

Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science 3(1):74-78, 2024

**ROJBAS** Publications

ISSN: 1115-6120

https://rojournals.org/roj-biological-and-applied-science/

Page | 74

# **Psychobiology of Resilience in Disaster Management**

## Mugisha Emmanuel K.

#### Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

## ABSTRACT

This paper explores the psychobiology of resilience within the context of disaster management, integrating insights from psychology, neurobiology, and social sciences. Resilience, defined as the capacity to maintain or regain psychological and physical well-being in the face of adversity, involves complex biopsychosocial processes. We examine theoretical frameworks of resilience, including psychological and biological theories, and the neurobiological basis of resilience, with a focus on brain circuits and hormonal responses. The role of the amygdala and cortisol regulation in stress response is highlighted. Psychosocial factors such as social support and interventions for building resilience are discussed, emphasizing strategies for enhancing individual and community resilience. This comprehensive review aims to deepen the understanding of resilience mechanisms and inform effective disaster management practices.

Keywords: Resilience, Disaster Management, Psychobiology, Neurobiology, Amygdala.

#### INTRODUCTION

Over the years, there has been an increasing awareness towards the importance of the study and understanding of resilience. The concept of resilience spans an array of disciplinary areas, from ecology to psychology. In 1990, it was noted that the concept of resilience was more directly considered in research on psychological morbidity and stress response and/or coping mechanisms, especially in the fields of psychiatry and psychology. Originally dedicated to the study of the origins and maintenance of good mental health, resilience has been examined in the fields of biostatistics, child development, community psychology, developmental psychology, educational psychology, nursing, psychiatry, and psychotherapy. At present, resilience is a new topic in the field of public health. In this paper, specialized literature from diverse perspectives has been compiled to present the concept of resilience [1, 2]. The very same word 'resilience' contains the meaning of response to events that cause societal upheaval or even small changes and recover afterwards. The concept is easy to understand in common language, but it is equally hard to define precisely. It is important to say that resilience is a biopsychosocial process, that is a dynamic and complex disease which interacts throughout the human life both with biological and psychosocial factors. Its subject is dynamic and can be studied from different characteristics. The most relevant description of resilience involves understanding the attributes of the individual/communities and the way in which these different attributes interact; it is not simply about demonstrating that some people or groups do better than others. Resilience is not only a characteristic of talented individuals which enhances outcomes; it should also be attributed to risk groups in a differential way. Resilience is affected by several social and medical reality factors, such as age, sex, social status, culture, and economic factors [3].

#### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS OF RESILIENCE

The term "resilience" has been used in the fields of engineering and ecology to describe the ability of materials and natural systems to withstand the impact of disastrous events such as earthquakes and hurricanes, and to quickly return to an original pre-event structure and operation. Recently, there has been a growing interest in applying the concept of "resilience" to individuals and communities faced with human-made adversities such as war, famine, economic depression, and acts of terrorism. In the human domain, many factors can be considered as having a causal relationship to resilience. Among individual-level attributes, intelligence, personality, cognitive aspects, religious faith, and socio-demographic factors

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

can contribute to resilience in response to diverse human adversities [4, 5]. The focus of the new interest in "psychological resilience" has been on the question: "What psychological variables predict positive adaptation in reaction to loss or significant traumatic events?" Although contemporary work on resilience has roots in character-based and the hedonic traditions of well-being, much of the influential work on resilience has originated from the fields of psychopathology and risk. This body of work has focused on return to pre-event functioning and the emergence of psychopathology following exposure to stressors. Because large disasters expose populations to potentially traumatic events, a modified version of psychopathology-based research has been applied to post-disaster functioning [6, 7].

### **PSYCHOLOGICAL THEORIES**

Human lives are, by nature, arranged around experiences and phenomena. Psychological theories focus on understanding the experiences and phenomena that significantly shape people's lives. As a practical science, theories in the branch of psychology enable us to explain and predict different sorts of phenomena such as how individuals perceive their environment and think of their social relations, to understanding continuity and change in these dimensions of experiences. As theories of procedures, they suggest to policymakers and stakeholders possible recommendations to prevent, intervene, and change problematic conditions [8, 9]. Disaster management has unlimited potential for learning from diverse psychological theories. Knowledge and use of this kind of policies, plans, programs, and practices in psychological science would enhance the well-being and safety of individuals and communities throughout the world. Some of the well-elaborated psychological theories and constructs, many of which are related among each other in a complex way, that are important for conducting disaster-related psychological research in diverse disciplines fall into four main categories: tripartite classification of psychological models, subjective theories, theories of human needs, and personality theory [10].

#### **BIOLOGICAL THEORIES**

That the human being moves toward interests, responds to needs, and results from activity generated from the brain has been demonstrated in brain injury and surgical removal. The interest in psychoses is gradually resuming for neurophysiology after many years of priority research aimed exclusively at neurosis and endocrinopathies, as well as biochemical research outside the nervous system. Various studies have been conducted to find the influence of enzymes and opiates in components related to the etiology of mental disorders. As a result of the interest and economic funding, there is even a return to female neurophysiology. The ontogenetic foundation of different brain structures and neurotransmitters, as well as their synthesis protocols, consolidation, transportation, and regulation, has particular importance. Knowledge of cellular specialization and brain topography areas is decisive in understanding brain physiology. At this level, studies have moved away from the discovery of the anatomical structure of the neural network. These experiments, which always accompany the observation of psycho-physical symptoms, do not always and easily guarantee objective conclusions [11]. The ethological point of view stands between behavior and the biological substrate. The ethological approach in studies of interspecific and intraspecific communication, behavior affirmation, and group regulation problems has increased considerably through neuroscience studies. An ethological synthesis between neurology, psychopharmacology, electroencephalography, and electrophysiological analysis, as well as the anatomical/functional interrelation between specialized sections, is hoped for. The enantioselective interaction between psychotropic substances with neuronal membrane receptors, promoting biochemical processes and functional recovery, represents a major contribution to the interpretation of mental pathology. Consequently, the development of connections and the establishment of dipeptide polymer neurorecognition rules and synthetic machinery of the neural network. This information will make it increasingly possible to overcome some post-traumatic and degenerative limitations in therapeutic areas deprived of a 100% clinical approach and subclinical feedback. Information that will be crucial in the field of disaster prevention, prediction, and management [12].

## NEUROBIOLOGICAL BASIS OF RESILIENCE

Decades of clinical observations in humans, as well as laboratory research in animals, have made it clear that resilience and vulnerability to stress-related disorders involve a variety of brain circuits, stress systems, and hormonal effectors. Brain-mediated resilience and recovery involve a diverse group of neuromodulators and specialized circuits, making it difficult to identify a single molecular or cellular target for a resilience-promoting strategy. This chemical and anatomical complex convergence provides never-before-imagined functional specialization for both cognitive endophenotypes related to depression risk and for these neuropeptide and neurotransmitter systems [13]. In humans, resilience is defined as the capacity to maintain normal psychological and physical functioning in the face of intense stress. Reconstructions of resilience in humans include diverse abilities derived from markedly different neural

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

circuits and neurowiring, including increased attentional control and working memory, increased saliency of neural stimuli which modulate efferent systems such as immune, cardiovascular, and autonomic nervous systems that communicate with the brain. Psychosocial resilience comes from positive memory consolidation, a positive self-appraisal, perspective on the stressor, forming strong bonds, and normalization of mood after the loss period. Resilience traits in humans can be defined across several validators such as genetics, development, behavior, and in laboratory models. Understanding resilient traits across these different dimensions will increase opportunities to identify targets for developing interventions for decreasing post-trauma adaptation disorders as well as treatment of dysfunctional resilience  $\lceil 14 \rceil$ .

#### **ROLE OF THE AMYGDALA**

The main functions of the amygdala are rapid and successful responses to threats. The amygdala is implicated in an array of responses such as autonomic activation, approach/withdrawal, orienting response, and startle reflex. Connections between the amygdala and the neuroendocrine system result in hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis activation, helping to mobilize the brain and body to deal with the threat. The amygdala also fosters amygdalar-cortical loops that involve the insula and anterior cingulate, leading to emotional awareness and emotional regulation. The amygdala and inhibitors of its activity seem to be critically implicated in the elaboration process of the resilience phenotype. Amygdalar-cortical coupling has been proposed as an important marker of successful emotional regulation, associated with individuals facing threatening stimuli and also of the resilience trait. Amygdalar-cortical disconnection appears to be slower in resilient individuals [15]. In adult rats, there are studies showing that social stress facilitates long-term potentiation of the basolateral amygdala, increases neuronal excitability in the amygdala and insular cortices, and concomitantly disrupts flexible behavior regulation of fear through the connection between the two regions. On the other hand, resilience to social stress could be predicted by the percentage of amygdala inhibitory afferent synapses in the prefrontal cortex. Together, hypoconnectivity between the amygdala and the medial prefrontal cortex and an increase in the neural coupling of the amygdala-insula have been associated with individual resilience when facing threatening stimuli. The shift in the amygdalar-anterior cingulate cortex inhibitory connectivity to the amygdalarnucleus accumbens spiny inhibitory projections could be a physiological hallmark mechanism of the neurochemical dissociation with resilience behavior  $\lceil 16, 17 \rceil$ .

## IMPACT OF CORTISOL REGULATION

Previous discussions highlighted the predictive nature of the cortisol response at the acute stress phase on posttraumatic stress and the beneficial impact of coping surrounding stress upon cortisol profile. On the other hand, some people did not show the same cortisol response to disaster stress, indicating that the stress-induced cortisol response might not be an identical biological marker for subsequent postdisaster mental health. Such factors affecting the cortisol response were studied. Low dose and high reliance on saliva for measurement combined with the limited time window for its collection makes cortisol an attractive candidate for use in large disaster situations. Given the inferences that it may provide about the longer-term outcomes of individual stress responses, studies to resolve the question are an imminent priority [18]. In characterising difference in the outcome to major disruptive events, there are real opportunities in determining the early differences in the immediate stress response. Animal work may well be able to provide valuable guidelines about predictable human response at a fraction of the time that humans exhibit their PTSD-like symptoms, but it is important to understand that humans are first and foremost, and a great deal of groundbreaking work in the distressing field of the physiological study of disaster response cannot be done of animals. The concept of damage limiting interventions was therefore seen to be the key mechanism for enhancing resilience. To the extent that this relies upon episodic hormones from the adrenal cortex, early studies of the relationship between cortisol and individual PTSD symptom domains warrant further exploration  $\lceil 19 \rceil$ .

## PSYCHOSOCIAL FACTORS AFFECTING RESILIENCE

In the model of Triandis, the individual's behavior is guided both by internal factors - values, stereotypes, attitudes - and external - social context, roles, rules. An important role is played by social factors such as the nature and quality of social support available and the way decision-making is operated. At this point, we should distinguish between the concepts of social support and social network. While the second is a broader concept of social integration, the first is a stress moderator and has many positive effects, mainly of psychological type but also on health and behavior of individuals [20]. Types of Social Support: The medical literature identifies various sources of social support such as family, friends, physicians, colleagues, religious community and psychologists. Each source has well-defined main features. Family increases resilience through support, respect, confidence (for employees). Friends and physicians offer

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

stories, examples, (psychological) distractors, creating anchors, slight actions for patients, making a contribution to the subjective level of coping of the individual. Colleagues and religious community can help greatly; however, if not totally in an impartial manner, they can even become agents of stress [21].

## INTERVENTIONS AND STRATEGIES FOR BUILDING RESILIENCE

As discussed in this chapter and described in the vast literature on disaster psychiatry, measures to mitigate maladaptive responses in the wake of disasters largely comprise measures that can have an impact on increasing individual or community resilience. This is an emergent field that has grown in importance with the increasing number and magnitude of disasters globally. The fact that some individuals have the ability to spring back or remain in a state of equilibrium while others become ill and even commit suicide has allowed us, as disaster psychiatrists and researchers, to track and define those individuals who are treated in psychiatric units after disasters, the course of their psychiatric illness, and the interventions that can be employed to attend to their needs in the wake of disasters. However, this focus on treatment and mitigation risks masking the real question, which is why some individuals are rendered ill by the same overwhelming stressor that other individuals experience without consequence  $\lceil 22 \rceil$ . Taking the psychobiology of resilience into account and utilizing the insights that we have gained from these studies in building and strengthening resilience is a significant advance in our field. Instead of asking how to treat or mitigate, we should really be asking how to prevent the development of illness in the first place. Strong networks of social support, economic benefits, early symptom detection, and readiness to access mental health care are all important parts of disaster preparedness. However, consistent with our more recent knowledge of the psychobiology of resilience, interventions that modify the stress response, i.e., bias attention away from negative, threat-related, or catastrophic stimuli, as well as attention bias training, e.g., realizing and encouraging a tendency to focus on that which is lifeenhancing and pleasant, activating a strategy of positive reappraisal (i.e., increasingly employing problem-solving and goal-directed strategies or social support seeking) in the event of significant threats to goal achievement, and increasing memory for positive and/or decreasing memory for negative events are all strategies that have been employed and which increase resilience and decrease psychological symptom development after aversive events  $\lceil 23 \rceil$ .

#### CONCLUSION

Understanding the psychobiology of resilience is crucial for effective disaster management. This paper has reviewed the multifaceted nature of resilience, encompassing psychological theories, neurobiological mechanisms, and psychosocial factors. The amygdala's role in threat response and the impact of cortisol regulation on stress adaptation have been examined, along with the importance of social support systems. Interventions aimed at enhancing resilience should focus on modifying stress responses, promoting positive reappraisal, and leveraging social networks. By integrating these insights into disaster preparedness and response strategies, we can better support individuals and communities in maintaining mental health and well-being amidst adversity. Future research should continue to explore these complex interactions to develop targeted interventions that build resilience and reduce the impact of disasters on mental health.

#### REFERENCES

1. Zhang Y, Cai X, Fry CV, Wu M et al. Topic evolution, disruption and resilience in early COVID-19 research. Scientometrics. 2021. <u>springer.com</u>

2. Cheng S, King DD, Oswald F. Understanding how resilience is measured in the organizational sciences. Human Performance. 2020. researchgate.net

3. Kiefer AW, Pincus D. Biopsychosocial Resilience through a Complex Adaptive Systems Lens: A Narrative Review of Nonlinear Modeling Approaches. Nonlinear Dynamics, Psychology & Life Sciences. 2023 Oct 1;27(4). <u>[HTML]</u>

4. Van Meerbeek K, Jucker T, Svenning JC. Unifying the concepts of stability and resilience in ecology. Journal of Ecology. 2021. <u>wiley.com</u>

5. Yu DJ, Schoon ML, Hawes JK, Lee S, Park J, Rao PS, Siebeneck LK, Ukkusuri SV. Toward general principles for resilience engineering. Risk Analysis. 2020 Aug;40(8):1509-37. <u>umich.edu</u>

6. Henson C, Truchot D, Canevello A. What promotes post traumatic growth? A systematic review. European Journal of Trauma & Dissociation. 2021 Nov 1;5(4):100195. <u>sciencedirect.com</u>

7. Updegraff JA, Taylor SE. From vulnerability to growth: Positive and negative effects of stressful life events. Loss and trauma. 2021. <u>ucla.edu</u>

8. Hayes G. Introduction to psychology. 2023. cuny.edu

9. Hodgetts D, Stolte O, Sonn C, Drew N, Carr S, Nikora LW. Social psychology and everyday life. Bloomsbury Publishing; 2020 Feb 4. <u>[HTML]</u>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

10. Sunstein CR. Averting catastrophe: Decision theory for COVID-19, climate change, and potential disasters of all kinds. 2021. <u>[HTML]</u>

11. Kosowski M, Smolarczyk-Kosowska J, Hachuła M, Maligłówka M, Basiak M, Machnik G, Pudlo R, Okopień B. The effects of statins on neurotransmission and their neuroprotective role in neurological and psychiatric disorders. Molecules. 2021 May 11;26(10):2838. <u>mdpi.com</u>

12. Branchi I. Recentering neuroscience on behavior: The interface between brain and environment is a privileged level of control of neural activity. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2022. <u>philpapers.org</u> 13. Marcolongo-Pereira C, Castro FC, Barcelos RM, Chiepe KC, Rossoni Junior JV, Ambrosio RP,

Chiarelli-Neto O, Pesarico AP. Neurobiological mechanisms of mood disorders: Stress vulnerability and resilience. Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience. 2022 Oct 28;16:1006836. <u>frontiersin.org</u>

14. Ioannidis K, Askelund AD, Kievit RA, Van Harmelen AL. The complex neurobiology of resilient functioning after childhood maltreatment. BMC medicine. 2020. <u>springer.com</u>

15. Wendt J, Kuhn M, Hamm AO, Lonsdorf TB. Recent advances in studying brain- behavior interactions using functional imaging: The primary startle response pathway and its affective modulation in humans. Psychophysiology. 2023. wiley.com

16. Zhang WH, Zhang JY, Holmes A, Pan BX. Amygdala circuit substrates for stress adaptation and adversity. Biological psychiatry. 2021. <u>sciencedirect.com</u>

17. Takayanagi Y, Onaka T. Roles of oxytocin in stress responses, allostasis and resilience. International journal of molecular sciences. 2021. <u>mdpi.com</u>

18. Fernandez CA, Choi KW, Marshall BD, Vicente B, Saldivia S, Kohn R, Koenen KC, Arheart KL, Buka SL. Assessing the relationship between psychosocial stressors and psychiatric resilience among Chilean disaster survivors. The British Journal of Psychiatry. 2020 Nov;217(5):630-7. cambridge.org

19. Engel S, Laufer S, Klusmann H, Schulze L, Schumacher S, Knaevelsrud C. Cortisol response to traumatic stress to predict PTSD symptom development–a systematic review and meta-analysis of experimental studies. European Journal of Psychotraumatology. 2023 Nov 23;14(2):2225153. tandfonline.com

20. Panday S, Rushton S, Karki J, Balen J, Barnes A. The role of social capital in disaster resilience in remote communities after the 2015 Nepal earthquake. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction. 2021 Mar 1;55:102112. <u>sciencedirect.com</u>

21. Agarwal B, Brooks SK, Greenberg N. The role of peer support in managing occupational stress: a qualitative study of the sustaining resilience at work intervention. Workplace Health & Safety. 2020 Feb;68(2):57-64. <u>sagepub.com</u>

22. Marengo JA, Alves LM, Ambrizzi T, Young A, Barreto NJ, Ramos AM. Trends in extreme rainfall and hydrogeometeorological disasters in the Metropolitan Area of São Paulo: a review. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2020 Jul;1472(1):5-20. academia.edu

23. Albott CŠ, Wozniak JR, McGlinch BP, Wall MH, Gold BS, Vinogradov S. Battle buddies: rapid deployment of a psychological resilience intervention for health care workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2020 Jul 1;131(1):43-54. <u>nih.gov</u>

CITATION: Mugisha Emmanuel K. Psychobiology of Resilience in Disaster Management. Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science. 2024 3(1):74-78

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.