The Impact of Public Health on Health Planning

Mwende Muthoni D.

Faculty of Medicine Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the complex relationship between public health and health planning, specifically how public health influences the design of health systems and services. It looks into the use of public health data and frameworks in health planning to address health inequities, socioeconomic factors, and community-level health issues. Case studies demonstrate effective models in which public health initiatives influence health planning, stressing stakeholder involvement, interdisciplinary methods, and policy frameworks. The study continues by discussing the obstacles and potential for better integrating public health into comprehensive health planning.

Keywords: Public health, health planning, socio-economic determinants, health disparities, community health, policy integration.

INTRODUCTION

Public health and health planning are related fields that involve initiatives to improve the overall health and well-being of the community. Public health deals with preventing illness, promoting health, and managing existing health problems. Public health serves as the basis upon which health planning is built. Thus, as public health changes, the processes of health planning must also change. To improve health outcomes consistently and cohesively, planning efforts must take into account the influence of public health trends and societal determinants. The health system faces unique challenges that result from the evolution and expansion of public health goals and interventions. Public health has become an increasingly multidisciplinary and territorially based field. Planning appeals to accountability and informed action [1, 2]. Public health initiatives have targeted preventable chronic illnesses. These initiatives are rooted in behavioral and social change theories and are focused on the socio-economic determinants of health. Research shows that factors such as education, work environments, geography, social support, and social exclusion are directly related to overall health status since they lead to either an increase in protective and personal life skills or to adverse psychosocial and physiological responses. The integration of public health dimensions of this trend must be integrated into the health planning cycle. An approach to planning and decision-making is important, not only as the modus operandi whereby plans are developed but also to evaluate and monitor the implementation of these plans. Stakeholder involvement in health planning is essential for an effective system of accountability. Public participation in the planning and decision-making process can lead to shared goals and objectives and a fair system of health resource distribution [3, 4].

The Importance of Public Health in Health Planning

Much of a successful health plan is made possible by public health. Data from public health surveillance can depict the burdens and distributions of the many health indicators at the population level. The numbers, trends, and determinants of disease tell health planners which diseases consume the most resources for costly healthcare, which ones require more social resources, and which ones are chronically neglected because the numbers are lower. Choosing more indicators from the county's Community Health Status Report means that more factors can be taken into account for programming. The indicators chosen or highlighted are frequently those held to be "social determinants of health," which are non-medical community-level factors. Public health recognizes that people are not equally well off in terms of life

chances, health outcomes, and access to health resources. These inequalities in health are additionally incorporated into health plans in an approach called "health disparities." Addressing disparities is the purpose of several grant programs both within the Philadelphia Department of Public Health and outside, at the federal, state, and local levels [5, 6]. Many speakers brought successes or descriptions of collaboration and integration between hospital systems, public health, and nonprofits. The March of Dimes is planning a perinatal system of care; the 13-county service system for children with special health care needs will conduct a study of pediatric clinics across the state; CHOP and JFWMC have begun

collaboration in one of the "stops" of the circular pathway defined as the continuum of care; several local hospitals are developing infant mortality interventions to implement with a grant from the Pennsylvania Department of Health; and the Division of Drug and Alcohol Programs has solicited public health to assist in the creation of a client management information system. As public health is the field of policy that makes the health of the public possible, the policy sector sets the context for public health practice and is affected by and through public health thinking. The policy sector has more clout than any other sector in affecting the public's health. This health is due directly and indirectly to organizational behavior, leadership practices and policies, structure, resources, and practices within the policy sector. Therefore, the role of the policy sector in practice in terms of developing, maintaining, and promoting public health is vital. The role of public policy in practice and planning should be not reactive but proactive to prevent harm or prioritize resources. This follows the core functions of public health as set out by the Report of the Institute of Medicine, Public Health in America: "Assure Correct" because prevention and protection in public health both set standards for the quality of life and health, assess the need for medical care, and link people to care that improves health status. Public health is both the prism and the singularity of public policy and public theory [7].

Key Concepts and Approaches in Public Health

Public health is underpinned by key principles and concepts that are essential for the development of places and communities that prioritize health and well-being in their development and planning agenda [8, 9]. A central concept in public health frames health and disease causation through what is known as the epidemiological triangle. This is a model that describes how the causation of a state of ill health is the result of complex interrelationships. For example, from an infectious disease perspective, "who" carries the factors or attributes involved (the host), "what" are the environmental factors that need to be present (place in the broader sense), and "how" these factors interact to lead to specific causes in a population. From this approach to health causation and its accompanying focus on surveillance and data collection, common strategies for the prevention of disease at the host level or agent or environmental management have been suggested and implemented over many decades through a range of interventions and models/frameworks [10, 11]. The foundational or key ideas and practices behind public health approaches have laid a strong emphasis on prevention, health promotion, and community engagement. More recent developments further expand upon this from a social determinant of health perspective; that health and well-being are socially produced and hence influenced by the social, cultural, political, economic, and geographic environments in which we live and work. However, it is also frequently acknowledged that access to safe, affordable, and accessible housing and health and other services contribute to both physical and mental health, as do equal opportunities for education and employment. This is a strong focus on what is referred to as health equity. Frequently, when these concepts or principles are applied to practice, they focus on reducing health disparities and social gradients by concentrating investment in "prior" places and populations that have poor health outcomes [12, 13].

Challenges and Opportunities in Integrating Public Health into Health Planning

Despite these visions and significant contextualization with solid theories and historical experiences, many challenges arise when we discuss a true integration of public health within comprehensive health planning. Even though several barriers have been identified, we can synthesize them into three general groups: funding, political will, and diverse priorities. In some cases, for historical reasons, public health is seriously underfunded, which restricts access in some areas like information systems. Political will is an essential issue in health planning. The best tool is not the totemic national health plan, vertically aligned with all technical criteria. Rather, what is required is an enormous amount of coherence, which, at a certain moment, should be captured in both strategic documents and, especially, in the budget planning over the next years [14, 15]. Offering comprehensive health planning guidelines regardless of political or economic issues in public health can contribute more and more perspectives for the effective and efficient development of health in a more comprehensive and complex way. Bolstering interdisciplinary practices and ways to contribute, the participation of all three powers (state, society, and market) and technicians

from different sectors is necessary. It is important to clarify and emphasize that the lines of life are impacted by different areas and require people to have basic knowledge to discuss or formulate strategies. Furthermore, in terms of opportunities, there are advances in data exchange and management, as well as applications in forecasting, that should gradually address some vertical incoherencies. Plans and projects must be designed to incorporate ever-present mutations and adapt as quickly as possible in an adaptive way to combat health threats that emerge and demand a collective response. Some health systems have already adopted this new configuration trend, and public health can contribute with its unique systemic and life cycle vision of citizens. Post-SDG planning, another potential need for integrative thinking is raised by the harmonizing prospect in the supraplanetary context. Moreover, some narratives present us with success stories, with a predominant role of European countries in the practice of inter-sectoral integration and transdisciplinarity. However, for these policies to be effective, plans require adequate training and the composition of an interdisciplinary team, including public health with its ability to perceive disease democratization risks as a line of action and social equity. In conclusion, this does not, however, mitigate the need for training and capacity building, both for public health professionals and health planners and managers in their general and transversal vision, open to innovations and management models that encourage and cover the active population and work surface as rights to health and its links [16, 17].

Case Studies and Best Practices

Case Study 1: Hamilton County, Ohio. Hamilton County, Ohio, effectively integrated public health into transportation and day-to-day community planning. There are multiple Local Public Health System Performance Assessments available in Hamilton County. These assessments state various pieces of information relating to public information, marketing, public relations, workforce development, administration, planning, and others. The assessment serves as a guide to developing this plan, as well as reminding the health department of their strategic goals and where they intend to be in the future. Case Study 2: Montgomery County, Maryland. Montgomery County's Planning Department's mission is to create great communities. Their vision is for Montgomery County to be the world's best place for its residents. They apply the principles of smart growth and support smart growth initiatives. The County's Local Public Health System Performance Assessment also noted that in 2009, 45% of infrastructure exceeded its useful life and 26% are near the end of their useful life. This status is a concern since unscheduled failure of mechanical, building, and security equipment impacts the physical plant, co-located programs, and site employees and could result in site shutdown. Solution: Use the public health system performance assessment to develop evidence of the need to engage local government in community emergency response and hazard planning.

Case Study 3: Fayette County, Kentucky. The health department is involved in the Metropolitan Planning Organization and transportation planning. The current plan is to form a Complete Street Committee with an ongoing assessment to determine what has been done throughout the nation. Then, the health department will hold public forums regarding the intent to improve health via these policies. The next steps are to address the following: the mission to focus on healthy choices, document the return on investment currently recorded, implement the school planning improvements, and increase the physical activity programs in the cities. The health department will develop support for schools, communities, and health care providers.

Challenge: Need more dissemination of information regarding safety, healthy eating, physical activity, and the use of outpatient clinics.

Solution: Develop a new marketing strategy. The next steps should focus on healthy and safe choices, compiling data on transportation and the physical opportunities that exist in Fayette County to encourage active living choices, and purchasing the socio-economic report to flesh out employee participation [18, 19].

CONCLUSION

Public health plays an important role in shaping effective health planning strategies by providing essential data on disease trends, socio-economic factors, and health inequalities. Integrating public health into health planning enables a more holistic approach to improving health outcomes, addressing disparities, and ensuring community participation. While challenges such as funding, political will, and varying priorities persist, opportunities for improved data integration, interdisciplinary collaboration, and policy coherence can advance the future of health planning. As health systems continue to evolve, a closer alignment between public health and health planning will be crucial for creating equitable, sustainable healthcare solutions.

REFERENCES

1. Rudd RE, Anderson JE, Oppenheimer S, Nath C. Health literacy: an update of medical and public health literature. InReview of Adult Learning and Literacy, Volume 7 2023 May 31 (pp. 175-204). Routledge. ncsall.net

2. Mouratidis K. Urban planning and quality of life: A review of pathways linking the built environment to subjective well-being. Cities. 2021 Aug 1;115:103229.

3. Pearson O, Schwartzkopff K, Dawson A, Hagger C, Karagi A, Davy C, Brown A, Braunack-Mayer A, Leadership Group guiding the Centre for Research Excellence in Aboriginal Chronic Disease Knowledge Translation and Exchange (CREATE). Aboriginal community controlled health organisations address health equity through action on the social determinants of health of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples in Australia. BMC Public Health. 2020 Dec;20:1-3. springer.com

4. Lundberg O. Next steps in the development of the social determinants of health approach: the need for a new narrative. Scandinavian Journal of Public Health. 2020 Jul;48(5):473-9.

5. Nutbeam D, Lloyd JE. Understanding and responding to health literacy as a social determinant of health. Annu Rev Public Health. 2021 Apr 1;42(1):159-73.

6. Ndugga N, Artiga S. Disparities in health and health care: 5 key questions and answers. Kaiser Family Foundation. 2021 May 11;11.

7. Woolhandler S, Himmelstein DU, Ahmed S, Bailey Z, Bassett MT, Bird M, Bor J, Bor D, Carrasquillo O, Chowkwanyun M, Dickman SL. Public policy and health in the Trump era. The Lancet. 2021 Feb 20;397(10275):705-53. landofsixpeoples.com

8. Chang MC, Green L, Petrokofsky C. Health in All Policies. InPublic Health Spatial Planning in Practice 2022 Sep 29 (pp. 71-83). Policy Press. [HTML]

9. Shirali GA, Zarei E, Yazdi M. Safety Causation Models in Complex Sociotechnical Systems. InSafety Causation Analysis in Sociotechnical Systems: Advanced Models and Techniques 2024 Jul 23 (pp. 47-72). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. [HTML]

10. Kolokotsa D, Lilli AA, Lilli MA, Nikolaidis NP. On the impact of nature-based solutions on citizens' health & well being. Energy and buildings. 2020 Dec 15;229:110527.

11. Das KV, Jones-Harrell C, Fan Y, Ramaswami A, Orlove B, Botchwey N. Understanding subjective well-being: perspectives from psychology and public health. Public Health Reviews. 2020 Dec;41:1-32. springer.com

12. Filip R, Gheorghita Puscaselu R, Anchidin-Norocel L, Dimian M, Savage WK. Global challenges to public health care systems during the COVID-19 pandemic: a review of pandemic measures and problems. Journal of personalized medicine. 2022 Aug 7;12(8):1295. mdpi.com

13. Head BW. Wicked problems in public policy. InEncyclopedia of Public Policy 2023 Jun 21 (pp. 1-8). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

14. Benis A, Tamburis O, Chronaki C, Moen A. One digital health: a unified framework for future health ecosystems. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2021 Feb 5;23(2):e22189. jmir.org

15. Malek JA, Lim SB, Yigitcanlar T. Social inclusion indicators for building citizen-centric smart cities: A systematic literature review. Sustainability. 2021 Jan 4;13(1):376.

16. Zuo T, Wei H, Chen N. Incorporating low-stress bicycling connectivity into expanded transit service coverage. Transportation research record. 2021 Apr;2675(4):79-89.

17. Prasai S. *Analyzing the Impact of Transportation Investment on Economic Development at State and Local Level: A Case Study of the State of Ohio, USA* (Master's thesis, Ohio University).

18. Shi L, Tsai J, Kao S. Public health, social determinants of health, and public policy. J Med Sci. 2009 Apr 1;29(2):43-59.

19. Tziraki-Segal C, De Luca V, Santana S, Romano R, Tramontano G, Scattola P, Celata C, Gelmi G, Ponce Márquez S, Lopez-Samaniego L, Zavagli V. Creating a culture of health in planning and implementing innovative strategies addressing non-communicable chronic diseases. Frontiers in Sociology. 2019 Feb 26;4:9.