Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science 5(2):16-20, 2025

ROJBAS Publications

ONLINE ISSN: 1115-9200

https://rojournals.org/roj-biological-and-applied-science/

PRINT ISSN: 1115-6120

https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJBAS/2025/521620

Rehabilitation Robotics: Enhancing Patient Recovery

Bamuraza Nfukwe W.

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Rehabilitation robotics has emerged as a transformative field that integrates engineering, medicine, and artificial intelligence to assist individuals in recovering motor functions after injuries or neurological impairments. This paper investigates the evolution of rehabilitation robotics, key technological advancements, and its clinical applications in stroke recovery, spinal cord injury rehabilitation, and pediatric therapy. The discussion highlights challenges such as cost, accessibility, patient acceptability, and regulatory barriers that hinder widespread adoption. Future directions emphasize innovations in soft robotics, personalized rehabilitation, virtual reality, and gamification to enhance patient engagement. The integration of robotics into teletherapy and neuroscience-based interventions offers promising avenues for improving therapeutic outcomes and patient autonomy. A collaborative approach involving healthcare professionals, researchers, and industry stakeholders is essential to drive advancements and ensure equitable access to rehabilitation robotics.

Keywords: Rehabilitation robotics, patient recovery, robotic therapy, stroke rehabilitation, spinal cord injury, artificial intelligence.

INTRODUCTION

Rehabilitation robotics is a field that uses robotic systems to aid individuals undergoing physical rehabilitation. It is focused on helping people with physical disabilities by enhancing and quantifying the recovery process. The history of rehabilitation robots can be split into three categories: early devices, manipulators, and modern rehabilitation robots. Early devices discuss mechanical add-ons for assessment and crude and small robots used in therapy. The manipulators branch into a separate dedicated field from the creators of assistive devices in the 1960s. The emergence of modern rehabilitation robots started at the end of the early twenty-first century with the introduction of a treadmill robot designed to facilitate locomotion therapy [1, 2]. Rehabilitation robotics is an interdisciplinary field that combines insights from engineering, medicine, and rehabilitation psychology. It aims to enhance the efficacy of therapeutic interventions and to provide data about patient improvement. The main objectives of using robotic systems for rehabilitation in a clinical environment are to provide a safe environment, to allow people to practice tasks that would otherwise be difficult or impossible, to increase the likelihood of practicing, and for clinical gait assessment. Practices and therapies may increase independence, contribute to preventing complications, and enhance the chances for motor skill recovery. With respect to technology, the aim is to make rehabilitation robots biologically and spatially transparent and to achieve task-specific practice. Some indicate that customization, especially payback for the user, is one of the key requirements for the implementation and sustainability of an intervention or device based on robotic systems [3, 4].

Technological Advances in Rehabilitation Robotics

Over the past two decades, rehabilitation robotics has undergone a revolution in technological advancement. Robotic systems have been developed to assist with physical therapy by providing patients with an increasingly wide variety of movements and interactions. Common examples are robotic gait exoskeletons that assist individuals in walking movements, robotic arms used to provide strength training, and haptic interfaces that train fine motor movements. These systems have worked to improve patient coverage and therapeutic effectiveness. Researchers have strived to develop user-interactive adaptive robotic systems that utilize advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, state-of-the-art sensors, haptics, and visual feedback systems to recognize the motions made by patients and provide safe and compliant robotic physical assistance, while at the same time providing the patients with a greater sense of engagement and immersion in a therapy [5, 6]. Robot rehabilitation is also coming to play a great role in the tele-rehabilitation sector. Robotic devices controlled remotely by a technician can provide physical assistance to a patient undergoing physical therapy using a robot. The development of advanced robotics has only recently shifted in favor of developing commercially and clinically viable rehabilitation robotic systems. New and innovative designs for robotic rehabilitation devices now focus on the role of the user in the design process. They consider human factors such as safety, comfort, and wearability to make commercially viable devices that can be used at hospitals or homes. As a result, such devices have begun to move towards being utilized in clinical settings with individuals with upper and lower limb disabilities [7, 5].

Clinical Applications of Rehabilitation Robotics

Robotic rehabilitation for humans is a relatively new research area, and much of the work so far has focused on a clinical environment. With a high number of stroke survivors and traumatic brain injuries every year, the majority of robotic applications aim to be used in neurological clinical settings. Many recent studies have used robotic rehabilitation for impairments following a stroke, seeing significant improvements in motor functions of the affected limb if aggressive robotic therapy is performed. Robotic rehabilitation has also been used in other settings, including pediatrics and children with cerebral palsy, multiple sclerosis, motor neuron diseases, spinal cord injuries, and amputations. The technology has also shown some benefits for those with non-progressive brain injuries, including traditional traumatic injuries. Following are some applications where rehabilitation robots have been used in a clinical setting [8, 9]. 1. Stroke: Stroke is a leading cause of long-term adult disability, leaving one in 30 stroke survivors reliant on others for activities of daily living. Following a stroke, up to 85% of survivors have impairments in the upper extremity, with the shoulder and elbow being more affected than the hand. Clinical trials conducted so far have shown improvement in performance scores when using rehabilitation robotics in the acute, subacute, and chronic stages. This has been seen as long as two years post-stroke with no plateauing effect after six months. 2. Spinal cord injury: A very small percentage of the population uses robotics in their regular treatment protocol. One study showed that integrating robotics into an ongoing rehabilitation approach, such as physiotherapy, improved clinical scores after two weeks of inpatient treatment [10, 9, 11].

Challenges and Limitations in Rehabilitation Robotics

Rehabilitation robotics has the potential for significant advancement in improving patient recovery and reintegration. However, there are several challenges and limitations within the field. The most significant is the high cost of developing and integrating this technology into health care. This can lead to therapy being inaccessible to the patients who could potentially benefit the most. Over 2 billion people in low- and middle-income countries do not have enough to obtain the care they need. Though more cost-effective than hospital-based therapist treatments, the current price of a complete rehabilitation robot system is estimated to be tens to hundreds of thousands of pounds. The high cost is mainly caused by certification, particularly in the healthcare environment. There is no international language of certification, and each certification is costly. This is without accounting for research and development costs, software design, and more. As well as this, the affordability of higher-end systems is often questioned, as while they perform basic tasks and repetitive motion, which the clinician is not needed for, many argue that fundamentally the value of outcomes is gained when the clinician is needed to provide therapy support; therefore, providing a higher-end robot should not be the main goal. The technical limitations pose a significant challenge, particularly within the pre-competitive feasibility phase. Private funding and the need for investor confidence are important for developing or adapting new technologies. Research has shown that patients can vary in their interaction modalities. Just as patients and therapists are all unique, it is important to design devices with user variability that can easily integrate with existing systems without the need for interference. A major drawback of current robotic systems is their usability, and the adaptability remains difficult to accomplish for many systems. The robots developed for rehabilitation therapies have so far been mainly designed to satisfy technical specifications, neglecting the needs of patients and healthcare professionals. For protocols and devices developed to be most effective, they need to be safe, able to adapt, bespoke to a unique user, and regulatory standardized to be able to be adopted as a global offering to patients. It is vital that as a sector we work towards standardization and agreed-upon certifications to allow for greater collaborations between research, health care, industry, and patients. Some patients do not accept the technology or will opt out if the device is presented to them. It is important to connect patients and professionals at the inception of a product or technology to ensure the products developed are aligned with user needs, requirements, and willingness to use and engage with the technology. A major part of our focus in designing the system is to take into account the patient. Increased support to health care professionals and the need to manage psychological factors is imperative to the adoption of rehabilitation robotic devices. In summary, what holds back the move from experimental use to clinical use of robotic rehabilitation are primarily gaps in patient acceptability, the ability for a device to support clinical practice while having onboard intelligence, and for healthcare technology regulators to establish strong oversight and evidence of safety, wearing comfort, cost-effectiveness, and assessments of efficacy against usual clinical practice. From a healthcare professional point of view, equitable access and convenience of the technology are important. It is also important to work in an interdisciplinary manner to ensure the cooperation of different centers. Several ethical issues such as autonomy and data protection need to be addressed [12, 13, 14].

Future Directions and Innovations in Rehabilitation Robotics

Emerging trends and research areas will shape the future of rehabilitation robotics. An increasing number of robotic platforms will be developed, making patient recovery even more efficient and effective. Soft robotics and patient-wearable technology are expected to pave new paths in patient recovery. Personalized rehabilitation underpinned by data principles will steer technology developments. The design of wearable devices should focus on both the rehabilitation process and patients' preferences. In addition to physical needs, patients require psychological support and engagement in the rehabilitation process. Virtual reality and gamification support have great potential in re-engaging, motivating, and increasing patients' focus and involvement in the processes of therapy and rehabilitation. Collaboration between academia, industry, and healthcare practitioners is vital for driving forward the research and development of devices and approaches successfully. We might expect strong trends in robotics as digital therapies become widespread. Two emerging research areas could deliver great advancements: robotic teletherapy and neuroscience integration. Patients should have access to rehabilitation robotics devices and technology services in many forms, from private and professional use devices to subscribed services or through equity of care models in public systems. Rehabilitation robotics is an area of continuous research and improvement. Programs and solutions have already evolved towards improving engagement, durability, and cognitive aspects for the patients, and we are looking at future trends in that direction. The adoption of innovation in a co-design approach is crucial to drive the development of robotics effectively. We posit that an increasingly personalized approach to rehabilitation will develop over time, enabling data-driven intervention. Applying personalized responses to changes in progression or regression logic in rehab robots and approaches based on the use of in-built sensors and location mapping will likely become standard operating procedures as technology advances. Soft exoskeletons will be widely used due to their ability to reduce significant weight and size difficulties associated with traditional rigid approaches. To have a complete medical robot for the upper and lower body, having continuous soft, hard, and rigid modules producing assistive and therapeutic actions in a single device will be powerful when adopted in domiciliary and clinical trials. Moreover, extensive clinical trial models are designed to quantify, qualify, and provide a new portfolio of outcome measures to be used mainly in neuro-rehabilitation science. Gamification in rehabilitation robotics will gather, assess, and use cognitive and neuro-cognitive tools, devices, and computer-human interfaces, seeking a non-linear relationship between psychological levels of motivation, ownership, or attention. It will take the format of a complete approach designed to assist in drug rehabilitation, alcoholism, and the education of children and older people [15, 16, 17].

CONCLUSION

Rehabilitation robotics represents a paradigm shift in therapeutic interventions for patients with neurological and musculoskeletal impairments. While significant advancements have been made in robotic-assisted rehabilitation, challenges related to cost, accessibility, and user adoption must be addressed to maximize its potential. Future research should focus on enhancing usability, affordability, and patient-centered design, ensuring seamless integration into clinical and home-based rehabilitation programs. By leveraging emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and soft robotics, rehabilitation robotics can revolutionize patient care, making therapy more engaging, personalized, and effective. A multidisciplinary and collaborative effort among engineers, clinicians, and policymakers is crucial to drive innovation, establish regulatory frameworks, and promote equitable access to rehabilitation technologies worldwide.

REFERENCES

1. Ju F, Wang Y, Xie B, Mi Y, Zhao M, Cao J. The use of sports rehabilitation robotics to assist in the recovery of physical abilities in elderly patients with degenerative diseases: A literature review. InHealthcare 2023 Jan 21 (Vol. 11, No. 3, p. 326). MDPI.
2. Garcia-Gonzalez A, Fuentes-Aguilar RQ, Salgado I, Chairez I. A review on the application of autonomous and intelligent robotic devices in medical rehabilitation. Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering. 2022 Sep;44(9):393. [HTML]
3. Bhardwaj S, Khan AA, Muzammil M. Lower limb rehabilitation robotics: The current understanding and technology. Work. 2021 Jan 1;69(3):775-93.
4. Ouendi N, Hubaut R, Pelayo S, Anceaux F, Wallard L. The rehabilitation robot: factors influencing its use, advantages and limitations in clinical rehabilitation. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology. 2024 Apr 2;19(3):546-57. [HTML]
5. Atashzar SF, Carriere J, Tavakoli M. How can intelligent robots and smart mechatronic modules facilitate remote assessment, assistance, and rehabilitation for isolated adults with neuro-musculoskeletal conditions?. Frontiers in Robotics and AI. 2021 Apr 12;8:610529.
6. Kareemullah H, Najumnissa D, Shajahan MM, Abhineshjayram M, Mohan V, Sheerin SA. Robotic Arm controlled using IoT application. Computers and Electrical Engineering. 2023 Jan 1;105:108539.
7. Wang XV, Wang L. A literature survey of the robotic technologies during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of manufacturing systems. 2021 Jul 1;60:823-36.
8. Taravati S, Capaci K, Uzumcugil H, Tanigor G. … of an upper limb robotic rehabilitation program on motor functions, quality of life, cognition, and emotional status in patients with stroke: a randomized controlled study. Neurological Sciences. 2022. springer.com
9. Torrisi M, Maggio MG, De Cola MC, Zichittella C, Carmela C, Porcari B, la Rosa G, De Luca R, Naro A, Calabrò RS. Beyond motor recovery after stroke: The role of hand robotic rehabilitation plus virtual reality in improving cognitive function. Journal of clinical neuroscience. 2021 Oct 1;92:11-6. [HTML]
10. Takebayashi T, Takahashi K, Okita Y, Kubo H, Hachisuka K, Domen K. Impact of the robotic-assistance level on upper extremity function in stroke patients receiving adjunct robotic rehabilitation: sub-analysis of a randomized clinical trial. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation. 2022 Feb 25;19(1):25. springer.com
11. Keeling AB, Piitz M, Semrau JA, Hill MD, Scott SH, Dukelow SP. Robot enhanced stroke therapy optimizes rehabilitation (RESTORE): a pilot study. Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation. 2021 Dec;18:1-6. springer.com
12. Maroti P, Schlegl AT, Nagy B, Toth L, Bogar P, Jozsa G, Rendeki S, Mallakpour S, Hussain CM. Additive manufacturing in limb prosthetics and orthotics: the past, present and future of 3D printing orthopedic assistive devices. InMedical Additive Manufacturing 2024 Jan 1 (pp. 179-207). Elsevier. [HTML]
13. Grinin L, Grinin A, Korotayev A. Nanotechnologies, Robotics, Artificial Intelligence and Other MANBRIC Technologies in the Long-Term Development. InCybernetic Revolution and Global Aging: Humankind on the Way to Cybernetic Society, or the Next Hundred Years 2024 May 18 (pp. 403-457). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [HTML]
14. Noreils FR. Humanoid Robots at work: where are we?. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.04249. 2024 Apr 5.
15. Pan M, Yuan C, Liang X, Dong T, Liu T, Zhang J, Zou J, Yang H, Bowen C. Soft actuators and robotic devices for rehabilitation and assistance. Advanced Intelligent Systems. 2022 Apr;4(4):2100140. wiley.com

16. Karabegović I, Husak E, Isić S, Karabegović E, Mahmić M. Service robots and artificial intelligence for faster diagnostics and treatment in medicine. InInternational Conference "New Technologies, Development and Applications" 2021 May 12 (pp. 3-20). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [HTML]
17. Nizamis K, Athanasiou A, Almpani S, Dimitrousis C, Astaras A. Converging robotic technologies in targeted neural rehabilitation: a review of emerging solutions and challenges. Sensors. 2021 Mar 16;21(6):2084. mdpi.com

CITE AS: Bamuraza Nfukwe W. (2025). Rehabilitation Robotics: Enhancing Patient Recovery. Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science 5(2):16-20. https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJBAS/2025/521620