Equity in Education: Addressing Disparities in Access and Outcomes

Khadija Samira M.

Faculty of Education Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Educational equity remains a critical issue in the United States and globally, with systemic disparities in access and outcomes disproportionately affecting marginalized communities. These inequities, rooted in historical and structural oppression, perpetuate cycles of poverty, limited economic opportunities, and increased involvement in the criminal justice system. This essay examines the multifaceted nature of educational disparities, exploring both structural and relational dimensions and highlighting interventions aimed at promoting equity. Through an analysis of policy measures, legislative actions, and innovative approaches such as technology integration, the essay underscores the importance of addressing these disparities to ensure that all students have the opportunity to succeed in school and life.
Keywords: Educational Equity, Access Disparities, Structural Inequality, Educational Outcomes, Policy Interventions.

INTRODUCTION

Educational equity is one of the most pressing social justice issues facing the United States today. We - scholars, educators, and practitioners working in communities across the country - know that racism, economic inequality, and other forms of oppression directly contribute to variations in access to and experiences within schools. Students who are pushed out of school or drop out face long-term consequences, including dramatically limited economic opportunities, increased likelihood of health problems and involvement with the criminal justice system, and generational reproduction of poverty. Educational inequities do not just reduce future opportunity and social mobility for those most impacted; they harm us all. Once students reach adulthood, they drive increased social service costs, including healthcare, special education, youth services, and criminal legal system response. Nations around the world continue to explore ways to structure education systems that recognize these connections, working to design and deliver education that - at its core - is "a public good that enables all to live fulfilled lives and at the same time contributes to the common good of society" [1, 2]. Given these serious and pervasive negative impacts, many people and organizations have worked over the past few decades to address inequities and increase opportunities for all children to receive an excellent education. In this essay, we explore some of the central facets of equity in education, including structural (formal) and relational (informal) dimensions; aspects of solidarity, empathy, and relationship-building; hierarchical privileging; internal stake-holding; and future orientation. Then we illustrate the importance of these ideas by showcasing an array of interventions designed specifically to attend to equities by ensuring that more students have access to high-quality programming, are seen and understood as capable learners, and are resourced for success in school and in life [3, 4].

BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE

Identifying "Education Equity: Principles and Practice" is a complex and multifaceted topic. Accordingly, understanding its intricacies is predicated on a deep knowledge of prior, present, and future issues of access, success, diversity, and inclusion in educational spheres. Achieving an equitable global society is no small feat; globally, members of an entire subcontinent were literally enslaved and forced into a servile economic system that did not deliver the most essential product for that system, educational opportunity.

Such societal inequities and inhumanities persist and are present in societies all over the world since the beginning of the new era of growth and capital, 1599. History informs us that equity of education is a relatively modern notion that began as a minor transformation of the medieval European universities; they progressed from guilds that protected and advanced ecclesiastical doctrines to educational guilds that freed themselves of religious governance for a diaconate, delivering truth that increased commerce and industry under the aegis of a sovereign ruler [5]. Clearly, addressing education equity should not be addressed in a truncated or limited fashion. If this topic focused exclusively on American educational institutions, many more decades would still need to pass before we as a nation have addressed full educational remedy to African-American minorities and then other people of color and, some decades later, to women of any color. Additionally, addressing educational equity amoeba-like encompasses numerous other dimensions, such as gender identity, sexual orientation, and sexual behavior. It is the "grotesque paradox" of uniquely privileged and exclusive educational institutions that have self-designated their mission as preparing the "leaders" of systems of economics, governance, justice, finance, war, and environment to execute a practically singular goal of [global] dominance via economic hegemony and military power that underscores the necessity of a focus on education equity [6].

UNDERSTANDING EDUCATIONAL DISPARITIES

Disparities in access and outcomes exist in educational systems across the world. The right to education is a fundamental human right, and yet indicators of social welfare show the persistence and extent of these disparities among classes, castes, genders, and races. Educational outcomes, particularly in a mass school and university system, do differ considerably across social groups and spaces, and cannot be attributed to individual ability and effort alone. Routine masses of student failure, dropouts, and underachievement within the system and the labeling of some communities and regions as baskets of backwardness connote the presence of systematic sociology of education and suggest the educational disparities emanating through intersecting processes of exclusion [7]. The multidimensionality of educational disparities essentially includes the question of both inputs and outcomes of education. While disparities in access to institutional spaces still remain an unresolved issue, the educational profession now emphasizes disparity in outcomes of the process of education. The complex processes – both social and technical – are involved in the production and reproduction of inequalities in education. Through analytical lens, disparities are perceived as broader than mere exclusivity. Central to the studies on 'exclusion', it underlines the process of 'marginalization' and the need to also focus on categories that are left within the purview of educational fruition, and what kind of education they receive. Furthermore, the developmental perspective highlights disparities emanating from the extreme heterogeneity among accessibilities, from the threshold of supply to enrollment ratios in terms of variations across states and districts. In addition to peaks, an approach should reflect the real state of facilities and education on the ground [8].

FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO DISPARITIES

Inequities affecting access to education and reducing learning outcomes are influenced by multiple factors, which vary by country and region. The origins of these inequalities, which last until graduation, working life, and old age, are largely anchored in the social sphere and are usually interlinked. The most prevalent disparities include: socioeconomic ones, which relate to economic status; eco-geographic ones, which pertain to the type of environment a person lives in; gender ones, which concern individuals' sex, sex roles, or sexual identity; ethnic or racial ones, which relate to people's national or ethnic origin; and combinations of the above, as in the case of socio-geographic inequalities [9]. The stage of education has a direct bearing on the depth of the division between those with the best and worst educational outcomes. Residents of cities, where most upper-secondary vocational schools are located, face further segregation at the upper-secondary level. This differentiation is enhanced by a variety of selection mechanisms. The most important difference is the early versus late placement of students in different programs or schools from lower to upper secondary level. The early tracking system begins at the end of the 6th or 8th grade, while the late tracking system starts after the 9th or 10th grade. Early tracking based on primarily cognitive criteria tends to lead to bigger social segregation. Furthermore, respondents with an economically underprivileged home background are less concentrated in high and degree-oriented schools if they attend working-class schools [10, 11].

POLICY INTERVENTIONS

Policy Interventions: Title I and ESSA. Several interventions in the form of legislative actions or potential policy interventions can be taken to address educational disparities and promote educational equity by purposefully remedying social and academic advantage [12].

Title I. ESSA includes several federal programs that districts have used effectively to meet the needs of low-income students, such as Title I. Commonly referred to as "compensatory education," the purpose of Title I is to address educational disparities and promote equity by providing extra instructional services for students who are at risk for school failure. Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 provides the legal authority for Title I, guiding federal efforts to provide equitable educational opportunities to underprivileged students as part of an anti-poverty policy [13]. Academic Early Warning System. An AEW system would identify at-risk students at an early age before they have experienced significant academic failure. It would be part of a support structure that ensures students receive the attention and resources they need to effectively help them improve [14]. Integration. Increased racial and socioeconomic diversity within schools can help address racial and socioeconomic isolation and disadvantage, providing students and educators with more diverse cohorts and colleagues. Early Warning System Aims to Help Practices to Keep Kids on Track by Third Grade. Especially in early childhood, a remedy model can help guide practices that seek to address the primary cause of students' academic vulnerabilities. Such practices could include those designed to prevent developmental deficits and mitigate environmental circumstances that contribute to a student's risk for school failure [15, 16].

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS
By promoting equality of educational access

There are three policy measures currently in place aimed at promoting equality of educational access, and they are characterized by a relatively limited impact with respect to the relevant statistical populations. These policies include:

a) Additional public examination subjects (Recent Law 6/2021). Among other amendments, Royal Decree Law (RDL) 6/2021 states that secondary school students who do not obtain their upper secondary education title will have access to an additional public examination subject in the following academic year. Together with the Pre-university assessment framework (Order ECD/588/2018), it is meant to offer students several options for achieving their qualification, according to individual educational itineraries.

b) Link between attending state-funded nursery school and concession of school-voucher (LOMLOE). In 2021, the law that established the receipt of the €100 monthly school-voucher as a requirement for access to a place in Kindergarten (children aged zero to three years) in some public schools in Barcelona came into force. In addition, this reform suspends the teaching of the third director of state-funded nursery schools in order to give priority to the basic education section of this stage.

c) Reduction of the compulsory schooling age (Pre-school education law, LOECD).

The draft Law for Early Childhood and Early Childhood Services (LOECD) proposes reducing the age at which school attendance becomes compulsory to 0 to 5 years of age. As with a change in the stages of education or at a specific age of such stage, the setting of compulsory school attendance represents a regulatory measure that affects only the most reluctant or marginalized families in the compulsory schooling stage. As regards its preventive effect on lack of school attendance, data validation gives a maximum estimation of the percentage of the population affected of 3.03%, less than the current absenteeism rate. It is therefore minimal. In addition, this measure is not accompanied by the premature increase in nursery school vacancies plan foreseen alongside and does not increase the demand for staff (with the transition to compulsory secondary education beginning in 6 years) [17].

INNOVATIVE APPROACHES

Developments in technology, including the internet and communications tools, if managed properly, could contribute to improving access to education and the quality of education in regions that have found it difficult to provide accessibility and quality. It can also help prepare students for designing jobs and working in the future. However, it is important to realize that the cost of reaching these areas with necessary equipment can be prohibitive. The intensity of technology in education should be determined by the curriculum to be learned, not by whether it is located in a rural or urban area, or in a school or family with money [18].

A technological approach to the problem of rural education is to "beam" educational services by videoconferencing from a central location to students' homes. Admittedly, satellite technology presents many exciting possibilities for education, but many indigenous training professionals consider it to be a luxury and an inappropriate use of aid funds. It is not enough to "beam" educational services provided through the traditional education system and expect that recipients of this global education will be offered

the same access to the job market as their urban counterparts. Only a total of 12 percent of students in higher education institutions have taken computer courses. This is reported by Business Today. Gregory Garrett Hall of the University of Tulsa uses accounting students as part of their programs [19, 20].

TECHNOLOGY IN EDUCATION

Elbers and Lanjouw (2014) posit various forms of innovation in education policy and add that without reforming the quality of schools, making access to education conditional on investments in technology will not help to build human capital. Several recent contributions use this technology to provide innovative ways of teaching and certification. For example, the flipped classroom, using YouTube for instruction, has been very successful at the college level. Web-based homework systems and related instructional software have also been notable successes in increasing student learning and in allowing on-demand remediation for students who are weak, in addition to the revenue it generates for textbook companies. The Khan Academy makes thousands of brief tutorials on math and science available online at no cost, and has both trackers to monitor student progress and also exercises that students do in conjunction with the tutorials [21]. All the extant curves that we are aware of show great disparities in computer "literacy," by household expenditures on computer hardware, software, and accessories. We argue that there are at least three factors that may help close that gap in the near future. Teachers and kids are increasingly computer literate. That may have a direct impact on teaching, but it also increases the supply of qualified information technology graduates from teaching colleges. Second, we have had a lot of work in the area of educational software, especially at the interface of physical sciences, with work on systems like E-slate, Khan Academy, Graspable Science, and Newton's Castle [22].

CONCLUSION

Addressing educational disparities is not only a matter of social justice but also a necessary step toward fostering a more equitable and prosperous society. The complex interplay of factors contributing to these disparities requires a multifaceted approach that includes policy reforms, legislative actions, and innovative educational practices. By prioritizing equity in education, we can work towards a future where all students, regardless of their background, have access to the resources and opportunities necessary to achieve their full potential. Ensuring educational equity is crucial for breaking the cycle of poverty, reducing social service costs, and building a society that values and supports the success of every individual.

REFERENCES

1. O'Brien R, Neman T, Seltzer N, Evans L, Venkataramani A. Structural racism, economic opportunity and racial health disparities: evidence from US counties. SSM-Population health. 2020 Aug 1;11:100564. sciencedirect.com

2. Nguemeni Tiako MJ, Ray V, South EC. Medical schools as racialized organizations: how race-neutral structures sustain racial inequality in medical education—a narrative review. Journal of general internal medicine. 2022 Jul;37(9):2259-66. springer.com

3. Ashford NA, Hall RP, Arango-Quiroga J, Metaxas KA, Showalter AL. Addressing inequality: the first step beyond COVID-19 and towards sustainability. Sustainability. 2020 Jul 3;12(13):5404. mdpi.com

4. Amis JM, Mair J, Munir KA. The organizational reproduction of inequality. Academy of Management Annals. 2020. aom.org

5. Bonney EN, Shad S. Erasure of history, colonialism, and its effects: A critical discourse analysis of the Ghanaian and Pakistani history curricula. Journal of Curriculum and Pedagogy. 2024. [HTML]

6. Lofton R. Plessy's tracks: African American students confronting academic placement in a racially diverse school and African American community. Race Ethnicity and Education. 2024. every1graduates.org

7. Arao B, Clemens K. From safe spaces to brave spaces: A new way to frame dialogue around diversity and social justice. The art of effective facilitation. 2023. amazonaws.com

8. Hassan ST, Batool B, Zhu B, Khan I. Environmental complexity of globalization, education, and income inequalities: New insights of energy poverty. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2022. [HTML]

9. Rowley KJ, Edmunds CC, Dufur MJ, Jarvis JA, Silveira F. Contextualising the achievement gap: Assessing educational achievement, inequality, and disadvantage in high-income countries. Comparative Education. 2020 Oct 1;56(4):459-83. [HTML]

10. Ramos Lobato I, Bernelius V. Divided Cities—Divided Schools? School Segregation and the Role of Needs-Based Resource Allocation in Finland. InFinland's Famous Education System: Unvarnished Insights into Finnish Schooling 2023 Feb 24 (pp. 227-242). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. springer.com

11. Xu D, Wu X. Separate and unequal: hukou, school segregation, and educational inequality in urban China. Chinese Sociological Review. 2022. researchgate.net

12. Hess FM, Eden M. The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA): What it means for schools, systems, and states. 2021. [HTML]

13. Olson T, Hellman A, Fleming R. Every Student Is Not Succeeding: ESSA, Titles I-IV, & Religious School Students. White Paper No. 262.. Pioneer Institute for Public Policy Research. 2023. ed.gov

14. McMahon BM, Sembiante SF. Re- envisioning the purpose of early warning systems: Shifting the mindset from student identification to meaningful prediction and intervention. Review of Education. 2020. researchgate.net

15. Masten AS. Resilience of children in disasters: A multisystem perspective. International journal of psychology. 2021. unwomen.org

16. Yoshikawa H, Wuermli AJ, Britto PR, Dreyer B, Leckman JF, Lye SJ, Ponguta LA, Richter LM, Stein A. Effects of the global coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic on early childhood development: short-and long-term risks and mitigating program and policy actions. The Journal of pediatrics. 2020 Aug 1;223:188-93. jpeds.com

17. Malta Campos M, Vieira LF. COVID-19 and early childhood in Brazil: Impacts on children's well-being, education and care. European Early Childhood Education Research Journal. 2021 Jan 2;29(1):125-40. researchgate.net

18. Bernacki ML, Greene JA, Crompton H. Mobile technology, learning, and achievement: Advances in understanding and measuring the role of mobile technology in education. Contemporary Educational Psychology. 2020 Jan 1;60:101827. academia.edu

19. Cicha K, Rizun M, Rutecka P, Strzelecki A. COVID-19 and higher education: First-year students' expectations toward distance learning. Sustainability. 2021. mdpi.com

20. Elfirdoussi S, Lachgar M, Kabaili H, Rochdi A, Goujdami D, El Firdoussi L. Assessing distance learning in higher education during the COVID- 19 pandemic. Education Research International. 2020;2020(1):8890633. wiley.com

21. Shi Y, Ma Y, MacLeod J, Yang HH. College students' cognitive learning outcomes in flipped classroom instruction: a meta-analysis of the empirical literature. Journal of Computers in Education. 2020. [HTML]

22. Gautam RS, Rastogi S, Rawal A, Bhimavarapu VM, Kanoujiya J, Rastogi S. Financial technology and its impact on digital literacy in India: Using poverty as a moderating variable. Journal of Risk and Financial Management. 2022 Jul 15;15(7):311. mdpi.com