphere is crucial for children with developmental differences. Small class sizes and inclusive pedagogy training for instructors are vital. Additional support, like peer tutoring and shadow teachers, should address various learning needs. Education departments must ensure schools provide resources for diverse learners, establishing norms that uphold every child's right to education. Clear guidelines for supporting learning must be actively promoted to create an equitable educational environment [17, 18].

Collaboration and Partnerships

Inclusive schooling is a practice in its development in some countries. Nevertheless, in a context where the actual number of people with special needs attending school is obviously restrictive, the National of Education of Brazil in 2008 made available the Policy Document on Special Education in the Perspective of Educational Inclusion. This document marks the beginning of a different era for schools. The guidelines for the different school systems describe a philosophy of school construction, suggesting how schools, in addition to being well-equipped and beautiful, should have an adequate physical structure that provides access, participation, learning and continued progress for all students. But, questions are already heard in schools, often unprepared, about ways of dealing with the differences that now largely enter their classrooms, given the expansion in the number of students with special needs who are now part of the school system. From this Policy, one of its guidelines highlighted the need to indicate professionals of the system to work together and to prepare joint, intersectoral and interinstitutional actions. This collaborative procedure is of the utmost importance for the transformation of schools. *_TEAM_ An Overview of Inclusive Schools and Education Systems* adapts the concept of collaboration with a view to the promotion of school inclusion. It does so from a perspective that stresses the necessity of interplaying

among principals, pedagogical coordinators, teachers, family professionals, aiming at ensuring continuous school education [19, 20].

Assessment and Evaluation in Inclusive Settings

Assessment is crucial for understanding diverse student learning. Effective assessment practices provide essential formative information for instruction, supporting inclusive education that values equity and access for all learners. This involves creating customized environments that recognize diversity in backgrounds, abilities, and needs. Traditional assessment methods may not serve all students effectively, necessitating the exploration of varied assessment tools and strategies to better capture individual progress. Each resource may highlight strengths or areas for improvement, creating opportunities for tailored instruction. Emphasizing individual growth acknowledges that learning rates differ. Formative assessment serves as a valuable method in inclusive classrooms, offering qualitative or quantitative feedback to tailor the learning environment continuously. It fosters understanding of each student's educational journey, guiding educators in enhancing inclusive practices school-wide. Evaluative methods uphold the goals of equality, equity, and achievement in inclusive settings. Continuous reassessment programs are vital for holistic evaluation. Ethical considerations are significant, as many students in inclusive classrooms may not have received adequate educational support before entering. Assessments must be fair, especially regarding formal evaluations, prompting a need for an equitable evaluation model across inclusion programs. Culturally relevant pedagogy frames assessment as an ongoing practice, guiding educational instruction, with active student engagement in understanding their learning processes. Students' comprehension of evaluation is essential for empowerment, and dialogic interactions facilitate feedback. A strategic plan should leverage these interactions to enhance assessment experiences and educational dialogues. The discussed components are not a prescriptive plan but foundational to understanding fair assessment and its implementation in inclusive education [21, 22].

CONCLUSION

Inclusive education is fundamental to achieving equity in public school systems. While global policies emphasize its importance, effective implementation remains a challenge due to infrastructural, pedagogical, and societal barriers. The success of inclusive education depends on comprehensive teacher training, accessible learning environments, collaborative support networks, and assessment strategies that accommodate diverse learning needs. Public education systems must prioritize resource allocation and community engagement to foster a culture of inclusion. By embracing inclusive practices, schools can empower all students, creating an educational landscape that values diversity and promotes equal opportunities for academic and social success.

REFERENCES

1. Landsman J, Lewis CW. White teachers/diverse classrooms: A guide to building inclusive schools, promoting high expectations, and eliminating racism. Stylus Publishing, LLC. PO Box 605, Herndon, VA 20172-0605; 2006 Apr.
2. Connor DJ, Gabel SL, Gallagher DJ, Morton M. Disability studies and inclusive education—implications for theory, research, and practice. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. 2008 Sep 1;12(5-6):441-57.
3. Chen W, Yuan X. Financial inclusion in China: an overview. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*. 2021 Dec;15:1-21.
4. Fanzo J, Haddad L, Schneider KR, Béné C, Covic NM, Guarin A, Herforth AW, Herrero M, Sumaila UR, Aburto NJ, Amuyunzu-Nyamongo M. Rigorous monitoring is necessary to guide food system transformation in the countdown to the 2030 global goals. *Food Policy*. 2021 Oct 1;104:102163. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
5. Hoa NT, Huy DT, Van Trung T. IMPLEMENTATION OF STUDENTS'S SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH POLICY AT UNIVERSAL EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS IN VIETNAM IN TODAY SITUATION AND SOLUTIONS. *Review of International Geographical Education Online*. 2021 Oct 1;11(10). dinhtranngochuy.com
6. Kart A, Kart M. Academic and social effects of inclusion on students without disabilities: A review of the literature. *Education Sciences*. 2021 Jan 1;11(1):16.
7. Deroncele-Acosta A, Ellis A. Overcoming challenges and promoting positive education in inclusive schools: A multi-country study. *Education Sciences*. 2024 Oct 27;14(11):1169.
8. Rofiah NH, Kawai N, Hayati EN. Key elements of disaster mitigation education in inclusive school setting in the Indonesian context. *Jambá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*. 2021;13(1):1-8. scielo.org.za

9. Chen G, Chen P, Huang W, Zhai J. Continuance intention mechanism of middle school student users on online learning platform based on qualitative comparative analysis method. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. 2022;2022(1):3215337. [wiley.com](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3215337)
10. Sihombing AA, Anugrah Sari S, Parlina N, Kusumastuti YS. "Merdeka Belajar" in an Online Learning during the COVID-19 Outbreak: Concept and Implementation. *Asian Journal of University Education*. 2021 Oct;17(4):35-45. [ed.gov](https://doi.org/10.1108/ajue-10-2021-0035)
11. Hurwitz S, Garman-McClaine B, Carlock K. Special education for students with autism during the COVID-19 pandemic: "Each day brings new challenges". *Autism*. 2022 May;26(4):889-99.
12. McGuire SN, Meadan H. General educators' perceptions of social inclusion of elementary students with emotional and behavioral disorders. *Behavioral Disorders*. 2022 Nov;48(1):16-28.
13. Jeon J, Lee S, Choi S. A systematic review of research on speech-recognition chatbots for language learning: Implications for future directions in the era of large language models. *Interactive Learning Environments*. 2024 Sep 13;32(8):4613-31.
14. Anđić B, Lavicza Z, Ulbrich E, Cvjetičanin S, Petrović F, Maričić M. Contribution of 3D modelling and printing to learning in primary schools: a case study with visually impaired students from an inclusive Biology classroom. *Journal of Biological Education*. 2024 Aug 7;58(4):795-811. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/00218758.2024.2388111)
15. Leonard NM, Smyth S. Does training matter? Exploring teachers' attitudes towards the inclusion of children with autism spectrum disorder in mainstream education in Ireland. *International journal of inclusive education*. 2022 Jun 7;26(7):737-51.
16. Warnes E, Done EJ, Knowler H. Mainstream teachers' concerns about inclusive education for children with special educational needs and disability in England under pre-pandemic conditions. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*. 2022 Jan;22(1):31-43. [wiley.com](https://doi.org/10.1177/14645722211019111)
17. Page A, Charteris J, Anderson J, Boyle C. Fostering school connectedness online for students with diverse learning needs: Inclusive education in Australia during the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*. 2021 Jan 1;36(1):142-56. [exeter.ac.uk](https://doi.org/10.1080/01651375.2021.1911111)
18. Demchenko I, Maksymchuk B, Bilan V, Maksymchuk I, Kalynovska I. Training future physical education teachers for professional activities under the conditions of inclusive education. *BRAIN. Broad Research in Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience*. 2021 Aug 23;12(3):191-213. [lumenpublishing.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/10701751.2021.1911111)
19. Comarú MW, Lopes RM, Braga LA, Batista Mota F, Galvão C. A bibliometric and descriptive analysis of inclusive education in science education. *Studies in Science Education*. 2021 Jul 3;57(2):241-63. [fiocruz.br](https://doi.org/10.1080/00218758.2021.1911111)
20. Françaço R, Paucar-Caceres A, Belderrain MC. Combining Value-Focused thinking and soft systems methodology: A systemic framework to structure the planning process at a special educational needs school in Brazil. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*. 2022 May 4;73(5):994-1013. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/00218758.2021.1911111)
21. Sortwell A, Trimble K, Ferraz R, Geelan DR, Hine G, Ramirez-Campillo R, Carter-Thuiller B, Gkintoni E, Xuan Q. A systematic review of meta-analyses on the impact of formative assessment on K-12 students' learning: Toward sustainable quality education. *Sustainability*. 2024 Sep 8;16(17):7826. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/su16177826)
22. Lo YY, Leung C. Conceptualising assessment literacy of teachers in content and language integrated learning programmes. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*. 2022 Nov 26;25(10):3816-34. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/10804009.2022.2111111)

CITE AS: Asiiimwe Aisha. (2025). Strategies for Inclusive Education in Public Systems. Research Output Journal of Arts and Management 4(1):31-35. ([http://doi.org/10.59298/ROJAM/2025/413135](https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJAM/2025/413135))