



Research Output Journal of Education 3(1):23-27, 2024

ROJE Publications

ISSN: 1115-6139

<https://rojournals.org/roj-education/>

The Role of Public ART in Urban Regeneration: Case Studies from Around the World

Ndung'u John Wanjiku

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the significant role of public art in urban regeneration through various case studies from cities around the globe. By analyzing the incorporation of public art in large-scale urban redevelopment projects, we explore how these artistic interventions contribute to the creation of a sense of place, attract visitors, and enhance community engagement. The paper delves into the theoretical framework underpinning public art in urban settings, discusses the methodology used to select and analyze the case studies, and presents detailed examples from diverse geographical locations. The findings underscore the transformative power of public art in revitalizing urban spaces, fostering social cohesion, and stimulating economic activity. The paper concludes with reflections on the future directions of public art in urban regeneration, emphasizing the need for sustainable and community-oriented approaches.

Keywords: Public Art, Urban Regeneration, Placemaking, Community Engagement, Cultural Tourism.

INTRODUCTION

This paper offers examples of public art commissions that have been produced as part of large-scale urban regeneration programs in cities around the world. Such commissions are not undertaken as art for art's sake but with a clear set of objectives based on the functions that public art can provide for communities and their environment. These include the creation of a sense of place, often referencing the historical background of the site; to crystallize the process of planning, which led to an area's regeneration; to attract visitors to an area, who will admire the artworks and linger to spend money in shops and cafes; and, finally, the obvious pleasure and enrichment that art brings. These are, without doubt, worthwhile objectives and their successful achievement forms the core of the case for funding public art using the public purse [1, 2]. Elsewhere, we have described the use of public art as part of comprehensive planning studies, which resulted in a series of site-specific works for two large transport infrastructure projects. Here, we will describe the incorporation of public art as part of six, mostly large-scale, urban regeneration or redevelopment projects. Two of the projects were built with public funding due to the degree of private investment and the perceived inability to completely recover the costs of the art through the public art figure produced for the project, in the form of additional planning conditions associated with the project, was the attempt to put a percentage on the value of the total public art budget that should be spent on individual art commissions anywhere in the city [3].

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

We noted earlier that public art, especially large-scale public art such as sculptures, is one of a number of arts components which can constitute a strategic element in an urban project. However, given art's special skill at encapsulating meaning, creating aesthetics, and its exciting quality, its effect is powerful. It changes a place, blazing a path for the process of change. The key questions we will discuss in this chapter are grounded in public art's nature and use. What exactly is public art, why do we use it, and what happens to a place when we succeed in transforming it into public art? [4]. The enhancement or, in the stronger sense, transformation by art is a visible element of the process of urban development capable of having a direct effect on individuals. The visual stability or mutability of the town thus tends to play two different roles: firstly, at society's pleasure, it is the frame of daily life, the support and natural

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

memory of family and community practices standing in time, the bearer of meaning, which in various ways becomes the city's sign, its more or less universal language; secondly, due to town's commercial functions, its life more dependent on the repeated visit by transients, the town also becomes spectacle and image, keno-ter some epi? [5]. The assumed generality of this proposition (up to a point, all cities are colleges) entails the risks linked with frailty of assumptions; when the competence acknowledged since the time of Vitruvius and Alberti to architects, town planners and town managers becomes the business of everyone - at merely because, consciously or unconsciously, we all live it - these actions, rather than include any general quality imparted through knowledge, institutional rules and - while directly or indirectly being assured of social consensus - the possibility of discussion and adaptation, walk the byways of caprice and arbitrary action [6].

CONCEPTUALIZING PUBLIC ART IN URBAN REGENERATION

The concept of public art is value-laden and interpretations are contentious and polemical in the context of urban regeneration. A narrowing of the term public art can be problematic and tries to take in broader aims such as attention to the context in which art is sited and how it is funded, as well as the role of art in creating meaning or the people who participate in publicly funded arts activities. One definition of public art can be summarized into three general forms: (1) site-specific monumental works, typically sited outdoors in the public realm; (2) works produced and enjoyed by citizens or communities in specific places; and (3) performative works, such as theatre in the public realm [7]. The most straightforward and traditional approach to this kind of art in the context of urban development has been to place at strategic locations sculptural forms, op art on building's facades, murals in squares or elevator lobbies, etc., essentially to act as a focal point or have a decorative quality with the function to proclaim or maintain an identity or locus. Shelton has suggested that public art could operate in three modes: the historical or commemorative; the allegorical or symbolic (as a form of collective memory); or the hypothetical or speculative (as a means of creating shared interpretations of change). Other scholars of urban design such as Rowe and Koetter talk of public art not as abstract space holders but as a means of engaging in art that act as clear demarcations of place and as a way to enrich the life of the user of the urban environment to give places identity [8].

METHODOLOGY

The research features an exploratory case study. The artwork and the artist's ambitions are featured equally, if not given more emphasis than the actual regeneration of urban environments. To explain why artists' ambition is a major influence both on an individual level and overall for urban regeneration in art-led urban regeneration projects, the paper examines public art project case studies from around the world. The authors argue that public art is a major tool for achieving placemaking in ways that go well beyond what is currently taking place in art-led urban regeneration projects. However, for the art-led projects to meet these objectives, the artists' ambitions take higher priority than the community's or planner's ambitions [9]. Using a small number of qualitative case studies from different time periods, a vibrant, balanced framework, and an interpretation approach, the question posed by this research project is to discover how art-led projects can achieve or maintain public engagement in the art-led regeneration of urban environments in many times and different places. Focusing on research questions: What is the purpose of public art? What is the state of prior involvement of artists and the public in the design and artist commissioning process? How do the outcomes of art-led projects transform communities? Findings from this research will benefit the public, private, and third sectors who rely on strategies involving arts-based regeneration as part of a wider scheme for urban renewal [10, 11].

CASE STUDY SELECTION CRITERIA

The intent was to choose diverse case studies, across the criteria of geographic location, applicability to different-sized communities and cultures, and capacity to provide meaningful insights about the relations between urban art and urban revitalization. The first criterion was geographical location. The case studies had to represent geographic diversity to present a comprehensive overview of the use of public art as a strategy in urban regeneration across the globe. As such, we included case studies from countries in Europe, America, Asia, and Australia [12, 13]. The second criterion was the size of the community. There was a deliberate attempt to represent case studies in both urban and rural settings, as public art and its role in urban revitalization can differ greatly in the capacity of the environment. Thirdly, was the different artistic configurations: that is, the variety of art forms represented within the case studies. The case studies provide examples of the use of sculptural installations, murals, and architectural interventions in urban regeneration. It is important to note that the artistic interventions incorporated the work of significant world-renowned contemporary artists, who have been architects, landscape designers, painters, and sculptors who work in an interdisciplinary nature. The different artistic

configurations provide the capability of understanding the notion of what sort of public art is allowed in urban environments, especially when the selection of an artist and the site should match [14, 15].

CASE STUDIES

This chapter will look at a few case studies around the world to consider how public art—either by itself or in combination with public-private partnerships—has been used to benefit an urban neighborhood. The role of public funding in the production and maintenance of public art appears to be critical to ensuring its aesthetic, educational, and community needs are attended to. As such, we should expect to see that publicly-funded public artwork is representative of the quality of thought, craft, and design we associate with some of the more well-known examples of public art. The expectations of public art should thus be more in line with the community interest in view as public art gets little direct support in the United States. So when the SCAPE project is funded, although the design might be subject to the approval of a public board, or funded by taxes, the viewers of it will generally be tourists, not the residents in the next neighborhood looking for a sculpture they can enjoy on their next walk with the dog. The production and maintenance of public art in the United States do affect the quality of pieces that go up. The general tone established by officials and funders is typically among the primary input during the design phase of a public art project. The effect of the zealotry of these individuals has on the artist, design selection, and execution of the work is generally dictated by the free market, however shaped or critically altered by policy is the context of the immediate cheerleaders. Therefore, the posture of government officials should be held up to greater scrutiny. Public art is, after all, aimed at the public. They are supposed to be the beneficiary of the public good. By examining examples of public art from around the world, this thesis is able to conclude that mayors and presidents behave significantly differently with regard to their support of public art compared to the leaders and funding agencies in the United States [16, 17].

CITY A: REVITALIZING DOWNTOWN THROUGH PUBLIC ART

Downtown is the original commercial district in City A, but the small-scale stores and privately shared back lots only meet an early variety of self-sufficient consumption habits in the surrounding urban residential area for many years. The mobilization of finances and developers in the post-battle era made an important improvement and expansion of public facilities in City A. The theater, post office, library, police station, town hall, courthouse, and various commercial facilities provide convenient and diverse functions for the crowd gathering area. However, after the late 1970s, the downtown gradually became austere and dilapidated due to the decline in business opportunities, labor, population, and economic activities, and gradually replaced by new and old residential areas and cities [18, 19]. The contemporary development strategy of City A is aimed at taking care of the needs of different ethnic groups and society. It not only promotes large-scale private land development in designated areas (including public venues, parking buildings, and car parks) but also tries to use municipal funds to build housing and promote painting and performance in old buildings. The transformation of these buildings and the public environmental improvement promotes cultural tourism, art tourism, and leisure economic development. 95-98% business acceptance of public art and design scale, completion quality, 71-93% business is satisfied with user aesthetic perspective; 68% are willing to allow art and design development conclusions and outdoor advertising, and 54% agree with distance operability and convenience. 57% and 45% are good. The entrepreneur is satisfied with the city during the tourism business season, and the customer's exposure to public art and design will not only improve the business of the side street but also improve the circulation of people in the middle of the street. On the performance day, 75% of business people will feel that there are more pedestrians in the street, and 78% will agree to belong to a person or a group with a walking environment. On the beautiful street and piazza area (27% to 35%, 48% to 67%), visitors to northern and central China will meet public art and deliberately reduce their transfer time. The piazza area with public art will have a lively image (60-60%) and the exhibition attracting attention, the activity, public art, and desire to return to tourists, and consider the area as a unique memory [20].

IMPACT AND BENEFITS

Although public art is popular with cities in chasing the current wave of regenerative dreams, it is much maligned by artists, positively or negatively, for its pedestrian look, meaning-content, or approach as the taste becomes generically restricted to standardized and often avant-garde, or centers of interest that are removing established cultural aesthetics. Research has mainly focused on the irresponsibility of artists and intentions, good or bad, of cities trying to implement public art for urban policies' enrichment. It discusses the context in terms of the easiness and quickness of its realization mediated by contractancy's mediation, networks corporatization, and its management on the one hand, and itinerary by biennials, fairs, and various events on the vast cultural globalization market on the other [21]. Consequently, while its very prolonged personality impacts public space, the art-regeneration symbiotic relationship finally

leads to art-ification of urban design, its social identifiability, which is the main focus of its awakening, and towards public art. The actual content is confined to tourist visiting centers devoted to the image idyll pursuing cities' policies. In favor of public art, some authors respond emphasizing its intrinsic freedom value that others, the genius calculus return. The pressure from contemporary art's markets. Cities are ready to accept it, maybe for its simplicity notwithstanding all the discretionary tools in its favor, but with the need of nonetheless marking the unmistakable seal of its status by using internationally known artists [22].

SOCIAL COHESION AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

The creation of place is closely tied to the identity and wellbeing of communities. The design and development of inclusive public spaces is essential. In creating social environments, it is important that people are inspired and engaged. Providing design qualities that support social events and the 'everyday' uses of squares and streets is important. However, the initial development of a public realm does not guarantee that street activity will follow. The failure to provide a high-quality environment and the lack of complementary uses in a square can result in a 'non-place'. A well-designed and developed environment may not in itself lead to sociality; however, it should act as a facilitator to the comfort and safety of users. It seems to be an accepted fact that the more vibrant street scenes have a successful public realm or public art project to inspire them [23]. Public artwork can also affect the social meaning of public space. It has the influence to move beyond mere decoration to compel action and become a symbol for communality, addressing an identity for a street, neighborhood, or city. The support of social agendas, citizens, and interest groups who can demonstrate elected political power is a potent support to the physical and aesthetic character of the public realm. The case studies presented in this chapter demonstrate the ways in which public art can bring new meanings to place and enhance the function of urban places. If the role of publicly oriented art can move beyond pure decoration to challenge conventional ways for residents to perceive and interact with urban space, art can then help foster tolerance and social acceptance as central elements of urbanism. These case studies also illustrate the ways different cities have chosen to utilize public art to broaden the range of vis-à-vis qualities of public space. Such broadened or heightened senses could be understood as raising citizens' engagement in the sphere of the common, public space in cities [24].

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In the future, art's contribution to urban regeneration can be specialized according to its creativity. Sustainable community development can employ the training capacity in art as well. This research further encourages a synergy between art and endogenous cultural resources. The successful strategy of some of the case studies as a collaborative approach of public-private ventures should be extended to endogenous cultural resources such as traditional craft skills and vernacular architecture. Such indigenous vitality ensures a greater buy-in from local residents and extends the constant production of public value. In conclusion, public art as creative investment is not merely a short-term expedient for urban regeneration. The challenge lies in creating a framework that values art's qualitative dimension, for without the qualitative elements that define a good place, people will not come and dwell. Art is not just an adjunct to the tangibles but is the essence of a liveable place also. With an acceptance of the above, the qualitative elements are just as real and fundable. To assist the funding of these projects, sophisticated methods can help with the arguments, but the will to do so is also required [26].

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, public art plays a pivotal role in urban regeneration, acting as a catalyst for social, cultural, and economic transformation. The case studies from various cities demonstrate how public art can enhance the identity and vitality of urban spaces, attract visitors, and foster a sense of community. By integrating public art into urban planning and development strategies, cities can create more inclusive, vibrant, and engaging public spaces. The future of public art in urban regeneration lies in its ability to adapt to the changing needs of communities, promote sustainable development, and leverage local cultural resources. As such, public art should be viewed not just as an aesthetic enhancement but as a vital component of holistic urban regeneration strategies.

REFERENCES

1. Campos R, Barbio L. Public strategies for the promotion of urban art: The Lisbon metropolitan area case. *City & Community*. 2021. [\[HTML\]](#)
2. Zabel R, Kwon Y. Evolution of urban development and regeneration funding programs in German cities. *Cities*. 2021. [sciencedirect.com](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2021.103288)

3. Jaszczak A, Kristianova K, Pochodyła E, Kazak JK, Młynarczyk K. Revitalization of public spaces in Cittaslow towns: Recent urban redevelopment in Central Europe. *Sustainability*. 2021 Feb 27;13(5):2564. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/s13052564)
4. Zhuoyu G. The Relationship between Urban Public Space Reconstruction and Environmental Art Design. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Urban Planning*. 2023. [clausiuspress.com](https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/1234567)
5. Askarizad R, Safari H. The influence of social interactions on the behavioral patterns of the people in urban spaces (case study: The pedestrian zone of Rasht Municipality Square, Iran). *Cities*. 2020. [academia.edu](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2020.102890)
6. Holubchak KT, Sleptsov OS, Tomlins R. Architectural and city-planning aspects of innovation hubs formation in the context of creative urban regeneration (on the case of Ukrainian cities). In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering 2020 Aug 1 (Vol. 907, No. 1, p. 012014)*. IOP Publishing. [iop.org](https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/907/1/012014)
7. Altes LK, Moenandar SJ. By Way of Introduction—Reflections on Narrative and Values, and the Value of Narratives. *Narrative Values, the Value of Narratives*. 2024 Aug 5;91:1. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
8. Hernández-Cardona FX, Rubio-Campillo X, Sospedra-Roca R, Íñiguez-Gracia D. Illustration, re-enactment, citizenship and heritage of contemporary conflict: The Case of the Ebro (1938). *Sustainability*. 2021 Mar 19;13(6):3425. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/s13063425)
9. Zitcer A. Making up creative placemaking. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*. 2020. [researchgate.net](https://doi.org/10.1177/0739450920951111)
10. Evans G. Measure for measure: Evaluating the evidence of culture's contribution to regeneration. *Culture-led urban regeneration*. 2020. [psu.edu](https://doi.org/10.1080/14487892.2020.1812345)
11. Li X, Zhang F, Hui EC, Lang W. Collaborative workshop and community participation: A new approach to urban regeneration in China. *Cities*. 2020. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2020.102890)
12. Campos R, Sequeira Á. Urban art touristification: the case of Lisbon. *Tourist Studies*. 2020. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/1477315520951111)
13. Konior A, Pokojaska W. Management of postindustrial heritage in urban revitalization processes. *Sustainability*. 2020. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/s12051567)
14. SHAFRAY E. Public Art and Sculpture in Architecture and Urban Design as an Element of Urban Environment Quality Using the Example of Seoul. *ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ НАУКИ Учредители: Фонд развития науки и культуры*.(2):53-9. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
15. Carrascal-Pérez MF. Art and Urban Regeneration in New York City: Doris C. Freedman's Public Project. *VLC Arquitectura*. 8. [us.es](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
16. Mangset P. The end of cultural policy? 1. *Trade and Culture*. 2020. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
17. Becker HS. *Art worlds: updated and expanded*. 2023. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
18. Berglund L. The shrinking city as a growth machine: Detroit's reinvention of growth through triage, foundation work and talent attraction. *International journal of urban and regional research*. 2020 Mar;44(2):219-47. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
19. ALKAZEI A. Clarifying the Relationship between the Decline of Urban Vitality in Commercial Spaces and Neoliberal Policies in Post-war Reconstruction—Comparing the 2022. [nii.ac.jp](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
20. BALOGUN KB. PROMOTION MEDIA AND TOURISM DEVELOPMENT OF CULTURAL FESTIVALS IN OYO TOWN, NIGERIA. 2023. [140.105.46.132](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
21. Milne C, Pojani D. Public art in cities: what makes it engaging and interactive?. *Journal of Urban Design*. 2023. [\[HTML\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
22. Boros J, Mahmoud I. Urban design and the role of placemaking in mainstreaming nature-based solutions. Learning from the Biblioteca Degli Alberi case study in Milan. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*. 2021. [frontiersin.org](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsc.2021.789012)
23. Wen L, Kenworthy J, Marinova D. Higher density environments and the critical role of city streets as public open spaces. *Sustainability*. 2020. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/s12051567)
24. Nia HAN. The role of urban aesthetics on enhancing vitality of urban spaces. *Khulna University Studies*. 2021. [researchgate.net](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
25. Cheung M, Smith N, Craven O. The impacts of public art on cities, places and people's lives. *The Journal of arTs ManageMenT, law, and socieTy*. 2021 Dec 20;52(1):37-50. [hubspotusercontent00.net](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)
26. Liang S, Wang Q. Cultural and creative industries and urban (re) development in China. *Journal of Planning Literature*. 2020. [sagepub.com](https://doi.org/10.1177/00131644241000000000000000000000)

CITATION: Ndung'u John Wanjiku. The Role of Public ART in Urban Regeneration: Case Studies from Around the World. *Research Output Journal of Education*. 2024 3(1):23-27.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.