



Research Output Journal of Education 5(2):20-25, 2025

ROJE Publications

PRINT ISSN: 1115-6139

<https://rojournals.org/roj-education/>

ONLINE ISSN: 1115-9324

<https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJE/2025/522025>

Page | 20

# Ethical Dilemmas in Legal Advertising

Kagaba Amina G.

Faculty of Business, Kampala International University, Uganda

## ABSTRACT

Legal advertising is a vital tool for attorneys to connect with potential clients and ensure access to justice. However, it presents numerous ethical challenges, balancing business goals with professional responsibilities. This paper examines the evolution of legal advertising, from its historical restrictions to the contemporary landscape shaped by digital marketing and social media. The ethical dilemmas discussed include truth in advertising, conflicts of interest, deceptive practices, and the tension between representation and profit. Through a regulatory framework analysis and real-world case studies, this paper underscores the importance of adhering to ethical principles to maintain public trust and the integrity of the legal profession. Recommendations emphasize transparent, truthful, and client-centered advertising strategies to align with both professional standards and societal expectations.

**Keywords:** Legal Advertising, Ethical Challenges, Attorney Marketing, Truth in Advertising, Deceptive Practices, Conflicts of Interest.

## INTRODUCTION

Legal services are a business in every sense of the term. While the root of the legal profession is to help those in need and assist in the protection of rights and property, many attorneys need to advertise and compete for clients. This often puts attorneys in a position where their advertising strategies can conflict with their ethical obligations. Excessive advertising or unethical tactics may serve to harm clients or potential clients. Additionally, it has the potential to create a perception of lawyers as unethical, dishonest, and untrustworthy, which can harm the image of the profession. If the public has a general distrust of lawyers, their willingness to hire a lawyer or their confidence in attorneys can be affected. Attorneys must balance their business interests in drawing in clients with their responsibility to be honest and ethical when doing so [1, 2]. This aims to assist existing attorneys or potential law students in having a better understanding of the challenges underlying legal advertising, especially from an ethical perspective. It will first discuss the advertising allowance today within the Rules of Professional Conduct. It will then analyze the various ethical dilemmas and questions to consider when advertising your legal services. Ethical issues are vital for attorneys from a business perspective, a legal perspective, and an ethical perspective [3, 4].

### Overview of Legal Advertising

Legal advertising allows attorneys to promote their services to potential clients, helping reach vulnerable populations who may struggle to find quality legal representation. However, it also raises concerns about client exploitation and the risk that attorneys may not meet their needs. Historically, attorneys advertised through newspapers, radio, television, and sponsored events, with legal marketing spending exceeding \$1 billion annually. The rise of the internet transformed advertising, making it interactive and data-driven, though it caused some anxiety among practitioners. Social media enhanced client testimonials and trust, making clients more likely to choose attorneys based on personal performance reviews. For clients who are hesitant to engage with attorneys, the Internet serves as a vital resource. Research indicates that low-income individuals and certain minority groups often seek legal help immediately after a disruptive event [5, 6].

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

### **Regulatory Framework**

The first advertising effort of any legal practice must involve understanding the ethical guidelines addressing the advertising of legal firms. Beyond understanding these guidelines, one must seek legal counsel to ensure that promotional materials and testimonials conform to the requirements directly facing the law firm or solo practice. This text first introduces the regulatory frameworks in place for legal advertising. Next, several strategies can be utilized to ensure compliance with the advertising guidelines. The focus of this text, however, is on the ethical dilemmas posed once such compliance measures are engaged. The law firm advertising section addresses a variety of information regarding the issues and contains a selection of administrative and judicial decisions [7, 8]. The regulation of legal advertising is a blend of several factors: a state statute, ethical guidelines and rules, state interpretations of these guidelines, and local customs within a given jurisdiction. Any attorney choosing to enter the advertising arena will either have to become familiar with the law or join his or her state's bar association committee dealing with this particular issue. Attorneys can be disciplined for not conforming to these rules. The specific bodies enforcing these guidelines and rules vary. Failure to comply with the standards governing lawyer advertising results in disciplinary procedures and the abuse of lawyer advertising widely appears in case law indexes under the heading of legal ethics. As individual states developed lawyer advertisement-specific regulations, legal interpretations of many common advertising scenarios can be used for research. Overall, all lawyer advertising guidelines and opinions promulgated by the state bar associations seek to guarantee several goals in attorney advertising, including fairness, accuracy, honesty, truth, avoidance of unjust, excessive, or misleading advertising, the independence and integrity of the attorney, and the profession. The public's perception of the attorney must be maintained and promoted at a high ethical level. A key tension continues between keeping the law as constitutionally guaranteed under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and the easy and inexpensive technological and communication tools opening attorneys to large public audiences. Today, advertising content and technology continue to change rapidly. So too are the issues that arise in conforming the First Amendment's guarantee of commercial free speech to the attorney advertisement rules and the controversial interest of protecting the public from misleading, deceptive, or dangerous attorney advertising and solicitation. Information in general is vital to striving for complete and adequately researched practice. A most fruitful section of this research will likely feature the proactive attorney or law firm searching out and providing information in an increasing but circumspect manner [9, 10].

#### **Comprehensive Standards and Regulations Guiding Attorney Advertising Practices**

This project is an investigation into the standards and rules that guide the professional advertising material of an attorney. It seeks to analyze the relationships between the ethical guidelines and objectives, and the manipulation and misinformation dilution techniques utilized by marketing and advertising professionals to attract and retain a clientele. It is the goal of this research to promote the use of transparent advertising, guided by ethical considerations, encouraging critical information intervention in an otherwise complex environment. This paper examines how the code of ethics constituting advertising rules for legal professionals endeavors to minimize the risks that could arise from unfair competition and the provision of wrong or even manipulated information during business transactions. It further seeks to present the fundamental factors behind the promise of using the advertising content of other legal professionals as a way to analyze an international legal service profile [11, 12]. The decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Bates v. State Bar of Arizona* in 1977 was a turning point in legal advertising. This decision reversed the previous jurisprudence argued by those who considered the ban on advertising an unconstitutional restriction of freedom of expression. The legal profession began to be the subject of cooperation between the federal entities of the various American Union States and the sector's union representatives, through the elaboration of a Model Rule for their advertising. This cooperation was designed as an attempt to avoid federal legislative intervention that would undoubtedly result in more rigid rules [13, 14].

#### **Truth in Advertising**

The Rules of Professional Conduct prohibit a lawyer or law firm from making false or misleading communication about the lawyer or the lawyer's services. This prohibition is premised on the belief that such advertising misrepresents to the public the services available and ultimately harms the public. It is believed that rather than convey the truth about what the lawyer can and cannot do for the client, false and misleading advertising seeks merely to solicit legal work. Clients are injured when an attorney's capabilities are misrepresented in advertising because they are led to believe something about the

attorney and the attorney's capabilities that is not true. Further, the legal system is injured when the public believes in unrealistic promises that are made in advertising. Advertising that misrepresents a firm's uniqueness and special abilities has no place in a legal profession that aspires to ethical behavior. Advertising that carries such a message is false, dishonest, and likely to mislead the public [15, 16]. Drawing the line on truth in advertising is a difficult issue that makes truthfulness an attractive mechanism for dealing with client trust. There are problems associated with the truthfulness argument in that clients can have unrealistic expectations or simply fail to understand what many of the statements mean. The attorney may be telling the truth, but providing information that may not be useful to the consumer, such as "I am aggressive"—this may be seen as too general and nondescriptive about what the representation would entail. The analysis suggests that mere truthfulness may not be enough. However, this is not to completely dismiss the idea of trust as having value in lawyer advertising. The overall message of this concept is that truthfulness (when put in context) has value in the professional message and that this alone may provide a basis for protecting it. Ethical advertising is tied to building trust with the law firm's current and potential future clients. Building trust through communication is not only seen as something good to do but is also important given how the attorney-client relationship operates in the real world. It is important that attorneys create an atmosphere of trust as they help counsel the clients and further the ends of justice. Thus, it is recommended that legal advertising should be honest. The truth in legal advertising is not only a client protection function but also ensures that the public's perception of the legal profession is true to reality [17, 18].

### **Deceptive Practices**

Another troubling ethical concern pertains to deceptive practices. Legal professionals may engage in misleading advertising through various means, including pseudocompetitions, deceptive advertising, or misleading titles and credentials, which negatively impact perceptions of the legal profession. False promises and misleading assertions of certification also affect consumer confidence in specific attorneys. Serious implications arise from such behaviors, as demonstrated in a case where an attorney was reprimanded for falsely stating services provided by his firm and promising personal service, violating rules on truthfulness. The psychological effects of deception can attract clients initially, but once potential clients discover these tactics, they may lose trust and potentially alienate others who could have used their services. Therefore, marketing and advertising decisions must be guided by integrity and honesty to prevent ongoing ethical missteps as a firm grows. Adhering to ethical considerations can protect a firm from irreversible damage to its reputation. The public is acutely aware of attorney honesty, having encountered fraudulent practitioners who exploit misleading statements. As a result, individuals may hesitate to seek legal help, further eroding trust in otherwise ethical firms [19, 20].

### **Conflicts of Interest**

Attorneys sometimes face ethical dilemmas in their dealings with clients due to the potential for conflicts of interest between clients and attorneys' goals or the goals of different clients. An attorney's representation of clients may be compromised if the consideration to serve the clients' interest is subordinated to an attorney's financial interest. Attorneys representing one party in litigation are usually precluded from representing the opposing party in some or all circumstances due to the potential conflicts inherent in such dual relationships. The comments to the relevant rules recognize dual representation of parties as a practice that raises special concern, and the rule prohibits attorneys from representing clients when that dual representation would compromise the lawyers' or clients' ability to serve as the client's fiduciary [21, 22]. An attorney who speaks to potential clients in advertisements and other communications may encounter potential client conflicts similar to those presented in dual representation scenarios. However, unlike dual representation involving actual litigation or transactional matters, potential client conflicts manifest in interactions with potential clients that may not amount to an attorney-client relationship. The conflicts that arise from attorneys' interactions with potential clients are sometimes addressed by attorney advertising rules and sometimes are not addressed by any other rule. The legal restrictions on what referrals and client testimonials can say demonstrate the complexities that lawyers face when seeking to attract business through advertising and also fulfill their duties to clients and the court. Ethical limitations on advertising are often intended to protect client interests, yet playing to the ethical duty aspect of attorney-client relationships has also spawned a new advertising golden ticket. Barrier-breaking advertising can attract clients with the appeal of unique access to a downtown lawyer - not for what downtown lawyers can provide in legal wisdom, but for what they say in their ads. While potentially lucrative, recruitment into the anomaly of a law practice's advertising campaign falls

below the minimum threshold for ethical concerns that advertising rules embody. Such recruitment practices and business goals impose additional potential conflicts on lawyers that are currently unaddressed in the rules [23, 24].

### **Representation Vs. Profit**

The relationship between legal representation and business is filled with ethical dilemmas, often pitting profit against the best interests of clients. Attorneys face the question of whether to prioritize client welfare or profit maximization. Better client recoveries typically lead to higher payouts for attorneys, but advertising legal services often shift focus from quality to quantity. While attorneys are meant to protect clients from exploitation, an ethical conflict emerges when helping clients depends on profitability. When profit takes precedence, legal advertising fosters competition among attorneys, sometimes overshadowing the actual services provided. Consequently, those in need of assistance may not receive the best representation. Attorneys must not only advocate for their clients but also strive to enhance the legal system and profession as a whole. This system must encompass both the judicial framework and the complexities of the modern economy. Additionally, advertisements can incentivize lawyers to prioritize their gain over clients' needs. Conflicting values arise, such as: (a) Paid advertising increasing malpractice risks for clients; (b) Attorney compensation not aligning with case outcomes; (c) Potential refusal of assistance; (d) Fees binding clients to lengthy processes; (e) Funding taken from collective or individual legal resources [25, 26].

### **Case Studies**

Case Study #1: Big Auto Lithograph – Attorney Advertising Gone Too Far. This case study discusses the consequences a lawyer may face when he takes attorney advertising far above ethical rules. It also discusses the steps the regulators should take when addressing filing fee misconduct. Case Study #2: The Law Office of James Clark – An ad is not just an ad This case study signifies the invisible line that exists between advertisements that are technically accurate, but quite capable of misleading potential clients. Taking advertising too far into the grey areas can also lead to damage and erosion of public confidence in the legal profession and system. Case Study #3: Parente & Norem – Lawyer advertising has a different culture This case study provides a view of how consumer advertising may be more acceptable to most people than lawyer advertising. Different cultural perceptions and understanding must be considered when reviewing attorney advertising grievances or drafting advertising opinions. Specifically, legal professionals should be particularly careful of making blanket statements that have many conditions or exceptions that are not specified. All such ads reviewed should be consistent, easily understandable, and not mischaracterize any aspect of the ad. Individuals' ethical codes are often not where they should be to keep the adherence culture of the legal community safe from lapses by a few. But, as the public officials who responded to these matters demonstrated, there should be consequences if they cannot or do not achieve that level of compliance. Compliance, a good reputation, and a robust profession however call for a hefty injection of shaming and accountability to the worst violators. The message should be from the organized Bar, you will be watched, you will be held accountable, and you will change your ways! Ads matter and not just because of their potential national audience. If the conditions fit with any ad, ones that the high-profile firms in the misconduct case did not need to analyze, they should check with their current counsel. Keep the knowledge and the skepticism! Adherence is the lynchpin for trust in the attorney-client relationship [27, 28].

### **Notable Ethical Violations**

Notable ethical violations in legal advertising remain underreported despite coverage in law blogs and journals. Key motivations for these violations include using client testimonials, celebrity endorsements in commercials, misleading small print, and past monetary figures in advertisements. Such tactics aim to expand client bases and finances, though they come with consequences. In some cases, lawyers faced probation or lost their licenses. The Bar Association upheld its responsibilities, despite client pressures to dismiss the misconduct. Lawyers must understand that trust is paramount; breaching advertising ethics erodes public confidence. Future practitioners should acknowledge errors, transparently communicate with the public, and accept consequences from the Board of Governors. Deterrence is critical; yielding to public pressure undermines justice. A trustworthy legal advertising lawyer prioritizes client welfare over personal gain, avoiding overshadowing clients for self-promotion [29, 30].

### **CONCLUSION**

Legal advertising, while essential for promoting access to legal services, must adhere to strict ethical standards to protect clients, uphold the reputation of the legal profession, and foster public trust.

Attorneys face the delicate task of balancing business objectives with their ethical obligations, particularly in an era of rapid technological and social change. Misleading or unethical advertising not only damages individual client relationships but also erodes confidence in the legal system as a whole. By prioritizing transparency, honesty, and ethical conduct, legal professionals can leverage advertising as a tool to advance justice while maintaining the profession's integrity. Stakeholders, including bar associations, regulatory bodies, and practitioners, must collaborate to ensure that advertising strategies comply with ethical standards and promote trust in the legal community.

#### REFERENCES

1. Brescia RH, McCarthy W, McDonald A, Potts K, Rivais C. Embracing disruption: How technological change in the delivery of legal services can improve access to justice. *Alb. L. Rev.*. 2014;78:553.
2. Kagan RA. American lawyers, legal culture, and adversarial legalism. In *Legal culture and the legal profession* 2021 Oct 28 (pp. 7-51). Routledge.
3. Dacin MT, Harrison JS, Hess D, Killian S, Roloff J. Business versus ethics? Thoughts on the future of business ethics. *Journal of business ethics*. 2022 Oct;180(3):863-77. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
4. Couture V, Roy MC, Dez E, Laperle S, Bélisle-Pipon JC. Ethical implications of artificial intelligence in population health and the public's role in its governance: perspectives from a citizen and expert panel. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2023 Apr 27;25:e44357. [jmir.org](https://www.jmir.org)
5. Rodems R, Pfeffer FT. Avoiding material hardship: The buffer function of wealth. *Journal of European Social Policy*. 2021 Dec;31(5):517-32.
6. Schmidt S. Finding a Home during the Affordable Housing Crisis: How Social Ties Shape Renters' Housing-Search Outcomes. *American Sociological Review*. 2024 Nov:00031224241286480.
7. Budianto EW, Dewi ND. The Role of Integrated Marketing Communications to Improving The Islamic Social Economy. *International Journal of Global Modern Research (IJGMR)*. 2024;1(1):1-8. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
8. Mogaji E, Nguyen NP. Managers' understanding of artificial intelligence in relation to marketing financial services: insights from a cross-country study. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*. 2022 Sep 1;40(6):1272-98.
9. Rauschnabel PA, Babin BJ, tom Dieck MC, Krey N, Jung T. What is augmented reality marketing? Its definition, complexity, and future. *Journal of business research*. 2022 Mar 1;142:1140-50. [\[HTML\]](#)
10. Kerr G, Richards J. Redefining advertising in research and practice. *International Journal of Advertising*. 2021 Mar 23;40(2):175-98.
11. Wachter S, Mittelstadt B, Russell C. Do large language models have a legal duty to tell the truth?. *Royal Society Open Science*. 2024 Aug 7;11(8):240197. [royalsocietypublishing.org](https://royalsocietypublishing.org)
12. Al-kfairy M, Mustafa D, Kshetri N, Insiew M, Alfandi O. Ethical challenges and solutions of generative AI: An interdisciplinary perspective. In *Informatika* 2024 Aug 9 (Vol. 11, No. 3, p. 58). MDPI.
13. Warren T. En Gardel: Geofencing and the Pressing Need to Update ABA Model Rule 7.3. *U. Louisville L. Rev.*. 2024;63:187.
14. Friedman LA. John Bates, Johns Manville, the Boy Scouts of America, and Johnson & Johnson. *Harv. JL & Pub. Pol'y Per Curiam*. 2023:1.
15. Au CH, Ho KK, Chiu DK. The role of online misinformation and fake news in ideological polarization: barriers, catalysts, and implications. *Information systems frontiers*. 2022 Aug 1:1-24.
16. Koto I. Cyber crime according to the ITE law. *International Journal Reglement & Society (IJRS)*. 2021 Jul 28;2(2):103-10. [bundamediagrup.co.id](https://www.bundamediagrup.co.id)
17. Chu SC, Kim H, Kim Y. When brands get real: the role of authenticity and electronic word-of-mouth in shaping consumer response to brands taking a stand. *International Journal of Advertising*. 2023 Aug 18;42(6):1037-64.
18. Lee SS, Johnson BK. Are they being authentic? The effects of self-disclosure and message sidedness on sponsored post effectiveness. *International Journal of Advertising*. 2022 Jan 2;41(1):30-53.

19. Richardson-Greenfield P, La Ferle C. Insights about the ethical and moral state of advertising practitioners. *Journal of Current Issues & Research in Advertising*. 2021 Apr 3;42(2):197-213. [\[HTML\]](#)
20. Othman MB, Sufi H, Hussain FB, Abdullah SM, Alang KB. Re-Examining the publicity, advertising and marketing of legal profession in Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*. 2021 Oct 10;6(10):443-55. [msocialsciences.com](https://msocialsciences.com)
21. Wald E. Class Actions' Ethical" KISS": The Class Action Lawyer's Client Is the Class. *Hastings LJ*. 2022;74:1433.
22. Seymore ML. Specialty Bar Associations and the Marketing of Ethics: The Example of the Academy of Adoption Attorneys. *Notre Dame JL Ethics & Pub. Pol'y*. 2021;35:49.
23. Reach CS. Tips and Tools for Better Client Service-Using Technology to Achieve Superlative Client Communications. *Law Prac.*. 2008;34:40.
24. Peters C. Traumatized defendants, troubled attorneys: The impact of vicarious trauma on the defense attorney-client relationship. *City University of New York John Jay College of Criminal Justice*; 2022.
25. Kowalski SP. Bridging the Paradigmatic Crevasse between Lawyers and Scientists: The Need for New Institutional Models. *The University of New Hampshire Law Review*. 2024;22(2):10.
26. Antonopoulou G. Forum Marketing in International Commercial Courts?. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 2024;44(4):860-88.
27. Frisoni GB, Altomare D, Thal DR, Ribaldi F, van der Kant R, Ossenkoppele R, Blennow K, Cummings J, van Duijn C, Nilsson PM, Dietrich PY. The probabilistic model of Alzheimer disease: the amyloid hypothesis revised. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2022 Jan;23(1):53-66. [nih.gov](https://nih.gov)
28. Waisbord S. Trolling journalists and the risks of digital publicity. *In Journalism and Safety 2024 Nov 25* (pp. 61-77). Routledge.
29. Charoh K. Social Media use in the Legal Profession and Professional Ethics and Conduct of Legal Professionals in Kenya. Available at SSRN 4201956. 2022 Aug 27.
30. Báez A. For A Long Time When I Was A Child. *Callaloo*. 2000;23(3):978-.

**CITE AS: Kagaba Amina G. (2025). Ethical Dilemmas in Legal Advertising. Research Output Journal of Education 5(2):20-25. <https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJE/2025/522025>**