



Research Output Journal of Arts and Management 3(3):19-23, 2024

ROJAM Publications

PRINT ISSN: 1115-6112

<https://rojournals.org/roj-art-and-management/>

ONLINE ISSN: 1115-9065

Corporate Governance in Emerging Markets: Challenges and Best Practices

Amani Sarah Joyce

Faculty of Business and Management Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the evolving landscape of corporate governance in emerging markets, highlighting the unique challenges and opportunities that arise within these dynamic economies. As emerging markets gain prominence on the global stage, the need for robust corporate governance mechanisms becomes increasingly critical. This study examines the role of corporate governance in fostering sustainable economic growth, particularly in the context of transition economies in Central and Eastern Europe. It identifies key challenges, including the protection of minority shareholders, the influence of large conglomerates, and the role of domestic institutional investors. The paper also reviews best practices for improving corporate governance, emphasizing the importance of tailored approaches that consider the distinct institutional and market characteristics of emerging economies. Through case studies from Egypt and Latin America, the paper illustrates successful implementations of corporate governance reforms and provides practical insights for policymakers and investors seeking to enhance governance frameworks in these markets.

Keywords: Corporate Governance, Emerging Markets, Minority Shareholder Protection, Institutional Investors, Economic Transition.

INTRODUCTION

Corporate governance is one of the more fashionable fields in current social–science research. The reason is simple. The ownership structure of corporations in many parts of the world has dramatically changed; and, for all these economies, this change has been largely followed by large-scale financial investment and industrial restructuring¹. In most countries, especially the transition ones in Central/Eastern Europe and elsewhere, an environment has emerged in which private investment and ownership have been acceptable. The private, market-based investment process is becoming more substantial for most of these economies, and it is being underpinned by better corporate governance [2, 3]. Corporate governance has many sides, dimensions, and areas. The aim of this paper is, therefore, more modest. It will first concentrate on defining the role of corporate governance in building a working and sustainable economy, with special regard to the emerging and transition economies in Europe. The role of corporate governance is assessed in such unique contexts such as “newness”, “liquidity”, “uncertainty”, and “unfamiliarity”, which are expected to affect demand for good corporate governance mechanisms. Much uncertainty remains, both on the market side in terms of the supply of good corporate governance arrangements as well on the individual country side regarding their unique characteristics, adaptations, and consequences on further economic development [4, 5].

THE IMPORTANCE OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN EMERGING MARKETS

There are about 4000 firms listed on emerging markets and according to estimates the value of these firms is about 290 billion dollars. Despite their size, emerging markets remain relatively under-researched. There is a great variety of emerging markets: Brazil and India command large databases about corporate governance, while at the same time for markets such as Nigeria and Vietnam, little data even in the form of commercial datasets is available. The comparative advantage of emerging markets is

also questionable; in some studies, Finland is categorized as an emerging country, in some research Greece is classified as emerging while in others it is classified as developed. The purpose of this paper is to provide a roadmap, how firm level corporate governance has been studied in the emerging markets, what datasets, methodologies and results exist, and what shortcomings can be detected from the corporate governance research done on the emerging markets. The paper also suggests, what datasets and research methods could be valuable for researchers taking up firm level corporate governance in emerging markets. With the dot com bubble bursting in the United States, IKEA accusing its suppliers of child labor and corruption scandals surrounding companies like Daimler Chrysler's and Halliburton, a public outcry for more corporate transparency emerged. Many companies promised to adhere to better corporate governance practices. Public institutions tried to force companies to become more transparent. In addition, academic research focusing on corporate governance issues blossomed. This interest was not limited to developed countries. In fact, corporate governance quickly became a buzzword also in transition economies and developing countries. Transitions of political and economic regimes, hitherto state-owned monopolies now "suddenly" faced with several outside shareholders, growing volatility of stock prices in some emerging markets, scandals like the successful and fraudulent capture of the Indonesian stock market by the Liem family, and with the Asian crisis, investor rights came sharply into focus [6, 7].

KEY CHALLENGES IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN EMERGING MARKETS

Corporate governance is of increasing importance in emerging markets due to greater foreign institutional investor interest. Emerging markets promise high returns due to rapid economic growth and escalating consumer purchasing power. However, the emergence of large conglomerate institutions with abusive controlling shareholders is often accompanied by greater investment opportunity sets. Poor minority shareholder protections can hinder the successful development of financial and capital markets and return expropriated assets. Given rigorous civil law restrictions on foreign investment in many emerging market economies, domestic institutional investors may need to assume leadership roles in corporate governance reform [8, 9]. Pre-conditions for successful domestic institutional investor involvement are stringent. Pathological corporate governance characteristics of large family business groups need to be addressed before larger institutional investors can invest in emerging market equities. Blue chip firms will need to lead the development of corporate governance standards to safeguard their reputation and prevent greater state intervention to regulate business, indicating a balanced corporate governance approach. Political alliances between large shareholding family business groups and foreign financial conglomerates will need to be severed. Political parties and policies need to evolve to ensure that state intervention redresses corporate governance market failures that damage the interests of the general population and national development. Finally, pressure must be brought to bear on emerging market governments by international institutional investors to remove barriers to foreign ownership of strategic national assets, in exchange for coexistence with domestic institutional investors. This would provide emerging market governments with globally competitive local domestic capital pools to fund sustainable economic growth in a globalized world economy [10, 11].

BEST PRACTICES FOR IMPROVING CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN EMERGING MARKETS

The evidence indicates that corporate governance frameworks that trace their roots to the legal, financial, and economic systems of developed markets do not necessarily work as intended in the institutional and market setting in emerging markets. Consequently, the corporate governance of emerging markets exhibits significant differences in governance expectations, institutional and compliance processes, and has different ownership dynamics from developed markets. Organizational structural designs, ownership and control mechanisms, and governance processes that may work effectively in an advanced market setting, and establish a balance of power between shareholders and management, are likely to be ineffective in an emerging market setting. In emerging markets, it is difficult to establish effective governance resolution processes that compensate emerging shareholders where their investments have been expropriated or rendered worthless as a result of unethical or substandard managerial performance [12, 13]. First, the exchanges must develop strong corporate governance listing standards, as well as segment investors in different categories. Second, the investment community interested in emerging markets must become activist and encourage both effective governance systems and compensation arrangements. Specifically, public pension funds, mutual funds with large holdings, and global custodian banks need to be at the vanguards of such investor activism in emerging markets. These investors should demand effective governance mechanisms and compensation systems that prevent corruption and impose internal controls that reduce the influence of management on the corporate governance resolution

process. These investors should impose effective corporate governance checks that will not inure the current top officials from the consequences of their corrupted actions. The last two governance recommendations are best practices intended specifically to strengthen the governance infrastructure of a nation's institutions. First, corporations need to obtain objective non-management advice on governance issues. For instance, corporate boards of directors can draw on the executive search firms for corporate governance advice related to separating the roles of CEO and Chairman, as well as for identifying people and processes that are most effective in crafting new governance processes [14, 15].

CASE STUDIES OF SUCCESSFUL CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IMPLEMENTATION IN EMERGING MARKETS

OVERVIEW OF CASE STUDIES

This section provides a series of case studies that showcase successful implementations of corporate governance practices in emerging markets. These case studies highlight countries that have overcome challenges and improved governance in a specific sector or group of companies. The selected case studies demonstrate an effective application of corporate governance best practices and emphasize the need for innovative responses to governance challenges [16, 17].

CASE STUDY 1: EGYPT'S FINANCIAL AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REFORM

In 1991, Egypt initiated a program of reforms aimed at boosting growth and investment after years of a state-controlled economy. In June 1996, the government launched an ambitious program to strengthen the country's financial and corporate governance, and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and the Egyptian government funded the Financial Sector Reform Program. The program had three components: establishing an independent banking sector, privatizing and restructuring government-owned banks and industrial firms, and enacting a basic legal framework and insolvency law for the banking and corporate sectors [18, 19]. By the late 1990s, noteworthy progress had been made. After a slow beginning, the core of the legal framework was in place: the Commercial Code, the Capital Market Law, the Banking Law, and the Banking and Financial Institutions Law of 1994. In addition, preparatory efforts to establish a new Civil Code, a new Labor Law, and the promulgation of new Investment and Industrial Licenses enabled private investments to flourish were advanced. The privatization program progressed significantly, and 35 percent of share capital in majority state-owned banks was traded on the Cairo and Alexandria Stock Exchanges (CASE). Since privatization, many firms had improved their governance structure by forming boards with representatives of state and private ownership. Certain large public firms had formed Audit, Investment, and Nominations Committees to audit financial results and advise the Board on investments and appointments [20, 21].

CASE STUDY 2: LATIN AMERICAN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE NETWORK

When the Asian crisis struck in mid-1997, it had a significant impact on Latin America's financial markets. Declines in stock prices left investors looking for scapegoats, and serial failures in corporate governance came under increasing scrutiny. The concept of corporate governance had suddenly shot to the top of the policy agenda in Latin America. Proposals and criticisms poured in. In early 1999, a meeting to discuss these issues was organized by the Financial Sector Advisory Services (FISA) group at the World Bank. Six countries – Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, Peru, and Venezuela – were visible on the front lines of corporate governance reform. Each was consciously lobbying for foreign investment after the crises, and each had unique problems in this area [22, 23]. The Latin American Corporate Governance Network (LACGN) was born from that meeting, and country teams and a coordinating unit were set up. The task was ambitious but clear: work together to analyze the anchors of corporate governance in the region and draw up a menu of solutions to help countries address their specific governance weaknesses. Each team would carry out an assessment of corporate governance in a relevant set of countries, and the conclusions would be debated openly at annual conferences where key policymakers and private sector leaders would be gamed with the assessments and invited to discuss concrete solutions [24, 25].

CONCLUSION

Corporate governance in emerging markets is a complex and multifaceted issue that requires careful consideration of local contexts and challenges. The integration of best practices from developed markets must be adapted to fit the unique characteristics of emerging economies. This paper underscores the importance of strong governance frameworks in supporting sustainable economic development and attracting foreign investment. The case studies of Egypt and Latin America demonstrate that while challenges abound, successful corporate governance reform is achievable with the right strategies and commitment from both the public and private sectors. Moving forward, emerging markets must continue to innovate and refine their governance practices, ensuring that they align with global standards while

addressing local needs. This approach will be key to fostering investor confidence and driving long-term economic growth in these regions.

REFERENCES

1. Bobirca A, Miclaus PG. Corporate Governance: a South-Eastern European perspective. 2007. [\[PDF\]](#)
2. Lin KJ, Lu X, Zhang J, Zheng Y. State-owned enterprises in China: A review of 40 years of research and practice. *China Journal of accounting research*. 2020. [sciencedirect.com](#)
3. Kwon SY, Ma Y, Zimmermann K. 100 years of rising corporate concentration. *American Economic Review*. 2024. [econstor.eu](#)
4. Owolabi OS, Uche PC, Adeniken NT, Hinneh E, Attakorah S. INTEGRATION OF DECENTRALIZED FINANCE (DEFI) IN THE US SUPPLY CHAIN FINANCE: OPPORTUNITIES, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE PROSPECTS. [researchgate.net](#)
5. Purkayastha A, Kumar V. Internationalization through foreign listing: A review and future research agenda. *Journal of World Business*. 2021. [\[HTML\]](#)
6. Eckbo BE, Lithell M. Follow the Assets: Is the US Listing Gap real?. 2020. [nhh.no](#)
7. Bosiu T, Goga S, Robb N. Large-firm strategies and investment for economic recovery in South Africa. 2022. [competition.org.za](#)
8. Alabdullah TT, Ahmed ER, Almashhadani M, Yousif SK, Almashhadani HA, Almashhadani R, Putri E. How Significantly to Emerging Economies Benefit From Board Attributes and Risk Management in Enhancing Firm Profitability. *Journal of accounting science*. 2021 Jul;5(2):104-13. [researchgate.net](#)
9. Purbawangsa IB, Solimun S, Fernandes AA, Mangesti Rahayu S. Corporate governance, corporate profitability toward corporate social responsibility disclosure and corporate value (comparative study in Indonesia, China and India stock exchange in 2013-2016). *Social Responsibility Journal*. 2020 Aug 31;16(7):983-99. [\[HTML\]](#)
10. Qiu H, Freel M. Managing family-related conflicts in family businesses: A review and research agenda. *Family Business Review*. 2020. [sagepub.com](#)
11. Whittington R, Yakis-Douglas B. The grand challenge of corporate control: Opening strategy to the normative pressures of networked professionals. *Organization Theory*. 2020. [sagepub.com](#)
12. Solomon J. Corporate governance and accountability. 2020. [\[HTML\]](#)
13. Dat PM, Mau ND, Loan BT, Huy DT. COMPARATIVE CHINA CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STANDARDS AFTER FINANCIAL CRISIS, CORPORATE SCANDALS AND MANIPULATION. *Journal of security & sustainability issues*. 2020 Mar 1;9(3). [researchgate.net](#)
14. Gallego-Álvarez I, Pucheta-Martínez MC. Corporate social responsibility reporting and corporate governance mechanisms: An international outlook from emerging countries. *Business Strategy & Development*. 2020 Mar;3(1):77-97. [academia.edu](#)
15. Tsang A, Wang KT, Liu S, Yu L. Integrating corporate social responsibility criteria into executive compensation and firm innovation: International evidence. *Journal of Corporate Finance*. 2021. [researchgate.net](#)
16. Orazalin N. Board gender diversity, corporate governance, and earnings management: Evidence from an emerging market. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*. 2020. [researchgate.net](#)
17. Al-Ahdal WM, Alsamhi MH, Tabash MI, Farhan NH. The impact of corporate governance on financial performance of Indian and GCC listed firms: An empirical investigation. *Research in International Business and Finance*. 2020 Jan 1;51:101083. [\[HTML\]](#)
18. Amin GA. Egypt's economic predicament: A study in the interaction of external pressure, political folly and social tension in Egypt, 1960-1990. 2021. [\[HTML\]](#)
19. Thyen K, Karadag R. Between affordable welfare and affordable food: Internationalized food subsidy reforms in Egypt and Tunisia. *Social Policy & Administration*. 2021. [wiley.com](#)
20. Wiegand W. Americanization of law: reception or convergence?. *Legal culture and the legal profession*. 2021. [\[HTML\]](#)
21. Haentjens M, de Gioia Carabellese P. *European Banking and Financial Law 2e*. 2020. [\[HTML\]](#)
22. SOON RW, TAN YX, SEK SK, SIM KY. EXAMINING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF MONETARY POLICY IN ASIA4: THE PRE-AND POST-1997 ASIAN FINANCIAL CRISIS. *Journal of Quality Measurement and Analysis JQMA*. 2024;20(1):103-16. [ukm.my](#)

23. RIGO A. 4 Recent Financial Crises-Comments from International Development Banks. elibrary.imf.org. imf.org
24. Fassoulas C, Nikolakakis E, Staridas S. Digital tools to serve geotourism and sustainable development at Psiloritis UNESCO Global Geopark in COVID times and beyond. *Geosciences*. 2022. mdpi.com
25. Nomikou P, Panousis D, Nikoli E, Antoniou V, Emmanouloudis D, Pehlivanides G, Agiomavritis M, Nastos P, Cieslak-Jones E, Batis A. Nisyros Aspiring UNESCO Global Geopark: Crucial Steps for Promoting the Volcanic Landscape's Unique Geodiversity. *Geosciences*. 2023 Mar 1;13(3):70. mdpi.com

CITATION: Amani Sarah Joyce. Corporate Governance in Emerging Markets: Challenges and Best Practices. *Research Output Journal of Arts and Management*, 2024 3(3):19-23.