



Research Output Journal of Education 4(3):7-10, 2024

ROJE Publications

PRINT ISSN: 1115-6139

<https://rojournals.org/roj-education/>

ONLINE ISSN: 1115-9324

<https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJE/2024/43710>

Page | 7

# Public Funding Models for Education: Challenges and Solutions

Kakembo Aisha Annet

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

## ABSTRACT

Education is a cornerstone of societal development and individual empowerment, yet its funding remains a persistent global challenge. This paper examines the complexities of public funding models for education, addressing the historical evolution of these systems, the current economic and policy-related challenges, and innovative solutions. It provides an in-depth analysis of inequities in funding allocation, particularly for marginalized communities, and evaluates case studies showcasing successful strategies in diverse settings. Emphasis is placed on collaborative funding, technology-driven resource management, and data-informed decision-making as pathways to equitable and sustainable education financing. The paper concludes with actionable insights to guide policymakers in creating resilient and inclusive educational systems.

**Keywords:** Public education funding, Equity in education, Sustainable funding models, Collaborative financing, Educational technology.

## INTRODUCTION

Education is not only an inalienable right of every human child, adolescent, and adult, but also a critical and indispensable component of a country, perhaps the most important productive investment to improve development. While several factors are correlated with the quality of education, it cannot be denied that investment significantly influences educational outcomes. Higher public education investment leads to a higher level of educational attainment, which increases growth. To effectively run an educational institution, it must be adequately funded. Therefore, developing a sustainable funding source for primary, secondary, and tertiary education is irreplaceable [1, 2]. The ongoing world does not engage with the past. However, as the past promises some insights, it is inevitable to ignore the historical antecedents of public funding models for schooling and universities. Certain wicked challenges persist throughout time. A primary one for the past five decades, and likely earlier, is ensuring equitable access to quality education across race, income, disability, language spoken, and multiple other demographic variables. One way to ensure high-quality public education for all is to ensure schools, colleges, and universities are funded at adequate levels over time. Despite the critical need for adequate sustained funding, developing sensible approaches to this end is complex. The purpose of this paper is to present economic challenges in public funding models for education at the school and college levels before shifting to funding issues for public universities [3, 4].

### Historical Overview of Public Funding for Education

To fully understand the difficulties of contemporary discussion of public funding of education and potential solutions that are under consideration, it is important to provide some historical context. The historical study of public funding of education usually begins with the reconstruction of the history of educational production as a collective good, a historical process that has received the attention of only a few scholars. The notion of education as a private, rival, and excludable good, which it seems reasonable to produce and provide in the marketplace, is thus relatively modern and has emerged as a response to the conditions prevailing within specific historical periods. It has largely been policy documents outlining and justifying debates about access to public education that provide the basis for reconstruction [5, 6]. For much of United States history, human capital has been perceived as a "public good" which society has elected to subsidize out of the public treasury. With the Massachusetts School Law of 1642, the

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Compulsory Education Laws of the 1850s, and the Morrill Act of 1862 designed to extend educational opportunity to young men in agriculture and the mechanical arts, states attempted to make schooling more universal to promote the economic, moral, and social welfare of society, as well as to train the social elites. The earliest provision for state aid to education was for creating schools in locations where tax revenues could not generate sufficient funding. By the middle to last part of the 19th century, jury after jury had recognized that the full potential cost of education was well beyond most English local governments and included access to educational "extras" such as books and payment for specialist staff [7, 8].

### **Challenges in Current Public Funding Models**

The paucity of funding for education often prohibits districts from providing a high-quality education to all students, as decreed by many state constitutions and their enabling statutes. Publicly reported fiscal data, combined with research analyses, show the declining availability of state and local revenues and, as a result, the fiscal stress facing hundreds of public education districts. In the four years before presenting the workshop on the 35th conference, 12 of 14 New York State grantee districts, each with a "transformational school" serving children below the poverty level and other forms of family adversity, reported less than "breaking even" fiscal health. Research dating back to the early 1970s and continuing today identifies a major imbalance between adequacy and equity at both the district and school levels [9, 10].

Challenges: Under state and local law, public school districts must provide a thorough and efficient education for each resident child. Public schools are required to make informed choices to develop students into citizens, workers, parents, and lifelong learners. Toward these requirements, schools must develop assessments, curriculum, instruction, and instructional practices to ensure all children grow academically. Right now, districts have no choice but to help parents raise their children's academic skill levels to contribute to an electronic knowledge-based economy. What are the challenges that public institutions face to develop, offer, and maintain the research-based knowledge, skills, and dispositions for the work-related foundations in English, Math, Science, Economics, History, and Foreign Language idealization, operation, and continuous improvement on high-stakes tests in an environment of escalating costs further reduced by inflation at rates significantly greater than consumer indexes? How should public policy and systems thinking help decision-makers develop a "strategic financial plan" at the levels of an organization to achieve and maintain the state and local community's requirements for operations and continuous improvements? In short, the challenges to school finance policy-making require promising a lot and cost-effectively delivering intended assets to students, staff, and the community in a safe, secure, and healthy learning environment [11, 12].

### **Innovative Solutions and Best Practices**

This report noted that there are already successful initiatives and lessons to be learned or replicated across jurisdictions and industry sectors surrounding funding for education. Some best practices in education are discussed below. Collaborative funding: Very few initiatives are funded or resourced in isolation; typically, a range of stakeholders provides resources: government, private sector, community-based organizations, for example. In the Upper Hunter region of NSW, Education and Training was successful in gaining a grant to partner with a consulting firm to study the infrastructure required to service the needs of our future workforce. Of great assistance in leveraging this federal funding was the willingness of many stakeholders to be part of a steering committee and provide monetary and in-kind contributions to this work. These stakeholders included local government, a mining company, and various educational institutions. Technology: Technology can assist in finding alternative and innovative ways to match student learning needs to available resources. Service quality can be improved by a variety of innovative processes, including capturing and analyzing data to assist in providing the right service to the right student at the right time. It can also identify strategies of streamlined business practice that take dollars out of non-value-adding processes and redeploy staff to value-adding activities. Data-driven decision: Reallocating available resources is dependent on solid and validated evidence that this is being done efficiently. This kind of innovation can be bottom-up or top-down, but it is essential to have a clear strategic direction and strong stakeholder buy-in. Funding reform can be driven by taxpayer discontent; discontent also sometimes fuels innovation in the same way that funding concerns often lead to a motivated and very focused look at improving operational practices. In one instance, a policy was substantially driven by a serious public sector funding problem [13, 14].

### **Case Studies and Examples**

Case studies and examples of funding models to meet educational needs. Case Study/Example 5: Rural Education: Rural environments have populations with different characteristics, such as being more spread

out, making the formation of groups often difficult. Case Study/Examples 6 and 7: Whereas the previous examples dealt with rather explicit funding problems, in the following two examples, potential marginal group characteristics are presented. Case Studies 6 and 7 deal with two population groups: the typical “average” upper-middle-class family and immigrant Hispanic families [15, 16]. We present the results of these case studies, but given the present context, one cannot review examples of potential solutions to educational funding problems without mentioning charter schools. Charter schools have evolved as one potential solution to inner-city or urban overcrowding. Most of the developed world’s population lives in urban settings, and upon the renewal of cities as business centers, people are moving to urban environments. Living and population environments notwithstanding, all of the models discussed provide information or insights into additional funding sources for those in need. Factors for Successful Models: The case studies present models operating in a variety of settings, from predominantly urban to entirely rural. They are tailored to the specific needs of each targeted group and have proven successful in meeting those challenges. Analysis of the Case Studies: Each model was evolved to meet the needs of specific groups (e.g., urban, rural, well-to-do, new to the U.S., etc.) and, as such, is not universally applicable. All models require ongoing evaluation and action to keep them current [17, 18].

### CONCLUSION

The challenges of public education funding, including inequities, inadequate resource allocation, and escalating costs, necessitate innovative and collaborative approaches. Historical insights reveal the enduring complexities of providing universal access to quality education, while current case studies demonstrate the potential of technology, data-driven strategies, and stakeholder collaboration in overcoming these challenges. Sustainable and equitable funding models must be context-sensitive, adaptable, and inclusive, addressing the unique needs of diverse communities. Policymakers must prioritize long-term strategic planning and cross-sector partnerships to ensure that public education systems are resilient, efficient, and capable of preparing future generations for success in an increasingly knowledge-driven global economy.

### REFERENCES

1. Mahalik MK, Mallick H, Padhan H. Do educational levels influence environmental quality? The role of renewable and non-renewable energy demand in selected BRICS countries with a new policy perspective. *Renewable Energy*. 2021 Feb 1;164:419-32.
2. Fernández-Gutiérrez M, Gimenez G, Calero J. Is the use of ICT in education leading to higher student outcomes? Analysis from the Spanish Autonomous Communities. *Computers & Education*. 2020 Nov 1;157:103969.
3. Gómez CA, Kleinman DV, Pronk N, Gordon GL, Ochiai E, Blakey C, Johnson A, Brewer KH. Addressing health equity and social determinants of health through healthy people 2030. *Journal of public health management and practice*. 2021 Nov 1;27(Supplement 6):S249-57. [www.com](http://www.com)
4. Adigüzel T, Kaya MH, Cansu FK. Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring the transformative potential of ChatGPT. *Contemporary Educational Technology*. 2023.
5. Jakovljevic M, Liu Y, Cerda A, Simonyan M, Correia T, Mariita RM, Kumara AS, Garcia L, Krstic K, Osobohien R, Toan TK. The Global South political economy of health financing and spending landscape—history and presence. *Journal of medical economics*. 2021 Nov 16;24(sup1):25-33. [tandfonline.com](http://tandfonline.com)
6. Graves Jr JL, Kearney M, Barabino G, Malcom S. Inequality in science and the case for a new agenda. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2022 Mar 8;119(10):e2117831119. [pnas.org](http://pnas.org)
7. Saragih WA, Tanjung AA, Syafii M. The Role of Fiscal Policy in Economic Growth in Indonesia. *Indonesian Interdisciplinary Journal of Sharia Economics (IIJSE)*. 2024 Mar 2;7(1):1750-76. [uac.ac.id](http://uac.ac.id)
8. Aduma AT, Onah CC, Asadu I. Economic restructuring as a human resource panacea for Nigeria's poverty. *Poverty & Public Policy*. 2023 Sep;15(3):373-91.
9. Tello C, Goode CA. Factors and barriers that influence the matriculation of underrepresented students in medicine. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2023 May 25;14:1141045.
10. Morgan H. Alleviating the challenges with remote learning during a pandemic. *Education Sciences*. 2022 Feb 6;12(2):109.
11. Rahmelia S, Haloho O, Pongoh FD, Purwantoro B. Building an Environment That Motivates Education Sustainability in Tumbang Habaon Village, Gunung Mas, Central Kalimantan Province, During Pandemic through Participatory Action Research between Parents, Schools

- and Church. Engagement: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat. 2022 May 30;6(1):204-20. [fkdp.or.id](http://fkdp.or.id)
12. Caselli NK, Hall WC, Henner J. American Sign Language interpreters in public schools: An illusion of inclusion that perpetuates language deprivation. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2020 Nov;24:1323-9.
  13. Southworth J, Migliaccio K, Glover J, Reed D, McCarty C, Brendemuhl J, Thomas A. Developing a model for AI Across the curriculum: Transforming the higher education landscape via innovation in AI literacy. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*. 2023 Jan 1;4:100127. [sciencedirect.com](http://sciencedirect.com)
  14. Armstrong PW, Brown C, Chapman CJ. School-to-school collaboration in England: A configurative review of the empirical evidence. *Review of education*. 2021 Feb;9(1):319-51.
  15. Makhamadinovich SM. Ethnic Factors And Attitudes Towards Human Capital Development. *The American Journal of Interdisciplinary Innovations and Research*. 2021 Jan 31;3(01):118-30. [inlibrary.uz](http://inlibrary.uz)
  16. Dutta A, Fischer HW. The local governance of COVID-19: Disease prevention and social security in rural India. *World development*. 2021 Feb 1;138:105234.
  17. Slaughter-Defoe DT, Myers MJ, Stevenson HC, Arrington EG, Johnson DJ. Introduction: Towards Black educational choice. *Black educational choice: Assessing the private and public alternatives to traditional K-12 public schools*. 2012:1-0.
  18. Wynder LC. Building a Culture of Collaboration in Charter and Autonomous School Settings: Alignment Between Purpose, Problems, People, and Potential. In *Identifying Leaders for Urban Charter, Autonomous and Independent Schools: Above and Beyond the Standards 2013* Jan 30 (pp. 93-114). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

**CITE AS: Kakembo Aisha Annet. (2024). Public Funding Models for Education: Challenges and Solutions. Research Output Journal of Education 4(3):7-10. <https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJE/2024/43710>**