The Impact of Covid-19 on Educational Equity: Lessons Learned and Future Strategies

[1]Ugwu Jovita Nnenna and [2]Akwagiobe Richard Akpanke

[1]Department of Publication and Extension Kampala International University Uganda

[2]Faculty of Law Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated existing disparities in educational equity, disproportionately affecting marginalized and vulnerable populations. This paper examines the multifaceted impact of the pandemic on educational access, quality, and outcomes. By analyzing empirical evidence from the acute phase of the pandemic, the paper identifies key lessons learned and explores potential strategies for creating a more resilient and equitable education system. It emphasizes the need for policy interventions to address digital divides, support disadvantaged schools, and ensure that educational equity remains a central focus in post-pandemic recovery efforts.

Keywords: COVID-19, Educational Equity, Digital Divide, Disadvantaged Schools, Policy Interventions.

INTRODUCTION

In the aftermath of an unprecedented pandemic that affected every part of life, including education, policy analysts, educators, researchers, and policymakers at all levels have been faced with the dire necessity of analyzing the impact of the incident. In this essay, I take the position that the pandemic has been a surprising and profound (though not entirely unanticipated) seismic shock to our customary operational routines and logics concerning educational provision and prioritization. Part of the work that remains, thus, is to distill some key lessons about what has happened during the acute period of global pandemic and to reflect on potential strategies, policy developments, and practice initiatives that may be identified and implemented as a consequence [1]. The key focus of the essay, therefore, is to articulate what might be learned from the new empirical evidence generated by the pandemic in the field of educational equity and to suggest some implications for the future of education and social justice. Following initial discussions of the ongoing crises, the outbreak of a novel virus, and the institutions and practices that needed to change in its aftermath, the paper is divided into three sections. First, the essay considers the implications of educational disparities prior to COVID-19. Second, it outlines the patterns of impact during the immediate tertiary waves of crisis and trauma. Finally, it discusses ongoing concerns about the likely medium- and long-term effects in terms of educational engagement, attainment, and progression [2].

UNDERSTANDING EDUCATIONAL EQUITY

The concept of educational equity is foundational to the discussions in this essay. The terms "equality" and "equity" were historically used synonymously across a variety of fields to signify fairness. Recently, there has been a shift in educationally relevant spaces to using the term equity to describe a focus on equality of outcomes rather than opportunity and a focus on results rather than resources. For the purposes of this essay, we build off of the definition of equity provided in Milner IV (2008) to conceptualize educational equity as fairness in regard to educational outcomes for children and adolescents. It is used to describe an education system that is organized around the goal of educational

attainment and opportunity parity regardless of sociodemographic background and one that centers on meeting individuals where they are, providing the support necessary to help them meet specific goals and evidence of proficiency while limiting early high stakes decision-making [3]. Educational equity refers to the provision of a learning environment that is sufficient, effective, efficient, relevant, and free of bias and discrimination for all students in order to guarantee that all young people are provided with the skills and preparation that they will need for an active, productive life. Such an effort is associated with long-term social and economic goals. A central goal of a fair and inclusive education system is to eliminate such gaps between marginalized groups and ensure that no child's sociodemographic identity is a predictor of their academic success. Throughout this essay, we recognize that educational equity is not a zero-sum game nor is any child's success contingent upon other kids failing. When every student has a rich, diverse, and high-quality education, every student has a greater chance for success [4].

DEFINITION AND IMPORTANCE

Education equity, often used interchangeably with education equality, is an essential principle and guiding framework related to social justice that schools, academic researchers, and policymakers have discussed for several years. Equity emanates from the notion of fairness. An equitable educational system provides access, opportunities, and resources to all students to achieve their fullest potentials. This is rooted in the American belief that education can reduce or eliminate socioeconomic disparities in society. Also, educational equity is a civil right granted by constitutional amendment. In the United States, the education system plays a crucial role in building social mobility. Bumpy barriers between children from upper (or middle) class and children from lower class or immigrant families were broken by implementing free and equitable education. Now, education has been perceived as the most effective "way of getting ahead" or preventing intergenerational poverty [5]. To realize this dream, participation opportunities must be available and accessible to all children regardless of factors such as their race, gender, income, social class, or geographical location. Equity in educational financing is often the most controversial matter. From this point of view, pupils learn in a peaceful and secure environment. They also need a teacher who listens to them, encourages them, respects their cultural traditions, and allows them to interact with the community. The teacher should give them adequate skills to realize their own needs or serve their community in the future. Providing the same service to everyone (gender-sensitive, non-discriminatory in any form) is the essence of the concept of equity [6].

IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON EDUCATIONAL EQUITY

The COVID-19 pandemic has reinforced the need to advocate for educational opportunities that are both innovative and fair. While the changing landscape of the workforce requires innovative education that is responsive to labor market needs, educators must also work to maintain equal access to opportunity for all students. This ideal is currently far removed from the lived experiences of children around the world. As extensive research has revealed, the COVID-19 pandemic has brought educational disparities into sharp relief. No single study can capture the breadth of emerging educational crises as a result of the pandemic; rather, for our purposes, collecting a range of research articles and reports offers a general assessment. In the United States, based on long-term research, an educational divide has already been indicated by a widening achievement gap and restricted access to mentors and clubs for children from low-income families. As students in wealthier areas innovate to make up for academic losses, some fear the same will occur with COVID-19-related education gaps [8]. According to a UNICEF report, only half of the world's schoolchildren have access to the internet and tools required for remote education. Furthermore, only learners who live close to the school, estimate the cost of getting around, and calculate the time required to go to school can attend in-person learning. Those who reside in a considerable distance often lose out on educational opportunities. It goes without saying that these education inequality marks and disparities are not limited to developing countries [9]. After the spread of COVID-19, schools around the world have sought to teach children online. It is clear that the underlying assumption for this effort was the massive spread of the internet. In many cases, cities and villages, as well as the homes of the financially disadvantaged, lack the necessary infrastructure. In the United States, according to information published by Paradise (2021) via the National Library of Medicine's National Institutes of Health, there is an inequality in access to the internet, with poor minorities typically losing access to schools due to the absence of said infrastructure. The authors argue that the Southern states, in particular, have failed to develop price-reduction measures, institutional arrangements such as tenders and funding, or necessary policy changes that are necessary for the sustainable development of broadband. Users in Texas, for example, have to pay between $10 and $100 each month. Nonetheless, the number of USD 120 and over is regarded uncommon and is usually paid in middle/upper-middle-income areas where most people are wealthy and where broadband infrastructures are in good shape. The

foregoing is related to the fact that prices have remained stable and internet access has not increased, resulting in inequality. Of course, the COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated this situation. The impact of COVID-19 on income levels is evident, with many poor families unable to afford the internet [10].

DISPARITIES IN ACCESS TO TECHNOLOGY AND INTERNET

Undoubtedly, COVID-19 has changed the landscape of many of the world's systems, and the education sector is no exception. Specifically, COVID-19 has challenged educators to think differently about teaching and learning in a 'Zoom' era. It has anticipated the use of online technologies to support curriculum delivery. In many cases, COVID-19 has required students to be online more than ever before, not just for their social engagement, but also for their learning. Therein lies the problem. COVID-19 has forced not only educational institutions, but families and students alike, to reconsider and re-establish their approach to learning in a digital era. The following subsection is an overview of the impact of COVID-19 on learning and teaching with children and communities in socially and economically disadvantaged locations. In particular, this subsection emphasizes the implications for valuable areas of educating and social inclusion [11]. The COVID-19 pandemic has shown a clear 'digital rift'. Some schools have experienced disruptions within their community by having children and families exposed to teaching and learning from home with internet and digital technology support, while some schools have not. For the latter, in order to reach students and families for the transition to learning from home, many have learned of the disparities in access to technology and digital platforms. With the temporary or extended closure of many institutions, many educators have initiated online learning techniques and systems without individual cultures or intentional direction. Being unprepared affects students and parents in so many unequal ways, with a decreased time in schooling and access to paid tutoring had not been interrupted the least. The lockdown has therefore widened the disparities in the levels of children's academic attainment [12].

LESSONS LEARNED

Lessons learned. One of the key reflections in this forum has been the remarkable transformation of educational systems in order to respond to major challenges caused by the pandemic. Indeed, educational systems can change − quite remarkably − in relatively short periods if put under the pressure of an external force. Examples of innovation include the development of digital resources and systems which only a few had earlier reported, the adaptation of curriculum materials to suit the new conditions, the change in the assessment approach to accommodate the peculiarities of a global crisis, the reconverting of educators to online and open-distance pedagogies, and an enormous increase in the online provision of learning resources, courses, modules, and programmes. From schools to higher education, systems that were lagging behind in matters of electronic distance teaching and learning spontaneously experimented with fresh models of delivery which many professors and institutional officers earlier thought were impossible to achieve [13]. In the past, stakeholders in many educational jurisdictions equated access, equity, and quality with physical presence and face-to-face teaching. However, such rigid practices were modified quite rapidly and, in the process, social distances physical constraints became the force behind more teaching and learning innovations than the supposed constraints of resources and the workplace. The pandemic presented educational systems with one of their sternest tests in recent history; it would have succeeded in proving that COVID-19 killed the education of millions only if systems were truly inflexible and were not keen to adjust to crises even if part of their response was to work to close parts of the gaps in those dimensions that were worsening. The fact that systems transformed, that new narratives, discourses and practices emerged, suggests that they can broaden normal levels of access, enable learning for more in open-equality and non-discrimination systems even if the pace is frustrating. This is an aspect that can be put to good use as a takeoff ground for action, especially now that there is more public consciousness and societal interest in educational matters [14].

ADAPTABILITY OF EDUCATIONAL SYSTEMS

The COVID-19 pandemic led to educational systems facing multiple disruptions in 2020 and 2021. The pandemic and its effects forced the hand of educational settings to quickly adapt to alternative approaches to teaching and learning which guarantee a continuum of services, without interruptions, in a safe and secure environment. We are no longer in "business as usual" and the efforts to transform the system to the better must rely on the ingenuity of innovative solutions. This section is dedicated to the adaptability of educational systems: in what ways did they respond during the pandemic? And how did they adapt to the numerous disruptions that were presented? [15]. The response of educational systems to the COVID-19 pandemic and the disruptions that it resulted in has been overly addressed from the viewpoint of unfolding consequences in areas like accessibility and digital inequalities. Homeschooling, open-source solutions for transmitting educational content in emergency, the changing educational practices, and

implications of online forms of learning and teaching, and changing paradigms of educational organization such as micro-schooling are widely covered. Governments and educational institutions, at all levels, have shown an extraordinary adaptability to the rapidly changing landscape. It is not the first time that their adaptability is being tested and demonstrated. Whether they failed or succeeded in minimizing the impact of the pandemic, educational institutions showed in many cases that they were able, when the situation deemed necessary, to reorganize teaching and learning in extraordinary circumstances [16].

FUTURE STRATEGIES

There are a number of responses that can be implemented by policymakers to assist in mitigating the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on educational equity. These will be discussed here, also focusing on: i) the way in which the diverse impacts of COVID-19 can be understood, and ii) the opportunity for building a more equitable and resilient education system [17]. First, it is important to note that new policy objectives arising in response to COVID-19 might include the prevention of extinction of certain sub-school communities, at least in the short term. Second, the pandemic may be affecting individuals' equity and aspiration in ways that are tailored and diverse, raising equity-related interrogations [18]. In the wake of COVID-19, it is possible to nurture new forms of 'Fragile Knowledge', which might provide pathways that could contribute to making our education system more resilient against future crises. Building our way out of COVID-19 with an enhanced understanding of educational equity will require the aggregation of innovative policy, research, and collective action. To address the impact of COVID-19 on educational equity, innovative analyses and future strategies are required. Governments and their ministries of education can potentially intervene in ways to address the immediate expression of the pandemic. Providing appropriate resources to the schools that are struggling most to adjust in the new environment is a realistic response. However, taking notice of the short-term impacts, but planning interventions for longer-term change, is our business. We believe that the impact of COVID-19 should be viewed as another catalyst for addressing the future inequity within our school system [19].

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Given the wider repercussions of the pandemic, government leaders in the Asia-Pacific region need to adopt prospective interventions to address the COVID-19 impact on educational equity. A wide range of support should be considered within the wider policy framework involving labour markets and the social protection system. A number of possible interventions to promote educational equity that could be considered as a top priority at this time to act left no one behind: 1) Providing support and funding to schools in the most economically disadvantaged areas. Particular focus should be on 'open' schools with at least one monthly tuition fee. 2) Improving teaching quality and management of schools in disadvantaged areas through the organization of training. 3) Expanding the provision of digital infrastructure and the provision of ICT equipment to underfunded areas and schools. 4) Tackling digital illiteracy particularly within marginalized and vulnerable populations with soft skills training. 5) Monitoring and addressing the psychological impact of disadvantaged students who miss out on educational opportunities [20]. General guidelines for policy intervention by governments are summarised as follows: - Utilisation of government budget from other sectors as an injection to the education sector in the hard-hit region. - Collaboration between all departments involving poverty and social protection, health, and education, telecommunication and bank sectors, and public and private sectors. Landing banks can be used to disburse financial aid to the vulnerable. The funds should be channelled directly and preferably in the form of non-cash grants. - Improving the quality of services to respond to the pandemic outbreak, including: a. Making endeavours to guarantee the safety of educational personnel and learners to prevent the expansion of the virus. b. Accelerating improvements in the quality of school infrastructure and teaching tools in the vulnerable and hard-hit regions to enable learning to continue. c. Distribution of complementary nutrition to fulfill the daily dietary needs of underfunded and poor students during the pandemic. d. Preparing psychological, psychosocial and counselling aid services for children and their parents during and after the pandemic [21].

CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 pandemic has revealed and intensified pre-existing inequities in education, particularly for marginalized groups. However, it has also demonstrated the capacity of educational systems to adapt and innovate in the face of crisis. Moving forward, it is imperative to leverage these lessons to build a more equitable and resilient education system. This includes addressing digital disparities, supporting the most vulnerable students and schools, and implementing policies that prioritize educational equity. By doing so, we can ensure that the educational inequities highlighted by the pandemic do not persist but are mitigated in future crises.

REFERENCES

1. Cone L, Brøgger K, Berghmans M, Decuypere M, Förschler A, Grimaldi E, Hartong S, Hillman T, Ideland M, Landri P, van de Oudeweetering K. Pandemic Acceleration: Covid-19 and the emergency digitalization of European education. European Educational Research Journal. 2022 Sep;21(5):845-68. diva-portal.org

2. Huck C, Zhang J. Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on K-12 Education: A Systematic Literature Review. New Waves-Educational Research and Development Journal. 2021;24(1):53-84. ed.gov

3. Poekert PE, Swaffield S, Demir EK, Wright SA. Leadership for professional learning towards educational equity: A systematic literature review. Leadership for Professional Learning. 2022 Dec 26:26-47. cam.ac.uk

4. Jurado de Los Santos P, Moreno-Guerrero AJ, Marín-Marín JA, Soler Costa R. The term equity in education: A literature review with scientific mapping in web of science. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020 May;17(10):3526. mdpi.com

5. Robinson KJ. Protecting education as a civil right: Remedying racial discrimination and ensuring a high-quality education. Learning Policy Institute. 2021. ed.gov

6. Rowe E, Perry LB. Voluntary school fees in segregated public schools: how selective public schools turbo-charge inequity and funding gaps. Comparative Perspectives on School …. 2023. researchgate.net

7. Park JH. Who is hardest hit by a pandemic? Racial disparities in COVID-19 hardship in the US. International Journal of Urban Sciences. 2021. [HTML]

8. Gillborn D. Coincidence or conspiracy? Whiteness, policy and the persistence of the Black/White achievement gap. Mapping the Field. 2023. ucl.ac.uk

9. Martínez-Domínguez M, Fierros-González I. Determinants of internet use by school-age children: The challenges for Mexico during the COVID-19 pandemic. Telecommunications Policy. 2022. sciencedirect.com

10. Faturoti B. Online learning during COVID19 and beyond: A human right based approach to internet access in Africa. International Review of Law. . tandfonline.com

11. Pokhrel S, Chhetri R. A literature review on impact of COVID-19 pandemic on teaching and learning. Higher education for the future. 2021. sagepub.com

12. Goldfeld S, O'Connor E, Sung V, Roberts G, Wake M, West S, Hiscock H. Potential indirect impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on children: a narrative review using a community child health lens. Medical Journal of Australia. 2022 Apr 18;216(7):364-72. mja.com.au

13. Iivari N, Sharma S, Ventä-Olkkonen L. Digital transformation of everyday life–How COVID-19 pandemic transformed the basic education of the young generation and why information management research should care?. International journal of information management. 2020 Dec 1;55:102183. sciencedirect.com

14. Gomez E, Azadi J, Magid D. Innovation born in isolation: rapid transformation of an in-person medical student radiology elective to a remote learning experience during the COVID-19 pandemic. Academic radiology. 2020. nih.gov

15. Kwakye I, Kibort-Crocker E. Facing Learning Disruption: Examining the Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on K-12 Students. Education Insights.. Washington Student Achievement Council. 2021. ed.gov

16. Chehri A, Popova TN, Vinogradova NV, Burenina VI. Use of innovation and emerging technologies to address Covid-19-like pandemics challenges in education systems. Smart Education and e-Learning 2021. 2021:441-50. [HTML]

17. Mitescu-Manea M, Safta-Zecheria L, Neumann E, Bodrug-Lungu V, Milenkova V, Lendzhova V. Inequities in first education policy responses to the COVID-19 crisis: A comparative analysis in four Central and East European countries. European Educational Research Journal. 2021 Sep;20(5):543-63. sagepub.com

18. Dlakavu A. An Analysis of the Post-1989 Foreign Policies of Britain, China, France, Russia and the United States of America: Implications for the Liberal International …. 2021. [HTML]

19. Reuge N, Jenkins R, Brossard M, Soobrayan B, Mizunoya S, Ackers J, Jones L, Taulo WG. Education response to COVID 19 pandemic, a special issue proposed by UNICEF: Editorial review. International Journal of Educational Development. 2021 Nov 1;87:102485. sciencedirect.com

20. Hoffman JA, Miller EA. Addressing the consequences of school closure due to COVID-19 on children's physical and mental well-being. World medical & health policy. 2020. nih.gov

21. Li H, Guo Y. Performance management of university financial budget execution relying on comprehensive budget management strengthening model. Advances in Multimedia. 2022. wiley.com