

Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science 5(2):36-39, 2025

**ROJBAS** Publications

ONLINE ISSN: 1115-9200

https://rojournals.org/roj-biological-and-applied-science/

PRINT ISSN: 1115-6120

Page | 36

https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJBAS/2025/523639

# Autonomous Robots in Surgery: The Future of Precision Medicine

**Omeye Francis I.** 

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

# ABSTRACT

The integration of autonomous robotic systems into surgery represents a paradigm shift in precision medicine, offering the potential to enhance surgical accuracy, reduce complications, and minimize surgeon fatigue. This paper investigates the historical evolution of surgical robotics, current applications, challenges, and future directions. The discussion examines how autonomous robotic systems have transitioned from telesurgery to AI-assisted procedures in minimally invasive, orthopedic, and cardiovascular surgeries. Despite their promise, issues such as cost, reliability, ethical considerations, and cybersecurity concerns remain barriers to full automation. Future advancements in artificial intelligence, haptic feedback, and augmented reality are expected to drive further innovations, potentially reshaping surgical practice and patient care.

**Keywords**: Autonomous surgical robots, robotic-assisted surgery, precision medicine, minimally invasive surgery, artificial intelligence in healthcare, robotic telesurgery.

#### INTRODUCTION

Surgery and robotics are both concepts deeply intertwined with human capabilities. Traditionally reserved for operations requiring a high degree of precision, robotic technology has now advanced to the point where complete autonomous control appears tenable. This has driven research to investigate the efficacy of this technology in improvements not only in surgical precision but also in terms of reducing procedure times, and complications, and moderating the physical strain on surgeons. The increased technology available in the first half of the 21st century has seen greater integration of robotic surgery in complex cases, and, likely, autonomy will also see a growing role in precisely these cases. Given the position robotics holds in our scientific communities, we should consider carefully the questions of whether autonomy has the role and responsibility to take over decisions that have previously been predicated on surgical skill and knowledge. This paper will seek to unpack some of these narratives and weigh the balance of the case [1, 2]. In 2011, the Da Vinci Surgical System received extended clearance for the execution of surgical incisions, and it is likely these novel technologies will attract attention for either their value in financial savings or their capacity to improve patient outcomes. The appeal of this confluence is certainly conceivable. While the potential for humans to make irrational decisions may be reduced in these instances, we should also consider the implications for the surgeon. Who stands to benefit from reduced recovery times, a decrease in physical exertion, and a decrease in or removal of subjective error? And should we be quick to welcome the progression of technologies that could feasibly decimate a field based so centrally on human skill sets? [3, 4].

# History and Evolution of Surgical Robots

Robots performing surgery are experiencing a surge in interest and development. This text will review the history and evolution of surgical robots, contemporary robotic surgery, and future opportunities in this field. Robotic assistance for surgery is not a new concept; in fact, the term "telesurgery" was coined in the 1980s when surgical operations were performed by a remote surgeon. The first surgical robot, a system capable of performing neurosurgical biopsies with millimeter precision, was unveiled in 1985 but

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

could not surpass lab testing due to technological limitations. An Automated Endoscopic System for Optimal Positioning was introduced in 1994, capable of controlling and positioning an endoscope during surgery. A predecessor to da Vinci, the Automated Endoscopic System for Optimal Resolution received approval for general laparoscopic surgeries in 2001. Since then, robots have been developed and used to improve surgeon capabilities in manipulating tissues with enhanced visual images; performing endovascular surgeries; and assisting in orthopedic, cardiovascular, and neurosurgeries [5, 6]. Robotic surgery offered a solution to overcoming natural human movement and provided accurate, steady, and efficient surgical operating tools and systems. The military's interest in this field began funding research in the late 1980s, aiming to enable trauma surgeons to perform distant surgeries during military campaigns. Moreover, funding was allocated for a project in the mid-1990s. However, due to the telecommunication bandwidth lag over substantial distances, the surgical system received clearance for general laparoscopic surgeries with its "master-slave" system that allows direct hand movements translated into performing the surgery properly. Since then, the system has evolved to improve capabilities, including the mirror image motion [7, 8].

# Current Applications of Autonomous Robots in Surgery

Several autonomous robots are being used in surgeries to aid surgeons, or in some cases take over the entire surgeon's job, to achieve better precision than the human hand. Along with enhancing the precision of surgery, autonomous robots are also being used to get imaging data, such as endoscopic images and MRI images, to assist in the navigation of endoscopes. Autonomous robots are being used in various surgeries, including minimally invasive surgeries, orthopedic surgeries, and cardiac surgeries. These robots can effectively handle complex tasks required for surgery and can sometimes be electromagnetically actuated to remove hazardous motion, such as radiation caused by X-ray fluoroscopy [9, 10]. Minimally invasive surgeries, such as prostatectomies, hysterectomies, and dysplasia removal, are being performed with the help of autonomous robots to aid precision. In prostatectomies, where the surgery is performed to remove the prostate, rectum, and surrounding lymph nodes, the surrounding nerves have to be preserved to reduce the effect on the sex life of the patient. The feasibility of using autonomous robots in performing minimally invasive prostatectomies to preserve these nerves has been researched. Various control architectures for performing robotic prostatectomies autonomously have been discussed, by deciding on the motion of each joint to be used for varying the pose of the robotic end effector. In endometrial cancer, the surgery is performed by making small incisions in the abdomen for inserting a tiny camera and other small instruments, such as robotic scissors. The utility of autonomous robots in aiding surgeons has been demonstrated [11, 12].

## Challenges and Limitations of Autonomous Robots in Surgery

Although the idea of using fully autonomous robots instead of established teleoperated robotic surgery systems has been conceptualized for nearly two decades, the necessity of having human oversight in robotic surgery brought the commercial realization of such systems to a halt. Theoretically, several challenges and limitations must be addressed to bring the era of autonomous surgery into reality. At inception, robotic systems are priced expensively, in addition to high maintenance costs, which results in high overall costs for the healthcare provider. Besides reliability and safety-related concerns, if human surgeons are marginalized by the utilization of autonomous robots, their surgical skills and judgment may be affected. Before being clinically used in the operating room, these systems will have to be certified and approved by healthcare regulators in addition to being in accordance with international standards. First, while some improvements have been made in robot learning and autonomy, fully autonomous robotic procedures are still far from real-world implementation due to the necessity of human-robot interaction. Moreover, the use of AI-powered robots, without the notion of collaboration, may pertain to unaccountable and secretive systems, resulting in ethical, moral, and legal dilemmas. Another challenge is the mechanism of dealing with uncertain and inaccurate knowledge of the robots. In addition, full automation may have negative implications on the accuracy and safety during such procedures. Lastly, additional insightful research will be needed to understand the extent of security compliance of commercially available robotic systems. Consequently, clinical networks might not segregate robotic traffic from the informational technology network, resulting in potential attacks including network intrusion and denial of service. Robots also rely on the current version of their operating systems to be up-to-date and seamlessly functional. As such, hackers may breeze through outdated security protocols [13, 14].

Page | 37

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

# **Future Directions and Innovations**

Emerging Technologies: The future direction lies in integrating cutting-edge technologies, such as advanced machine learning, into automated robots to improve their performance and increase the scale and diversity of procedures that can be performed. Moreover, haptic feedback systems are anticipated to become more accurate and will convey tactile information as a form of risk management. Augmented reality will also be integrated to improve precision and provide new training experiences to surgeons. The field is likely to see global trends in robotic surgery, especially as the future workforce looks very favorably on advancing healthcare automation.

Increasing Automation: The growing trend of minimally invasive procedures may result in greater patient personalization as the number of treatments increases, exceeding the practical limits of human surgeons. Major tech companies are expected to enter the field as they form a joint venture for further innovation with global hospital or medical system chains. As any healthcare field relies on data analysis to improve outcomes and personalize treatments, surgery in particular will see a greater demand for data analytics assistance in the future. The next theoretical step is to make headway in combining the latest research in complex surgery with the capabilities of surgical robots. High-risk procedures and those that are humanly impractical will be the first program of study. These directions will likely indicate a trend in the area of robotic surgery toward assisting the increasingly technological demands of surgical procedures, with a wider trend in minimally invasive techniques [15, 16].

#### CONCLUSION

Autonomous robots in surgery represent a transformative step toward precision medicine, offering enhanced accuracy, efficiency, and patient outcomes. However, their widespread adoption requires overcoming significant challenges, including ethical considerations, regulatory compliance, cost barriers, and cybersecurity risks. While current robotic-assisted systems have demonstrated success, full automation remains a work in progress, necessitating further research and development. The future of autonomous surgery is likely to be shaped by AI-driven advancements, improved haptic feedback, and augmented reality integration. As technology progresses, collaboration between medical professionals, engineers, and policymakers will be essential in ensuring that robotic surgery enhances—not replaces human expertise in healthcare.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Spenko M. Making contact: A review of robotic attachment mechanisms for extraterrestrial applications. Advanced Intelligent Systems. 2023 Mar;5(3):2100063.
- Samad T. Human-in-the-loop control and cyber-physical-human systems: applications and categorization. Cyber-physical-human systems: fundamentals and applications. 2023 Jul 5:1-23.
  <u>"HTML"</u>
- Zheng Z, Li Y, Tang C, Gong Y, Huang L, Du Q, Xia X, Zhu D, Zhou W, Li Z, Wang W. Comparison of Da Vinci Robotic-Assisted with Open Kasai Portoenterostomy for Biliary Atresia. Journal of Pediatric Surgery. 2024 Dec 1;59(12):161689. [HTML]
- Asadizeidabadi A, Hosseini S, Vetshev F, Osminin S, Hosseini S. Comparison of da Vinci 5 with previous versions of da Vinci and Sina: A review. Laparoscopic, Endoscopic and Robotic Surgery. 2024 Jun 1;7(2):60-5. <u>sciencedirect.com</u>
- 5. Song M, Liu Q, Guo H, Wang Z, Zhang H. Global trends and hotspots in robotic surgery over the past decade: a bibliometric and visualized analysis. Journal of Robotic Surgery. 2024 Dec 27;19(1):33.
- Sadagopan NS, Prasad D, Jain R, Ahuja C, Dahdaleh NS, El Tecle NE. Beyond AI and robotics: the dawn of surgical automation in spine surgery. Artificial Intelligence Surgery. 2024 Nov 11;4(4):387-400. <u>oaepublish.com</u>
- Mitroi D, Wiedemann TG. Robotically Assisted Implantology-A Systematic Review from a Young Dental Professional's Perspective: I Have No Fear, My Dental Robot Is Near!. technology. 2024;2:3.
- DiBartola AC, Devito DP. History of Robotics in Spine Surgery. InNavigation, Robotics and 3D Printing in Spine Surgery: Current and Emerging Techniques 2024 Nov 29 (pp. 91-102). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. <u>[HTML]</u>
- Gumbs AA, Frigerio I, Spolverato G, Croner R, Illanes A, Chouillard E, Elyan E. Artificial intelligence surgery: How do we get to autonomous actions in surgery?. Sensors. 2021 Aug 17;21(16):5526. <u>mdpi.com</u>

Page | 38

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

- 10. Haidegger T, Speidel S, Stoyanov D, Satava RM. Robot-assisted minimally invasive surgery-Surgical robotics in the data age. Proceedings of the IEEE. 2022 Jun 23;110(7):835-46. ieee.org
- 11. Reddy K, Gharde P, Tayade H, Patil M, Reddy LS, Surya D. Advancements in robotic surgery: a comprehensive overview of current utilizations and upcoming frontiers. Cureus. 2023 Dec; 15(12).
- 12. Comparetto C, Borruto F. Applications of robotics in gynecological surgery. InDesign and Control Advances in Robotics 2023 (pp. 256-294). IGI Global.

Page | 39

- 13. Baca T, Petrlik M, Vrba M, Spurny V, Penicka R, Hert D, Saska M. The MRS UAV system: Pushing the frontiers of reproducible research, real-world deployment, and education with autonomous unmanned aerial vehicles. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems. 2021 May;102(1):26. [PDF]
- 14. Guni A, Varma P, Zhang J, Fehervari M, Ashrafian H. Artificial intelligence in surgery: the future is now. European Surgical Research. 2024 Dec 20;65(1):22-39. karger.com
- 15. Zhang T, Mo H. Reinforcement learning for robot research: A comprehensive review and open issues. International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems. 2021 May 28;18(3):17298814211007305. sagepub.com
- 16. Soori M, Arezoo B, Dastres R. Artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning in advanced robotics, a review. Cognitive Robotics. 2023 Jan 1;3:54-70.

CITE AS: Omeye Francis I. (2025). Autonomous Robots in Surgery: The Future of Precision Medicine. Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science 5(2):36-39. https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJBAS/2025/523639

provided the original work is properly cited

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,