Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research 3(2): 49-52, 2024

ROJESR Publications Online ISSN: 1115-9790

https://rojournals.org/roj-engineering-and-scientific-research/ Print ISSN: 1115-6155

Cyber-Physical Systems: Integrating Computing with Physical Processes

Nakirya Juliet Blessing

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) represent the convergence of computing and physical processes, creating a seamless integration between the cyber and physical domains. These systems are characterized by the interaction of computational elements with the physical world, enabling intelligent decision-making and real-time communication. The proliferation of technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), autonomous systems, and Industry 4.0 has amplified the importance of CPS in modern society. This paper explores the fundamental principles of CPS, including the integration of computing with physical processes, design and architecture considerations, and the challenges faced in securing and advancing these systems. It also discusses future trends and potential applications in areas like healthcare, smart cities, and autonomous vehicles, highlighting the interdisciplinary nature of CPS and its transformative potential across various domains.

Keywords: Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), Computing Integration, Real-Time Systems, Internet of Things (IoT), Industry 4.0.

INTRODUCTION

The seamless integration between computing and physical processes has given rise to the paradigm of 'Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS)'. In CPS, the cyber part encompasses various computing devices and networks enabling interactions, providing intelligent information processing and decision-making, and facilitating various modes of communication. Here, the physical element pertains to the natural and physical systems, which are modeled and include phenomena, constraints, safety, environment, human in the loop, etc. With the large-scale societal digitization continuously unfolding, CPS has taken the center stage. Various research and development activities have appeared in recent literature delving into the multiple facets of CPS [1, 2]. CPS is an interdisciplinary area that traverses through domains such as computer science, electrical engineering, communications, control theory, robotics, and mechatronics, amongst others. With the recent explosion and popularization of the Internet of Things (IoT), Industry 4.0, autonomous systems, and Smart-X technology, CPS now permeates through our daily lives. Examples where CPS applications are found include intelligent transportation systems, smart grids, network-centric warfare, smart unmanned air vehicles, healthcare systems, emergency response systems, and many more. The absolute convergence between the cyber and physical elements truly defines CPS systems. From a more historical perspective, CPS can be seen as the progression and vast generalization of several well-established research paradigms, including distributed real-time systems, networked control systems, wireless sensor networks, and integrated complex systems [3].

FUNDAMENTALS OF COMPUTING IN CYBER-PHYSICAL SYSTEMS

Computing is no longer limited to the realm of desktop systems. This trend is largely fueled by the widespread availability of components and devices that are small in size and consume little power, but are still capable of performing computations. Applied to the area of embedded computing, this has given us small devices which can be placed into physical systems. These devices allow the control and management of the system through computers, and sometimes also provide means to adapt these systems to a changing environment. As more and more of these devices appear in the world, the concept of Cyber-Physical Systems has emerged. Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) are systems of computational elements that are controlling, monitoring, communicating with, and adapting the state of the physical systems surrounding them. If we look at the different parts of a CPS in more detail, we see that several problems common to CS need to be reconsidered in the context of CPS [4, 5]. Many CPS need real-time computing, and can therefore be seen as real-time systems. It is in the nature of these systems that the correctness of results depends not only on their logical, but also on their temporal correctness, i.e., they depend on the correctness of the results of computations at some point in time. Physical systems (often) perform in the real world, and therefore so must CPS. Networks of embedded systems in the physical world typically place a computational device and several sensors, and possibly actuators, in the real world. It is often the case that the computational device in such systems consists of distributed components, and communication between these components is an essential part of the system. The computational device must also communicate over a separate network with other such devices. Finally, as with any system, the software and components that run on a CPS need to be designed. This is further complicated since these systems may often have wireless, and possibly mobile, components. For the purpose of this book, many contributions approach these issues from different perspectives. However, they make it clear that CPS have computing aspects [6].

PHYSICAL PROCESSES AND THEIR INTEGRATION WITH COMPUTING

Physical processes

Cyber-physical systems (CPS) consist of the deep integration of physical processes with computing and communication. A physical process could be an electrical, mechanical, or fluid dynamic process, as well as a biological or ecological process, and one could view such systems in many application-specific domains, including engineering (aerospace, automotive, civil infrastructure), physical and chemical processes (chemical processing, materials design), socio-technical systems (computer networks, financial systems), biological systems, and systems for entertainment (robotic pets, miniature helicopters). These systems integrate a cyber-based control and communication system with physical elements, which are often governed by laws that are continuous and differentiable in both space (or time, or space and time), or are Markovian [7, 8].

Integration with computing

There are numerous challenges and opportunities in the integration of computing with physical processes; the closer and more integrated the coupling, the more interesting the problems. The goal of the system is efficient operation, which for systems with humans in the loop may include maximizing human involvement (wait free) or ensuring some minimum quality of service for all users (progress). Physical processes are continuous in time, space, or other dimensions at various scales. Cyber elements are mostly discrete or networked. The paradigm of integration has its roots in real-time computing, which has flourished with increasing system complexity related to both requirements and control. The systems and control communities have also been integration communities. These communities are motivated by the need to add intelligence to behavior and action, though they often treat computing and communication as separate from the underlying processes [9].

DESIGN AND ARCHITECTURE OF CYBER-PHYSICAL SYSTEMS

A goal of research in the area of cyber-physical systems is to reveal fundamental structural design paradigms and component interaction mechanisms unique to this class of systems, which is increasingly being recognized as the next phase in the evolution of embedded computing. In this context, we focus specifically on structural and functional concerns that arise when we attempt to understand the design and operating principles of cyber-physical systems that are intended to operate over a spatial continuum [10, 11]. The task of sensor deployment requires designing sensor networks and physical and computational devices as building blocks. Designing engineering cyber-physical systems involves integrating physical and computational dynamics. Cyber-physical infrastructure design should consider communication, control, and computing. Sensor deployment involves addressing control aspects, modeling sensors, and using robots for mobile actuation. Feedback control can correct unplanned shifts in the grid or sample estimates [12].

CHALLENGES AND FUTURE TRENDS IN CYBER-PHYSICAL SYSTEMS

Securing extremely heterogeneous, interacting systems and taking care of security and privacy issues stemming from the cooperation of physical and virtual objects are fundamental to the success of the CPS catalyst initiative. Several security and privacy metrics and methodologies have been proposed in the literature with the objective to counter insider and outsider threats, but clearly the existing technologies do not scale up to address the CPS requirements [13, 14]. There is still a limit to the trustworthiness and dependability required for healthcare devices, the secure integration of IoT sensors, middleware, and actuators on a large-scale, the creation of trust relations, dependencies, and composite, reusable services in future smart and connected cities, and the collection, processing, and sharing of data coming from heterogeneous sources in different sectors (agricultural, environmental, etc.). Research is currently focusing on the design of metrics and methodologies and the development of security and privacy solutions in the vertical sectors at the basis of CPS and where, in general, IT and physical operation scopes are easily jurisdictionally and topologically distinguished. Fostering interdisciplinary cyber and physical integration to a point where CPS is feasible, practical, and secure is definitely a to-do to characterise the success of the CPS catalyst initiatives. Fuzzy interoperability and still heterogeneous topological integration mechanisms continue to characterize different technical, regulatory, and organisational policies [15]. Future Trends: Over the past decade, solutions, methodologies, frameworks, technologies, guidelines, specifications, and ontology like UCKC and SSIT terrain have paved the way to harness the potentials of cloud and service computing, edge computing, distributed computing, fog computing, agent-based systems, multi-agent systems, crowd-sourcing, peer-to-peer computing, compliant computing, pervasive computing, gaming systems, autonomous and intelligent systems and things, to foster CPS innovation. At the same time, basic artificial intelligence, machine learning, bio-inspired computing, evolutionary and natural computing, chaos theory are increasingly gaining momentum in creating more valuable innovation and understanding the phenomena related to the innovative CPS. Backed up by the advances in cyber trust and security, CPS transform in the whole gamut of application areas, also. Finally, CPS research is booming and catches one's fancy to apply and create solutions to address a plethora of issues in healthcare, autonomous cars, infrastructure management, smart cities, Industry 4.0, environmental and agricultural systems, logistics systems, to name a few. There seems to be no bounds to the expectation of innovations given the evolving challenges in sustaining the vast applications possible. In the wake of rapid advancements in CPS, some of the emerging areas where research ardour is firmly in focus also involves dealing with research in policy making, planning, and governance issues, for a healthy conjugal of such systems. Recognising the role of gestation and aftercare of multi-disciplinary research work, rapid advancements and depressing the areas where inter-discnew application sectors are also receiving subto a prominent example [16, 17].

CONCLUSION

Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) are at the forefront of technological innovation, bridging the gap between the digital and physical worlds. The integration of computing with physical processes in CPS has opened up new avenues for innovation in various sectors, including healthcare, transportation, and smart cities. As these systems become more sophisticated, addressing the challenges of security, privacy, and interoperability will be crucial for their widespread adoption and success. Future research must continue to focus on developing robust design methodologies, enhancing real-time computational capabilities, and ensuring the dependability of CPS in critical applications. The continued evolution of CPS will undoubtedly play a significant role in shaping the future of technology and society.

REFERENCES

1. Weyns D, Andersson J, Caporuscio M, Flammini F, Kerren A, Löwe W. A research agenda for smarter cyber-physical systems. Journal of Integrated Design and Process Science. 2021 Jan 1;25(2):27-47. iospress.com
2. Horváth I. Designing next-generation cyber-physical systems: Why is it an issue? Journal of Integrated Design and Process Science. 2022. iospress.com
3. Himmelstoß H, Bildstein A, Schel D. Solution Approach for Challenges In Product Development In The Context of Emerging Cyber-Physical Systems. ESSN: 2701-6277. 2021. uni-hannover.de
4. Mishra A, Jha AV, Appasani B, Ray AK, Gupta DK, Ghazali AN. Emerging technologies and design aspects of next generation cyber physical system with a smart city application perspective. International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management. 2023 Jul;14(Suppl 3):699-721. [HTML]
5. Vasiljev YS, Volkova VN, Kozlov VN. The concept of an open cyber-physical system. Cyber-Physical Systems and Control. 2020. [HTML]
6. Liu S, Yao S, Fu X, Shao H, Tabish R, Yu S, Bansal A, Yun H, Sha L, Abdelzaher T. Real-time task scheduling for machine perception in intelligent cyber-physical systems. IEEE Transactions on Computers. 2021 Aug 20;71(8):1770-83. nsf.gov
7. Khujamatov H, Reypnazarov E, Khasanov D, Akhmedov N. IoT, IIoT, and cyber-physical systems integration. InEmergence of cyber physical system and IoT in smart automation and

robotics: computer engineering in automation 2021 May 5 (pp. 31-50). Cham: Springer International Publishing. researchgate.net
8. Gushev M. Dew computing architecture for cyber-physical systems and IoT. Internet of things. 2020. [HTML]
9. Nižetić S, Šolić P, Gonzalez-De DL, Patrono L. Internet of Things (IoT): Opportunities, issues and challenges towards a smart and sustainable future. Journal of cleaner production. 2020 Nov 20; 274:122877. nih.gov
10. Jahromi AA, Kundur D. Fundamentals of cyber-physical systems. Cyber-Physical Systems in the Built Environment. 2020. whiterose.ac.uk
11. Radanliev P, De Roure D, Van Kleek M, Santos O, Ani U. Artificial intelligence in cyber physical systems. AI & society. 2021 Sep; 36:783-96. springer.com
12. Banerjee A, Nayaka RR. A comprehensive overview on BIM-integrated cyber physical system architectures and practices in the architecture, engineering and construction industry. Construction Innovation. 2022. [HTML]
13. Hoffmann MW, Malakuti S, Grüner S, Finster S, Gebhardt J, Tan R, Schindler T, Gamer T. Developing industrial cps: A multi-disciplinary challenge. Sensors. 2021 Mar 11;21(6):1991. mdpi.com
14. Tyagi AK, Sreenath N. Cyber Physical Systems: Analyses, challenges and possible solutions. Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems. 2021. sciencedirect.com
15. Oudina Z, Derdour M. Toward modeling trust cyber-physical systems: A model-based system engineering method. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications. 2023;14(7). researchgate.net
16. Javaid M, Haleem A, Singh RP, Suman R. An integrated outlook of Cyber–Physical Systems for Industry 4.0: Topical practices, architecture, and applications. Green Technologies and Sustainability. 2023 Jan 1;1(1):100001. sciencedirect.com
17. Abikoye OC, Bajeh AO, Awotunde JB, Ameen AO, Mojeed HA, Abdulraheem M, Oladipo ID, Salihu SA. Application of internet of thing and cyber physical system in Industry 4.0 smart manufacturing. InEmergence of Cyber Physical System and IoT in Smart Automation and Robotics: Computer Engineering in Automation 2021 May 5 (pp. 203-217). Cham: Springer International Publishing. researchgate.net

CITATION: Nakirya Juliet Blessing. Cyber-Physical Systems: Integrating Computing with Physical Processes. Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research, 2024 3(2): 49-52