



Research Output Journal of Education 4(3):46-50, 2024

ROJE Publications

PRINT ISSN: 1115-6139

<https://rojournals.org/roj-education/>

ONLINE ISSN: 1115-9324

<https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJE/2024/434650>

Page | 46

The Role of Government in Promoting Educational Equity

Kakembo Aisha Annet

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Education is a vital tool for achieving societal progress and individual advancement. However, systemic inequities hinder access to quality education for marginalized groups, perpetuating cycles of poverty and inequality. This paper examines the critical role governments play in promoting educational equity, particularly in resource-constrained contexts like Nigeria. It also examines the theoretical underpinnings of educational equity, historical government interventions, and contemporary policies aimed at addressing disparities. Despite notable efforts, challenges such as funding disparities, socio-economic barriers, and decentralized governance persist. Future strategies must prioritize collaborative approaches, data-driven policies, and structural reforms to ensure equitable access to education for all.

Keywords: Educational equity, government intervention, socio-economic barriers, policy reform, funding disparities.

INTRODUCTION

Education has long been heralded as a "great equalizer" that can lift individuals from the circumstances of their birth. However, a closer look at this subject makes this contention contingent in today's so-called "knowledge economy." Children who finish the various forms of basic education today have significantly increased earning prospects for when they become adults, higher chances of avoiding engaging in social vices, and opportunities to become champions in all areas of human endeavors. This is so because of the efficient and quality education terminal examination certificate that is provided for the outgoing students at the end of each phase of the education system. Therefore, to conceptualize a morally just society, those who are governed must have equitable access to educational opportunities. Particularly, any society that fails to systematically improve the human capital of its citizenry risks becoming moribund owing to the existential vices that may thrive therein [1, 2]. The question of how to promote equity in education, particularly in Nigeria, is one of the significant 21st-century policy issues in the world of policymaking. Extensive research shows that scarce educational resources could reach the state as they are or even be reduced as long as there is a reversal in the level and intensity of government commitments to educational policies and practices that promote equity. Moreover, a government cannot easily absolve itself of individual citizens' issues as a private body because every citizen at a given period of time needs those welfare services that any capable government can provide. To synthesize our thematic indebtedness to the notion of educational equity, the second part of the paper will theorize how the government could contribute to the maintenance of educational equity in contemporary Nigeria, the philosophy of education for the governor, and the urgency of the current state of education in Nigeria [3, 4].

The Concept of Educational Equity

Educational equity is a fundamental legal doctrine in Anglo-American court systems that requires fairness in educational opportunities, different from equality, which refers to sameness and equal treatment. Equity in education emphasizes the provision of certain services or benefits that meet the specific needs of different categories of students to promote effective learning. Nowadays, the concept of educational equity can be differentiated into three dimensions: equal opportunity, equal treatment, and the

absence of between-group inequality [5, 6]. Distributive equity, procedural equity, and interactive equity embody three major models of educational equity. Distributive equity refers to the sufficiency or equality of educational resources and outcomes. Procedural equity pays attention to fair educational resources and results in distribution procedures. Interactive equity reflects individual participation as having a voice in educational affairs, which will meet individual needs at different education levels, directions, and paces. Factors such as socio-economic status, race, ethnicity, culture, language, religion, national origin, sex and gender, and disability status strongly influence children's chances for educational opportunities and success. In the difference of high or low socio-economic status, position dominates in their educational careers, such as before-school progress, language, cognition and literacy level, school readiness, health, behaviors, discipline, and special education decisions in school. People have agreed that disparities along such lines disproportionately affect children's ability to learn and to benefit from the technically superior educational system. In a large sense, this factor of inequity has been called "structural," "systematic," or "institutional." It is important to note that all types of educational inequities are correlated [7, 8]. One cannot, however, ignore gender and disability without considering socio-economic status, or race and class without considering language. Government policy has promoted various forms of educational equity, and governments in many places around the world continue to create, fund, or support various educational equity policies. Local and international governments have funded work on gender equity through various projects. A good educational equity policy facilitates students in gaining an adequate education. Educational equity is viewed as an end in itself or as a means for facilitating other social aims. Educational equity is an instrumental good and is an important component of the social capital in the income-earning potential of individuals. This perspective sees educational equity as part of a broader social project and can be drawn on to support a range of educational policies that might be less likely to be justified based on treating it as an end in itself. In Islam, the concept of educational equity is one of the most useful for social justice and a more inclusive society. Islam, in its universality, presents the concept of 'social Ummah' where every individual is judged to have equal status. Educational equity means providing the same educational opportunities for all students. It reflects society's demand that the educational system should be equivalent for all students, irrespective of gender, social status, and ethnicity. The concept of educational equity is also used to involve affirmative action in different educational fields. It has become almost impossible for governments to ignore the concept of educational equity when society is struggling for social justice and an inclusive society. Even in the private sector, corporate houses are now trying to provide equal educational opportunities by opening different branches to improve the skills of employees and providing them the chance to tackle the changing field of technology with updated knowledge [9, 10].

Historical Perspectives on Government Involvement in Education

Historically, the U.S. government did not play a role in the provision of education. This changed during the Civil War when states in rebellion often hampered efforts to provide emancipated slaves with an education. The federal government established the Bureau of Refugees, Freedmen, and Abandoned Lands, also known as the Freedman's Bureau, to provide additional educational opportunities for both whites and blacks in the South. This was the first national involvement in schooling for its own sake. In the 20th century, the federal government stepped up its involvement in education with the passage of the GI Bill. It also became involved in educational outcomes with the Education Professions Development Act, the passage of the Civil Rights Act, and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act represented a significant shift in the manner of government involvement with schools. It did not just authorize and provide funding for schools, but instead put a heavy equity focus on the neediest of American students [11, 12]. Until the late 20th and early 21st century, policy innovations at the federal level were few and far between. The legislative acts that did pass did not constitute the everyday concerns of being in the business of education; instead, they pursued concerns related to advancing racial or gender equity within the educational system. In large part, these shifts in societal or governmental priorities reflected the historical contexts in both time and place. The United States has struggled, in various historical instances, with the degree to which the federal government owes the philosophical or practical oversight and support of the public educational enterprise at large or in part. However, the federal role was largely a background one of catalyst or historic protector. The 'heavy lifting,' especially as it related to operating the actual schools, lay with a combination of state and local authorities. Thus, when the federal government made decisions – such as integrating education, for example – the government bestowed the state (and thus the local units under their supervision) with the obligation for implementation [13, 14].

Current Policies and Programs for Educational Equity

Mindful of both popular opinion and a burgeoning education reform movement, federal, state, and local policymakers have invested considerable resources in services and interventions aimed at promoting educational equity. On the federal level, numerous initiatives focus on such services, including a flagship program that began as part of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. In recent years, several reforms have aimed to use the principles of market-based accountability and the process of consumer choice to create better schools, ones that are capable of delivering education to even the poorest communities. Conversations around school choice first led to urban desegregation, then to inner-city schools, and are now directed to charter schools and private schools. Such choice reform requires individual families to act as educational consumers, to pull their children from unproductive public schools and have them enrolled in other public, charter, or private schools [15, 16]. Often guided by federal regulations or mandates, state-led reforms determine how many children gain access to supplemental programs and services. Within the context of a local setting, schools and school districts also often create programs, change curricula, and work to better ensure that children have the opportunity to learn and reach their potential. While there are valiant efforts at every level to promote educational equity, challenges from within and without the education community constrain these efforts. Educational funding formulas can also function as a tool for equity. Federal funding under specific acts, which makes special incentives to close the achievement gap for targeted students, is also an effort on the federal level to provide more resources to improve education for targeted populations. Successful educational intervention programs are now in existence that provide model programs and elements that can be reproduced in other settings. Additional tools for expanding educational opportunities have been created, which can then be transferred to local schools and communities. Quality integrated schools are a model of success in the New England area. State funding, meanwhile, may be used to improve education for districts with low local tax bases. Conclusions suggest that intergovernmental efforts to provide equal educational opportunities must continue, but must also be redirected to effectively reduce disparities. Also, any new initiatives developed at the federal, state, and local levels, which would result in the redistribution of resources, would require the collaboration of mayors, city councilpersons, school boards, and other local officials as well as community members to identify, prioritize, and authenticate a variety of strategies. The accomplishments of both initiatives are ambitious and include a wide variety of programs and tools that cut across policy and regional lines. However, as can be seen in a number of these case studies, funding disparities among students often remain an issue in various states. In one state, a lawsuit resulted in the establishment of a bipartisan commission that studied this issue and later recommended that school funding be comparable to the funding in high-achieving schools. In another state, a fidelity project did not result in increased funding for schools, and a project faced similar disparities. In yet another state, the failure of schools to increase proficiency was due in part to funding disparities [17, 18].

Challenges and Future Directions

Current efforts to use policy levers to promote educational equity face numerous obstacles that make the path ahead uncertain. State and local governments face a broad array of barriers in their efforts to promote more equitable educational systems, beginning with entrenched disparities in school finance. The struggle to address inter-district and especially inter-school funding disparities is compounded by the reality that impoverished and minority students, populations that are disproportionately impacted by these jurisdiction-level disparities, are already operating at an educational disadvantage. Socioeconomic status is one of the most powerful predictors of student achievement, and improving the quality of resources in poor school systems when children enter the system at an educational disadvantage is a difficult challenge. This paper examines some of the most pressing challenges facing efforts to promote educational equity through policy, and it discusses the evolution of these issues in the contemporary climate while also looking forward to how future legislation might or should proceed. The extant literature reports numerous challenges to effectively implementing such a program, including the sheer diversity of contexts within the American educational system, opposition by stakeholder groups, a lack of up-to-date, high-quality, and relevant information with which to guide change, and the complexities in implementing change in a decentralized system. Moving forward, it will be absolutely critical for all those who support policy efforts to promote school equity and excellence to continue their advocacy efforts and finally ensure that policymakers begin to act on this knowledge. Educational policy alone is not enough, and policy leaders should seek partnerships with the corporate and community-based organizations best positioned to bring real change to young people's lives. The need for data-based decisions around accountability is also emphasized. Many of the trends impacting education likely require more flexible and ongoing governance from the federal government, in partnership with states and other levels of our

decentralized system. Advancing policy to promote equity, however, is likely to require significant era-specific, systems-level change on the part of educational governance at all levels of the system [19, 20].

CONCLUSION

Governments hold an important role in ensuring educational equity, which is essential for societal progress and economic development. Historical and contemporary efforts demonstrate a recognition of the importance of equitable education, yet systemic challenges remain significant. To address these, a multifaceted approach is required, combining legislative actions, equitable resource allocation, and grassroots involvement. Collaboration between federal, state, and local authorities, supported by partnerships with private and community stakeholders, is essential for implementing sustainable solutions. Governments must also leverage technological advancements and data-driven strategies to monitor progress and address gaps. Ultimately, achieving educational equity will require unwavering commitment, strategic policymaking, and a collective effort to dismantle structural barriers to access and quality.

REFERENCES

1. Berwick DM. The moral determinants of health. *Jama*. 2020 Jul 21;324(3):225-6.
2. Ydo Y. Inclusive education: Global priority, collective responsibility. *Prospects*. 2020 Nov;49(3):97-101.
3. Chang E, Chin H, Lee JW. Pre-crisis commitment human resource management and employees' attitudes in a global pandemic: The role of trust in the government. *Human Resource Management*. 2022 May;61(3):373-87.
4. Letunovska N, Offei FA, Junior PA, Lyulyov O, Pimonenko T, Kwilinski A. Green Supply Chain Management: The Effect of Procurement Sustainability on Reverse Logistics. *Logistics*. 2023 Aug 3;7(3):47. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/log703047)
5. Wan M, Zha D, Liu N, Zou N. In-processing modeling techniques for machine learning fairness: A survey. *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data*. 2023 Mar 20;17(3):1-27. [acm.org](https://doi.org/10.1145/3571111)
6. Burgess T, Patterson Williams A. Utilizing theory to elucidate the work of creating equity for transformation within the science classroom. *Science Education*. 2022 Sep;106(5):1071-83.
7. Iamtrakul P, Chayphong S, Lo AY. Exploring the contribution of social and economic status factors (SES) to the development of learning cities (LC). *Sustainability*. 2022 Oct 5;14(19):12685.
8. Kolossváry E, Farkas K, Karahan O, Golledge J, Scherthaner GH, Karplus T, Bernardo JJ, Marschang S, Abola MT, Heinzmann M, Edmonds M. The importance of socio-economic determinants of health in the care of patients with peripheral artery disease: A narrative review from VAS. *Vascular Medicine*. 2023 Jun;28(3):241-53. [sagepub.com](https://doi.org/10.1177/10782903231198853)
9. Paudel P. Online education: Benefits, challenges and strategies during and after COVID-19 in higher education. *International Journal on Studies in Education (IJonSE)*. 2021 Jul 1;3(2). [semanticscholar.org](https://doi.org/10.1177/20799462211021111)
10. Nutbeam DO. Health promotion glossary. *Health promotion*. 1986 May 1;1(1):113-27.
11. Ayscue JB, D. Fusarelli L, Uzzell EM. Equity and early implementation of the Every Student Succeeds Act in state-designed plans during COVID. *Educational Policy*. 2023 Nov;37(7):1917-49.
12. Costa E, Baptista M, Carvalho C. The Portuguese educational policy to ensure equity in learning in times of crises. *Primary and Secondary Education During Covid-19: Disruptions to Educational Opportunity During a Pandemic*. 2022:203-25. [oapen.org](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-98-96-13-111-1_11)
13. Conti-Brown P, Feinstein BD. The Contingent Origins of Financial Legislation. *Wash. UL Rev.*. 2021;99:145.
14. de Araújo CC, da Silva Carneiro Jr E. A bibliometric analysis of the intellectual structure of studies on slavery in the 21st century. *International Journal of Professional Business Review: Int. J. Prof. Bus. Rev.*. 2020;5(1):105-27.
15. Challoumis C. REGULATION POLICIES AND THE MONEY CYCLE-A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR INVESTORS. InXIII International Scientific Conference 2024 Sep (pp. 127-151).
16. Gándara P. The impact of the education reform movement on limited English proficient students. In *The New Immigrants and American Schools* 2022 Jan 26 (pp. 179-204). Routledge.
17. Alam A, Mohanty A. Cultural beliefs and equity in educational institutions: exploring the social and philosophical notions of ability groupings in teaching and learning of mathematics. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*. 2023 Dec 31;28(1):2270662. [tandfonline.com](https://doi.org/10.1080/15315080.2023.2270662)

18. Mehta P, Pandya S, Kotecha K. Harvesting social media sentiment analysis to enhance stock market prediction using deep learning. *PeerJ Computer Science*. 2021 Apr 13;7:e476.
19. Benavides AD, Nukpezah JA. How local governments are caring for the homeless during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The American Review of Public Administration*. 2020 Aug;50(6-7):650-7. sagepub.com
20. Leithwood K. A review of evidence about equitable school leadership. *Education Sciences*. 2021 Jul 23;11(8):377.

CITE AS: Kakembo Aisha Annet. (2024). The Role of Government in Promoting Educational Equity. Research Output Journal of Education 4(3):46-50. <https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJE/2024/434650>