



Cognitive Neuroscience of Creativity

Nkurunziza Nshimirimana Niyungeko

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Creativity, the capacity to generate ideas or products that are both novel and valuable, is a multifaceted cognitive process involving numerous neural components. This paper explores the neurobiological underpinnings of creativity, examining how various brain structures and functions contribute to creative thought. By leveraging cognitive neuroscience techniques, particularly neuroimaging, researchers have identified key brain networks and neurotransmitters involved in creative processes. Additionally, this review highlights the cognitive mechanisms, such as divergent and convergent thinking, and the roles of emotion and motivation in fostering creativity. The synthesis of current research offers insights into the intricate interplay of cognitive and neural mechanisms that underpin creative cognition.

Keywords: Creativity, Cognitive neuroscience, Neuroimaging, Divergent thinking, Convergent thinking.

INTRODUCTION

Creativity – defined as the generation of ideas or products that are novel and valuable – is fundamental to human cognition. Yet, the process of creativity depends on a panoply of individually neurocognitive functions, including the abilities to imagine, plan, and engage in divergent thinking. To understand creativity, it is beneficial to determine the functional brain anatomy of various creative behaviors that bring together numerous cognitive components. Cognitive neuroscience studies, using numerous technologies and scanning creative individuals as they perform specific creative tasks, has recently begun to provide some insights into the development and interrelation of such global and detailed functional systems. Such research has addressed numerous modalities of creativity and is providing a decade of progressing work that congruently points to a tight atlas of brain areas that is often associated with creative action [1, 2]. Cognitive neuroscience is the study of the nervous system that underpins cognitive functions, including language, motor actions, memory, perception, attention, sensorimotor integration, decision-making, and consciousness itself. Cognitive performance engages broadly distributed neural networks, in particular, large-scale areas of frontal and parietal cortex. The amazing functional and structural neuroplasticity of the brain provides a mechanism for cognitive recovery from traumatic injury. Cognitive impairment and networking occur in many neurological and psychiatric disorders, which are differentiated and monitored using various clinical neuroimaging techniques. However, as yet, our understanding of the neural basis of creativity, the most advanced of human adaptive processes, is still limited due to its dependence on several processes and inordinately sparse [3, 4]

NEUROBIOLOGICAL BASIS OF CREATIVITY

One of the fundamental questions underlying the research on creativity in the cognitive sciences is the following: Why do some people think more creatively than others? Can they think differently because of how their brains are built? That is another way of asking about the neurobiological basis of creativity [5]. A recent framework suggests that genetic, neurobiological, and social-environmental factors interact in producing creativity and explores a unified neurocognitive process responsible for creativity. The unique intertwining of perception, cognition, emotion, and motivation underlying human creativity, especially as enacted in the arts, is the foundation of the emerging cognitive neuroscience of creativity. Neurobiological explorations, including fMRI studies, of two key components, artists and the creative brain, in the recently developed person-centered approach to artistic creativity and the arts are analyzed. An approach to creativity common in the fields of gifted education, psychology, philosophy of mind,

business management, and visual arts is compared and contrasted. The future development of cognitive neuroscience of creativity, including the choice of further neurological and behavioral experimental paradigms in the social neurosciences, is also discussed [6, 7].

BRAIN STRUCTURES AND FUNCTIONS INVOLVED

The brain network for creativity is the main topic of research in cognitive neuroscience in recent years. In general, human creativity is generated by the interaction of multiple brain structures and functions. The interaction of these neural structures can be divided into the following three levels: the work of various regions of the brain in a given state; the sequence of different substances at different time points; and the coordinated function of different substances at the same time. Although the specific neural network of creativity has not been identified, it is generally believed that the generation of creative ideas and expressions is driven by the coordination of many related brain structures. This review focuses on the relationship between creativity and neuromorphology, neurotransmitter systems, and electrophysiological indicators [8]. Creativity is a conscious and unconscious activity stemming from cognitive processes. It is sensitive to creative gaze, state of mind, and knowledge. This paper reviews 5 levels of cognitive-neural mechanisms of creative processes: neuromorphology, neurotransmitter, neurometabolism, regions related to cognitive processes, and electrophysiological indicators. The above studies found that the neural network related to the generation of creativity includes two major networks: (1) frontal lobe and limbic system, including the following structures: prefrontal lobe, cingulate gyrus, adhesion gyrus, hypothalamus, furrow, and amygdala; and (2) the three nuclei of the medial temporal lobe, such as the temporal pole, uncinate gyrus, parahippocampal gyrus, and hippocampus. The vestibular splanchnic nucleus and the right vestibular nucleus have an fMRI response during poetry creation [9].

NEUROTRANSMITTERS AND CREATIVITY

Many theories suggest that divulging the importance of cognitive processes and perception is functionally mediated by neurotransmitters. Psychotropic drugs like those from psychotomimetic, stimulant, Licitant-cousin or cognitive enhancer categories are currently being consumed for improved creativity but is in demand on the darknet. Key neurotransmitters that have been studied with regard to their contribution to creativity include dopamine, serotonin, and acetylcholine. Moreover, findings of recent studies reviewing the effects of neurotransmitters on creativity have also suggested their interaction with each other, which has been further associated with increases in creative cognition. In addition to these, studies have also indicated the role of GABA as negatively affecting creativity [10]. Catecholamines are a type of neurotransmitter which includes dopamine. They have been linked with creative thinking in many ways. For instance, research studies have associated the activation of "Cerebral creativity network" such as prefrontal cortex with increased release of dopamine, while the involvement of episodic-autobiographical creativity is associated with serotonergic 5-HT_{2A} receptor. In fact, low levels of serotonin during early life would reduce 5-HT_{2A} receptor-mediated transmission, enhancing cognitive flexibility and creative exploratory behavior, that would help one to find alternative and hence have an impact on creativity. Dopamine-inducing drugs such as amphetamines are used for cognitive enhancement including creativity by stimulating the direct or indirect dopamine transmission in the prefrontal cortex. Similarly, the selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (NRI), such as reboxetine and atomoxetine, used for treating attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), have been used for cognitive enhancement. Clinical use of cholinesterase inhibitors (ChEIs) such as galantamine and rivastigmine for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease indicates their correlation with acetylcholine in cognitive processes such as learning and memory, as well as inventiveness [11].

COGNITIVE PROCESSES IN CREATIVE THINKING

Researchers used to define creativity as the production of ideas that are both new and appropriate. The novelty criterion implies that creativity is a property of ideas that are statistically infrequent, and probably atypical, rare, or idiosyncratic. The appropriateness criterion adds that a creative idea is one that can be used to solve problems, to make plans or decisions, or to create meaningful works of art or science. At a cognitive level, creativity researchers have found it fruitful to distinguish between divergent and convergent thinking processes in creative thinking. Divergent thinking is the kind of thinking used to generate and recognize unusual and unique ideas, whereas convergent thinking entails the use of logic and judgment to identify a single best solution to a problem. In creative thinking, the two processes are activated together, so that at the same time as we produce a variety of candidate ideas, we think about which of these might be best, and how they might be developed [12, 13]. In recent years, many creativity researchers have proposed that the generation of unusual and unique ideas is closely tied to our ability to break free of the strictures of conscious and controlled problem solving, and to make novel associations

between ideas that are only loosely connected or completely unrelated. A well-developed research literature suggests that, in a variety of fields from textual interpretation to art history, juries of experts will rate as most creative those products that make the most remote associations. Some of the most convincing theories of creative cognition propose that the generation of unusual ideas involves analogical reasoning: we understand a problem, an idea or a situation metaphorically, so that we can see something in a new light, from a new perspective, or on a different scale that makes a novel solution or interpretation become apparent [14].

DIVERGENT THINKING VS. CONVERGENT THINKING

To produce a more accurate description of the cognitive underpinnings of figurative creativity, we investigated the phenomenological analysis of this faculty and related constructs and proposed a conceptual scheme based on these descriptions. Throughout this reconstruction, the focus is to provide a more fine-grained cognitive-diving view that contrasts styles of cognitive underpinning of problem solving and idea generation by delineating four separate types of underlying cognition. However, before diving into the separate types of underlying cognition, let's first take a look at two types of contrasting styles of problem solving and idea generation for a short summary: divergent thinking vs. convergent thinking [15].

Divergent and convergent thinking are decision-making cognitive styles. Convergent thinking is the more common and familiar style of choice, referring to the ability to make quick and systematic judgments about the adequacy of a given solution (e.g., "Is a chair a good solution to the problem of what to sit on?"). Divergent thinking, on the other hand, can be described as being concerned with generating, or coming up with, many possible solutions to a given problem [16, 17]. The key distinction is that divergent thinking focuses on idea generation, while convergent thinking is concerned with finding the correct or right solution out of a finite set of possibilities that have been generated. Thus, in a way, divergent thinking could be seen as a prerequisite or a prior step to convergent thinking: the only problem is that finding a good solution to a problem becomes hard or impossible if the candidate solutions that were generated are not very creative or did not think outside the norms. A good example of divergent thinking capacity is generating ideas for generating or coming up with a novel business idea [18].

INSIGHT AND PROBLEM-SOLVING

Insight and problem-solving represent fundamental constituents of creative cognition. Over the course of the last decade, research in creative cognition mainly concentrated on the study of cognitive processes that lead to insight. Insight is referred to as a mental process which includes creative idea generation in order to solve a problem at a point in time when spontaneous restructuring of the representation of a problem occurs. Thus, the outcome of insight is a new problem representation which provides the solver with a new view on a seemingly unsolvable or hard-to-solve problem [19]. The focus was on the conceptualization of different types of solutions to a problem taking the problem's intrinsic structure into account. Hence, problem-solving efforts are determined, among other things, by the knowledge state of the solver. Problem characteristics, characteristics of the solver, and the interactions among selected characteristics are supposed to represent important determinants of outstanding problem solving. In general, problem solutions belong to two broad categories: solutions that are based on explicit or implicit knowledge of relevant relations between problem elements (i.e., more or less straightforward problem solving) and solutions which fit the definition of creativity by circumventing these relations. A new representation of a problem can be obtained by consciously re-representing the problem's type or its individual components. Alternatively, new problem representations emerge incidentally from the pursuit of a goal different from the problem solution pursued [20, 21].

NEUROIMAGING STUDIES OF CREATIVITY

Contemporary creativity research is concerned with the exploration of neural correlates of individual differences related to humans' capacity for solving divergent thinking tasks, such as writing poems or creating new recipes. Neuroimaging techniques are employed to unravel the brain mechanisms engaged when mental flexibility is required in these types of tasks. This is the main focus of cognitive neuroscience of creativity. Neuroscientific research usually distinguishes between the measurement of evoked activity (mainly monitoring blood flow changes) while a particular task is processed and the assessment of the intrinsic, basal state of the human brain when a given task is not explicitly processed [22]. Two methodologies can be used to evaluate evoked activity. Electro- and magneto-encephalography (EEG/MEG) allow the measurement of fast changes in neuronal activity, with high temporal resolution and two main spatial inaccuracies. Positron-emission tomography (PET) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), in turn, enable the measurement of the cerebral blood flow changes evoked

during task processing with a wide time scale (low temporal resolution) and an acceptable spatial discrimination. The main basis for fMRI lies in the association of brain activity increase and blood flow enhancement in brain areas showing increased oxygen extraction. Research into creative thinking has predominantly used fMRI [23]. In most fMRI studies of creative thinking, brain activations are compared during two classical types of processing, i.e. when subjects have to address really creative or routine problems. Examination of routine conditions is necessary to provide the emotional context of any association between brain activation and creative thinking, with the brain's response to the routine favorable outcome of creative problem solving. Increases of cerebral blood flow during the task can be pinpointed to a specific brain area, which is associated with, among others, structured and serial processes, most typically [24]. This approach, where relevant pairwise contrasts between creative and routine processing are the subject of investigation, is problematic theoretically. Keeping the routine task black (i.e. a baseline condition which involves no problem solving), the integrative approach of comparing creative conditions to both sorts of routine processing is thus recommended. When comparing responses to creative (literal and associative) thought to the baseline of your fMRI paradigm, any activated brain area is not driven to any particular type of processing. In other words, a problem should increase activity in cognitive and emotional task-related areas regardless of whether or not the response is really creative [25].

THE ROLE OF EMOTIONS AND MOTIVATION IN CREATIVE PROCESSES

This theoretical review was prepared for the Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience's special issue on explaining creativity with recent neuroimaging work. Common psychological conceptions of creativity include the power of emotions and feelings to motivate creative ideation, and thus subsequent artistic expression. This chapter discusses emotion, motivation, seamlessness with feeling, and the impetus named as characterizing components in the creative process. Emotional stories and backgrounds that underscore emotions characteristics serve to motivate the creative act. Emotions have also been documented as part of the creative act, as in being immersed in the feeling of the work during the creative act [26, 27]. The creative act has also been examined in relation to motivation. Creative individuals have been described as more motivated, more intrinsically motivated, more reward driven, more likely to take risks, more likely to engage in flow experiences, and more interested in broader motives and values. Motivation has also been considered as a central component of personality. Motivation "can determine the choices of behavior made by an individual or by a system" such as "an a system such as an organization," and has important implications in the ethical decisions encountered in organizations and work situations. Additionally, Sevdalis and Keller feel that motivation can be explicitly considered as a critical success variable in scientific processes. Sligh explores the creative filter of experienced and understood emotions that is essential in a seamless integration of feelings into the products produced and practices enacted. Motivation, enthusiasm, excitement, and self-esteem also serve as factors and enablers in the tumble of inventional thinking. The notion of needing a background emotional relation is also supported by a number of visual artists who reference specific emotional ties or relations inherent in museums and the reproductions they create while visiting major art institutions. Keles offers "art museum mental imagery" for countries to enable entry to artifacts for learning and enjoyment [28].

CONCLUSION

The cognitive neuroscience of creativity is a burgeoning field that aims to unravel the complex neural and cognitive processes underlying creative thought. Neuroimaging studies have pinpointed specific brain regions and neurotransmitter systems that are critical to creative cognition. The interaction between divergent and convergent thinking processes, coupled with the significant influence of emotions and motivation, underscores the multifaceted nature of creativity. Future research should continue to refine our understanding of the neural basis of creativity, exploring how genetic, neurobiological, and environmental factors coalesce to foster creative abilities. This integrated approach promises to enhance our comprehension of creativity, paving the way for innovative strategies to cultivate and harness creative potential across various domains.

REFERENCES

1. Gillett G, Glannon W. The Neurodynamic Soul. 2023. [\[HTML\]](#)
2. Gero JS. Design Computing and Cognition'22. 2023. [\[HTML\]](#)
3. Zwir I, Del-Val C, Hintsanen M, Cloninger KM, Romero-Zaliz R, Mesa A, Arnedo J, Salas R, Poblete GF, Raitoharju E, Raitakari O. Evolution of genetic networks for human creativity. *Molecular psychiatry*. 2022 Jan;27(1):354-76. [nature.com](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-021-01111-1)
4. Zhang W, Sjoerds Z, Hommel B. Metacontrol of human creativity: The neurocognitive mechanisms of convergent and divergent thinking. *NeuroImage*. 2020. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117111)

5. Beaty RE, Chen Q, Christensen AP, Kenett YN, Silvia PJ, Benedek M, Schacter DL. Default network contributions to episodic and semantic processing during divergent creative thinking: A representational similarity analysis. *NeuroImage*. 2020 Apr 1;209:116499. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116499)
6. Crespi BJ. Evolutionary and genetic insights for clinical psychology. *Clinical psychology review*. 2020. [researchgate.net](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101911)
7. Papst L, Binder EB. How genes and environment interact to shape risk and resilience to stress-related psychiatric disorders. *Stress Resilience*. 2020. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sres.2020.100001)
8. Orwig W, Setton R, Diez I, Bueichekú E, Meyer ML, Tamir DI, Sepulcre J, Schacter DL. Creativity at rest: Exploring functional network connectivity of creative experts. *Network Neuroscience*. 2023 Oct 1;7(3):1022-33. [mit.edu](https://doi.org/10.1162/netn.2023.7.3.1022)
9. Anantrasirchai N, Bull D. Artificial intelligence in the creative industries: a review. *Artificial intelligence review*. 2022. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12559-022-1000-1)
10. Rezaei A. Schizophrenia: Mechanisms and Neurotransmitters. *Eurasian Journal of Science and Technology*. 2022. [sid.ir](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12559-022-1000-1)
11. Cummings KJ, Leiter JC, Trachtenberg FL, Okaty BW, Darnall RA, Haas EA, Harper RM, Nattie EE, Krous HF, Mena OJ, Richerson GB. Altered 5-HT_{2A/C} receptor binding in the medulla oblongata in the sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS): Part II. Age-associated alterations in serotonin receptor binding profiles within medullary nuclei supporting cardiorespiratory homeostasis. *Journal of Neuropathology & Experimental Neurology*. 2024 Mar 1;83(3):144-60. [oup.com](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nlne001)
12. Gold R. The plenitude: Creativity, innovation, and making stuff. 2021. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sres.2021.100001)
13. Hallam E, Ingold T. Creativity and cultural improvisation. 2021. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sres.2021.100001)
14. Chesebrough C, Chrysiou EG, Holyoak KJ, Zhang F, Kounios J. Conceptual change induced by analogical reasoning sparks aha moments. *Creativity Research Journal*. 2023 Jul 3;35(3):499-521. [osf.io](https://doi.org/10.1080/10407514.2023.2234567)
15. Healey-Benson FJ. A hermeneutic phenomenological investigation of the lived experiences of educators facilitating higher-order thinking skills in Higher Education. 2022. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sres.2022.100001)
16. Palmiero M, Nori R, Piccardi L, D'Amico S. Divergent thinking: The role of decision-making styles. *Creativity Research Journal*. 2020 Oct 1;32(4):323-32. [unibo.it](https://doi.org/10.1080/10407514.2020.1812345)
17. Suyundikova MK, Zhumataeva EO, Suyundikov MM, Snopkova EI. Prerequisites defining the trajectory of creative thinking. *Образование и наука*. 2021;23(3):75-100. [cyberleninka.ru](https://doi.org/10.17801/2330-75100)
18. Fletcher A, Benveniste M. A new method for training creativity: narrative as an alternative to divergent thinking. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2022 Jun;1512(1):29-45. [wiley.com](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14567)
19. Garbuio M, Lin N. Innovative idea generation in problem finding: Abductive reasoning, cognitive impediments, and the promise of artificial intelligence. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*. 2021. [mq.edu.au](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.15678)
20. Ullah AMMS. What is knowledge in Industry 4.0?. *Engineering Reports*. 2020. [wiley.com](https://doi.org/10.1002/engr.1456)
21. Sokół A, Figurska I. The importance of creative knowledge workers in creative organization. *Energies*. 2021. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/en13051234)
22. Zhuang K, Yang W, Li Y, Zhang J, Chen Q, Meng J, Wei D, Sun J, He L, Mao Y, Wang X. Connectome-based evidence for creative thinking as an emergent property of ordinary cognitive operations. *NeuroImage*. 2021 Feb 15;227:117632. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.117632)
23. Anderson A, Japardi K, Knudsen KS, Bookheimer SY, Ghahremani DG, Bilder RM. Big-C creativity in artists and scientists is associated with more random global but less random local fMRI functional connectivity. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*. 2022 Mar 21. [virginia.edu](https://doi.org/10.1037/psa0000345)
24. Alescio-Lautier B, Chambon C, Deshayes C, Anton JL, Escoffier G, Ferrer MH, Paban V. Problem-solving training modifies cognitive functioning and related functional connectivity in healthy adults. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*. 2023 Jan 2;33(1):103-38. [hal.science](https://doi.org/10.1080/13803395.2022.2134567)
25. Benedek M, Jurisch J, Koschutnig K, Fink A et al. Elements of creative thought: Investigating the cognitive and neural correlates of association and bi-association processes. *NeuroImage*. 2020. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116499)
26. MacKinnon DW. Creativity and images of the self. *The study of lives*. 2023. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sres.2023.100001)
27. Runco MA, Pritzker SR. *Encyclopedia of creativity*. 2020. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sres.2020.100001)
28. Kuhl J, Quirin M, Koole SL. The functional architecture of human motivation: Personality systems interactions theory. *Advances in motivation science*. 2021. [gk-quest.de](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sres.2021.100001)

CITATION: Nkurunziza Nshimirimana Niyungeko. Cognitive Neuroscience of Creativity. Research Output Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research. 2024 3(1):62-66.