Strategies for Leading Virtual Teams: Best Practices and Challenges

Mabirizi Baluku H.

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The rise of remote work has transformed traditional workplace dynamics, making the management of virtual teams increasingly relevant. This paper delves into the best practices and challenges associated with leading virtual teams. It provides a comprehensive overview of virtual teams, their characteristics, benefits, and inherent challenges. Effective communication strategies, the importance of building trust and team cohesion, and methods for managing performance and accountability are discussed. The paper concludes with practical advice for managers and future research directions to enhance the understanding and implementation of virtual team leadership.

Keywords: Virtual Teams, Remote Work, Leadership Strategies, Communication, Trust Building.

INTRODUCTION

Leading teams is a challenge that every organization must undertake. In today's business world, however, the workplace is no longer defined as a physical office space with all team members present. The rise of remote working has provided businesses with the opportunity to look beyond geographical boundaries for their teams. Today, an employee can work from anywhere in the world and still be a contributing member of their team. There are specific best practices recommended by experts to successfully manage and lead such virtual teams. There are also specific challenges that must be identified and worked through to manage a successful virtual team. In this paper, we explore these best practices as well as these challenges and provide actionable advice on how to effectively manage virtual teams [1, 2]. This article is structured as follows: we first introduce what a virtual team is and discuss the general practices that are important to foster strong virtual teams. We conclude the piece with a discussion of current strategies related to managing virtual teams and outline directions for future research. The information in this article is intended for anybody in a management, lead, or executive role who is currently engaged in or is thinking about managing a virtual team. The rise in remote working allows companies and employees to expand outside of traditional geographical corporate boundaries. Virtual teams are composed of team members working from different locations through technological communications. These types of teams are growing in popularity due to their ability to access a broader range of talent by recruiting from many areas. They also save companies resources since physical space is not needed. Unfortunately, they also present managers and team leaders with the challenge of leading through a screen [3].

UNDERSTANDING VIRTUAL TEAMS

A virtual team is a group of people working together across time, space, and organizational boundaries using communication technologies. Unlike face-to-face (FTF) teams, virtual teams include members who are in separate, usually distant locations, and who communicate through technology rather than speaking. Virtual teams have become an increasingly common form of organizing. When a side-by-side comparison of virtual teams is made with standard teams, the two seem to have an equal understanding [4].

VIRTUAL TEAMS: GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS

- Team composition based largely on members' expertise - Exploits technology by starting meetings, and even producing entire products, via the Net - Includes members who work where and when they want to

Benefits and Drawbacks: There has been some debate about the necessity of physically organizing in the first place, but evidence is lacking to support such a claim. Creates a unique virtual community, defying traditional work schedule constraints. Easier and more casual access to fellow members' opinions is balanced by longer hours and often oddly timed meetings. Other potential issues include the ease with which people abandon a virtual community and the problem of finding new members ready to join an untraditional community [5]. Emphasizes job-oriented objectives, numerically based tasks, and meeting predetermined deadlines. It's not always possible, nor is it always acceptable, to leave the project and come back to it at a later date. If a team member must communicate remotely, the cost of such communication must also be accounted for in this scenario [4].

DEFINITION AND CHARACTERISTICS

There is not a universally accepted definition of what a virtual team is, though a number of definitions in the relevant literature overlap and are agreed upon in a general sense. Martins et al. define virtual teams as 'groups of people with a shared goal who fulfill their roles with little or no time spent meeting face-to-face', while Beech theorizes that virtual teams are those that 'use electronic communications exclusively or predominantly to function as a team and to accomplish tasks'. Drawing on this, Bell and Kozlowski suggest a working definition that focuses more on the actual experience of working as part of a virtual team by understanding it as 'a small number of people with complementary skills who are committed to a common purpose and a set of performance goals, and who undertake a shared task that requires some degree of interdependence and who have some level of virtuality across time and space, and converge in functioning as a team. Virtual teams can therefore be understood as small groups of individuals whose members are physically located across different regions or time zones who work together to achieve a particular outcome, but which rely heavily or exclusively on electronic communication because their location prevents them from meeting face-to-face [6]. Successful virtual teams demonstrate many of the key characteristics that are credited to their more traditional, co-located cousins. Indeed, virtual teams are not all that different from traditional 'real' teams, and in many respects they share the same characteristics. However, they are distinguished by their reliance on technology, a factor that has a significant impact on how the team reaches its goals. Five key characteristics of virtual teams can be drawn from the available literature: task focused, share objectives and collective work products, members have complementary skills and knowledge, have interdependent roles, and finally use technology to global reach and anytime flexibility [7].

BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES

Teamwork has traditionally involved co-located and stable groups. Yet, teams made up of virtual members are increasingly common. Their prevalence requires making comparisons with their co-located counterparts explicit to avoid unwarranted generalizations. Like co-located teams, virtual teams face challenges such as building trust, participating in decision making, and negotiating cooperation. The virtual nature of these teams presents additional benefits and challenges. The benefits are: organizational flexibility through time shifting, time-zone access is expanded, and pooling of people. The challenges include feeling socially isolated and team leaders feeling like throwing things. By exploring these benefits and challenges, we gain a more balanced view of what sorts of strategies are useful to the effective leading of a virtual team [8]. The benefits and challenges lie not in juxtaposition, but in a more complex relationship. For instance, the feeling of social isolation or loneliness that at first seems to be a commonly experienced challenge may also contribute to the self-discipline of team members. If it is, indeed, the case that research on leading virtual teams as opposed to leading teams in general will become more prominent, the above points emphasize that we need to complement this comparison by being explicit about the benefits that the virtual medium brings. A full understanding of the complexities involved in the virtual environment, the benefits and disadvantages requires that we attend to this more balanced view [9].

EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION STRATEGIES

Communication is the central pillar of a successful distributed or remote team. While transitioning between different forms or types of virtual teams is never straightforward, one common tenet of teaming in technological firms is the value of effective and lucid communication. Where barriers to communication break down between distributed teams and departments, delivering products and services through technology tends to suffer dramatically [10].

Communication in a virtual team is built by both synchronous (in real time) and asynchronous (time-independent) communication methods. Furthermore, online communication allows for the sharing of original materials and resources without the need for costly hard copy production. There are many tools and technologies that can embed both synchronous as well as asynchronous communication and

interaction, such as email, electronic notices, and online discussion boards [11]. Synchronous communication involves feedback, questions, and answers within an immediate time frame, enabling fast resolution of complex or real-time problem-solving issues. Tools for achieving synchronous communication include instant messaging and web-based video conference systems, which are increasingly integrated into learning and development systems [12]. Asynchronous communication involves electronic textual supports for registered archives and bulletin boards, where time delays in transmission and processing are permitted. Email (electronic mail) is the most popular and widely used technology for asynchronous communication, which is supported by companies' support centers. While not ideal for problem plans that generate query hands-on experience, email can interact, store, and deliver original reference materials, attachments, and resources [13]. Automation systems are used to automate incoming queries, requests for information, and other functions using voice recognition or text-processing techniques. In contrast to centralized management styles and direct operations, visitors prefer to rely on using this quality of interconnectedness for call centers, helpdesks, and other public systems [14]. VoIP technology can integrate with telephone and video conferencing methods, enabling richer forms of web-based communication. Audio and video production technologies are converging, and videoconferencing is now a standard feature of computer terminals and media conferences. Authenticated users are able to interact between online, real-time telephone calls, sending live audio data, video conferences by webcam interaction, and the use of text files in the chat room [15].

SYNCHRONOUS VS. ASYNCHRONOUS COMMUNICATION

Communication plays a crucial role in team effectiveness and innovation in virtual teams. In virtual teams, communication occurs primarily via two approaches, namely synchronous and asynchronous communication. Synchronous communication lies at the fundamental human communication level due to its real-time feature, providing immediate feedback. Video conferencing, telephone, and instant messaging represent common synchronous communication media in virtual teams. Advantages for virtual team members are open interaction and real-time problem-solving. Nevertheless, synchronous communication can lead to dominance of certain group members, and audio and video are sensitive to technical limitations and interruptions. Therefore, managers should reserve synchronous communication for short, time-critical interaction and meetings aimed at relationship building [16]. Conversely, asynchronous communication allows time and space for reading and reflection. It could be text-based, such as email, discussion forums, or other threaded communications, or web log blogs and wikis for collaborating on documents. The benefits of asynchronous communication include allowing virtual team members to participate in group discussions at their own pace, improving creativity, and providing a written history of exchanges that participants can retrieve. The challenge of asynchronous communication is hard to implement within small organizations; managers report that they do not like email as much as face-to-face conversations. Synchronous communication is effective because messages are immediately sent and received, which participants respond to simultaneously. Given practical implications, the choice of adoption of a mixed use of synchronous and asynchronous communication depends on the application, technical context, the requirements of the task, and the norms and culture of the teams [17].

TOOLS AND TECHNOLOGIES

Tools and technologies are key components for communication in the virtual team setting. In the context of this study, tools and technologies consist of communication platforms to send and receive audio, visual, and text-based information, such as smartphone, telephone, iPhone, and computer with video chat, e-mail, social media and virtual platforms as examples of tools and technologies to share information. Anecdotal evidence suggests that e-mail, a common technology for coordinating within organizations, has the unintended feature of disrupting communication. Two-way communication technologies have been found to have complications as information passed back and forth between two parties create noise. Noise is defined as any unintended features of communication that interfere with the acceptance of meaning from the target audience. Best practices often include multifaceted two-way communication tools and strategies that include upward, downward, and horizontal communication, as part of relationship development and information exchange. Thus, participants in two-way communication should have compatible and effective communication technology [18]. It is crucial to facilitate an environment to promote and support communication in the virtual team. Virtual teams use tools and technologies to process the exchange of information and knowledge to make a decision. Use the right tools and technologies help members to describe the task, generate and exchange information for accommodation, negotiation, and decision. Technologies contribute to facilitating the productivity, efficiency, and cost. Application tool and the technologies used in the virtual team should be executed in a precise way to avoid misunderstandings

and unnecessary duplication, overlapping as well as the accidental introduction of data or inconsistency in the process [19].

BUILDING TRUST AND TEAM COHESION

Building trust and team cohesion in a virtual team environment is complex because trust is not established as easily nor has the same substance as in a co-located environment. While a secure, personal understanding of an individual's integrity and reliance binds individuals in traditional teams, trust in virtual work settings is based on a more cognitive trust, based on transactions instead of individual personal attributes. Trust in virtual work environments is, according to Jarvenpaa et al. (1998), more difficult and ambiguous because it is not developed on Weber's four sources of rational trust. It is therefore easier to act opportunistic in an IT-mediated deceptive way, instead of linking one's honor with the workspace. Building trust in an emergent social network of disoriented, physically distant silo workers is even more complicated, as there is not even a common mission or organization yet [20]. Considering the constituents of trust, it is more suitable to concentrate on trustworthiness instead of trust. A trusting mind assumes good intentions in the absence of the evidence of bad intentions. Virtual team members cannot rely on "face to face" and "personal knowledge" elements and thus construct trust among them by assessing, striving to generate, and finally developing the trustworthiness of the "other." According to Lewis (2003: p. 142), "virtual teams cannot become effective without trust because they need the security and confidence in each other to openly communicate ideas, share performance feedback, and make commitments to one another." I try to revitalize the intimacy, transparency, and candidness that is a much-loved trait of workshops and groups and continuously add to the team excellence [21].

MANAGING PERFORMANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

One other important area with virtual teams, as indeed with any organizational enterprise and social category, is to manage and take control of the performance and hold the teams and team members accountable when something goes wrong. In this domain, attention has been given to how 'strategies' and 'processes' could be devised for managing and enhancing the underlined dominant perspective and job attributes as discussed previously for virtual teams and their members, either within the organization or outside the employees' or members' network and social system. Some thoughts also go into the mechanisms of rewarding, recognition, and penalties, and others could be designed or built into the company—virtual team members or individual members are accountable and responsible for their behaviors, outcomes, decisions, or events [22]. Monitoring and enhancing performance. One of the most important or crucial steps towards managing the performance of the virtual teams and their members within the organization is to establish and design formal mechanisms and processes that are capable of not only monitoring the performance of the virtual team or members but are also all-embracing to equip enough control to foster and influence performance. Based on fossil evidence, it can be deduced that drawing such a direct relationship from the processes of performance enhancement to practice has not been a very easy task. Accountability deals, conversely, with the requirement and the necessities of carrying the corporate flag and the firm's missions and expectations. In comparing virtual teams with traditional teams and employees within the traditional workforce, the following are some other mechanisms that are necessary to use accountability to generate the expected, desired, or better outcomes or performance in corporations [6].

CONCLUSION

Managing virtual teams requires a unique set of strategies and an understanding of the challenges inherent in remote work environments. Effective communication, both synchronous and asynchronous, is crucial to maintaining team cohesion and productivity. Building trust and fostering a sense of team unity, despite physical distances, is essential for a high-performing virtual team. Performance management and accountability must be meticulously planned and implemented to ensure team members stay engaged and productive. As remote work continues to grow, further research and innovative strategies will be necessary to address evolving challenges and optimize virtual team leadership. Organizations that embrace these strategies can harness the full potential of their distributed workforce, achieving enhanced productivity and employee satisfaction

REFERENCES

1. Richardson L. Coordinating office space: Digital technologies and the platformization of work. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space. 2021 Apr;39(2):347-65. sagepub.com
2. Morrison RL, Smollan RK. Open plan office space? If you're going to do it, do it right: A fourteen-month longitudinal case study. Applied Ergonomics. 2020. [HTML]

3. Burrell DN. Understanding the talent management intricacies of remote cybersecurity teams in covid-19 induced telework organizational ecosystems. Land Forces Academy Review. 2020. sciendo.com

4. Morrison-Smith S, Ruiz J. Challenges and barriers in virtual teams: a literature review. SN Applied Sciences. 2020. springer.com

5. Ayalew M, Ayenew Z. Do paradoxical virtual leadership and emotional intelligence have relationships? In particular from technology dependence, geographical dispersion, and human capital tensions. International Journal of Organizational Leadership. 2022 Mar 1;11(1):1-25. cikd.ca

6. Abarca VMG, Palos-Sanchez PR, Rus-Arias E. Working in virtual teams: a systematic literature review and a bibliometric analysis. IEEE access. 2020. ieee.org

7. Larson L, DeChurch LA. Leading teams in the digital age: Four perspectives on technology and what they mean for leading teams. The leadership quarterly. 2020. sciencedirect.com

8. Dinh JV, Reyes DL, Kayga L, Lindgren C, Feitosa J, Salas E. Developing team trust: Leader insights for virtual settings. Organizational Dynamics. 2021 Jan 1;50(1):100846. sciencedirect.com

9. McGuire M. Workplace Social Isolation Perceptions, Workplace Deviance Behaviors, and HEXACO Personality Factors. 2021. [HTML]

10. Glikson E, Erez M. The emergence of a communication climate in global virtual teams. Journal of World Business. 2020. [HTML]

11. Burova A, Mäkelä J, Heinonen H, Palma PB, Hakulinen J, Opas V, Siltanen S, Raisamo R, Turunen M. Asynchronous industrial collaboration: How virtual reality and virtual tools aid the process of maintenance method development and documentation creation. Computers in Industry. 2022 Sep 1;140:103663. sciencedirect.com

12. Zahra OF, Amel N, Mohamed K. Communication Tools and E-Learning: A Revolution in the Research Methodology of Communication for a Pedagogical Scenario. RA Journal of Applied Research. 2023. researchgate.net

13. Varhelahti M, Turnquist T. Diversity and communication in virtual project teams. IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication. 2021 Apr 21;64(2):201-14. [HTML]

14. Jacobsson S, Arnäs PO, Stefansson G. Automatic information exchange between interoperable information systems: Potential improvement of access management in a seaport terminal. Research in Transportation Business & Management. 2020 Jun 1;35:100429. sciencedirect.com

15. Shreya GP, Pradhyumna P. Internetworking Gateway between WebRTC to SIP to Integrate Real-Time Audio Video Communication. In2021 Third International Conference on Inventive Research in Computing Applications (ICIRCA) 2021 Sep 2 (pp. 1480-1485). IEEE. [HTML]

16. Tang CM, Bradshaw A. Instant messaging or face-to-face? How choice of communication medium affects team collaboration environments. E-learning and digital media. 2020. sagepub.com

17. Nguyen MH, Gruber J, Marler W, Hunsaker A, Fuchs J, Hargittai E. Staying connected while physically apart: Digital communication when face-to-face interactions are limited. New Media & Society. 2022 Sep;24(9):2046-67. sagepub.com

18. Newman SA, Ford RC. Five steps to leading your team in the virtual COVID-19 workplace. Organizational Dynamics. 2021. nih.gov

19. Sah LK, Singh DR, Sah RK. Conducting qualitative interviews using virtual communication tools amid COVID-19 pandemic: A learning opportunity for future research. JNMA: Journal of the Nepal Medical Association. 2020 Dec;58(232):1103. nih.gov

20. Glikson E, Woolley AW. Human trust in artificial intelligence: Review of empirical research. Academy of Management Annals. 2020. colorado.edu

21. Abdulmuhsin AA, Tarhini A. Impact of knowledge leadership on the challenges and innovative performance of virtual teams: an empirical examination in oil sector companies. International Journal of Knowledge Management Studies. 2021;12(1):1-33. [HTML]

22. Graves LM, Karabayeva A. Managing virtual workers—strategies for success. IEEE Engineering Management Review. 2020 Apr 27;48(2):166-72. archive.org