



Research Output Journal of Arts and Management 3(3):1-6, 2024

ROJAM Publications

PRINT ISSN: 1115-6112

<https://rojournals.org/roj-art-and-management/>

ONLINE ISSN: 1115-9065

Page | 1

Adaptive Learning Technologies: Customizing Education to Individual Needs

Wambui David Adeline

Faculty of Education Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Adaptive learning technologies have emerged as a pivotal innovation in modern education, offering personalized learning experiences tailored to individual needs. This paper explores the concept, benefits, and challenges of adaptive learning systems, highlighting their potential to transform educational practices. By leveraging machine learning and artificial intelligence, these technologies adapt to learners' unique attributes, behaviors, and performance levels, thus enhancing learning outcomes. The implementation of adaptive learning in educational institutions, particularly through personalized learning paths and assessment, is examined, revealing both opportunities and limitations. The paper concludes by discussing the implications of adaptive learning technologies on the future of education and the need for further research to optimize their integration into diverse learning environments.

Keywords: Adaptive Learning Technologies, Personalized Education, Machine Learning in Education, Artificial Intelligence, Learning Analytics.

INTRODUCTION

Education is a catalyst for social and economic development and contributes to a nation's prosperity. Many countries have responded to this challenge by implementing several educational programs. However, although efforts have been put in place to strengthen the education system, mass failure still remains prominent, and the inability to cater to individual needs becomes a deeper concern. In other words, among all education systems, the dilemma of personalization persists [1, 2]. The vision of personalized education is to ensure that each and every learner gets the correct set of learning experiences according to his/her learning objectives, current knowledge, interests, preferences, and learning abilities, or even disabilities. Adaptation becomes personal when it is based on individual needs. As for the learners, this would require systems combining technologies capable of analyzing the behavior and determination of different strategies according to the learner's personality profiles. The ongoing development of educational technology offers learners and instructors the opportunities to adopt new roles in the quest for better learning. Very different approaches and tools can be found, ranging from the 'nonintrusive' systems like learning systems tracking learners' progress with/without any particular data to be inferred, to the pro-active systems trying to mine course data [3].

DEFINITION AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Adaptive learning technologies are computer-based instruction systems that respond to the user's pace, preference, and performance level. This technology-based learning environment starts with the diagnosis of learners' knowledge states and continues with interaction logs, gradual recommendation adaptation, and evaluation of whether or not the recommendations worked. Proposed by different authors, the goals of personalized learning are to arrange learning content and resources according to the individual learner's context and path, mediate learner interactions with challenged content, assist learners in selecting recommended resources, and adjust the learning environment in compliance with learners' feedback. There is a still-debated question about what personalized learning is: a grey concept approving

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

every individualization effort in learning (the “wire-one” definition) or a concept related only to fully automated learning (the “black box” definition). Such initiatives based on algorithms controlling the flow of participation in social and content networks are common in the Web 2.0 era. Besides this dynamic and emergent trend, the readiness to personalize is not homogeneous among learners [4]. Because current systems often recommend content without taking into account the individual learner’s context, there is a restrictive view on adaptation. The incremental developments of recommender systems contribute to relaxing this limitation. Early approaches dealt with traditional collaborative filtering based on demographic criteria, such as gender, age, occupation, marital status, and income. After the context-awareness paradigm and the objective of modeling users’ needs to satisfy their long-term goals were common challenges for Web-based systems, researchers distinguished two types of context: application-independent, such as location, time, social situation, and user profile; and application-dependent, such as user interests or preferences. For learning systems, it implies considering the educational aspects in both parts of the recommender system: the context of the learners, like knowledge states, cognitive styles, learning related interests, and learner’s feedback on the usefulness and usability of recommended items; and the context of the recommendations, such as instructional strategy, content features, and pedagogical orientation [5].

BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES

In our increasingly digital age, although technology permeates every aspect of society, transformative technology-based learning environments have yet to be fully realized. Web-based technologies have facilitated resource sharing, increased access to academic and professional pursuits, and encouraged information creation and collaboration on an unprecedented scale. Learning differences among individuals are as ubiquitous as the act of learning itself, with researchers in the field of education growing increasingly cognizant of how these individual differences impact learning. Ample empirical evidence demonstrates that adaptive learning environments improve learning (most often by increasing test scores) and that educational gains are tied to the extent of personalization, underscoring its importance. Adaptive learning is a formalized construct, with a multitude of definitions available in scholarly literature. A broad initial definition is: technology systems that adjust educational experience based on an individual learner's attributes, behaviors, and outcomes. In narrower terms, adaptive learning systems adjust to a learner's interactions and demonstrated performance level and subsequently anticipate types of content and resources learners need at a specific point in time to make progress. Educators have long known that learning is improved when instruction is personalized. As computational power has increased and as the availability of fine-grained real-time data has exploded, the ability to build genuinely adaptive learning environments has come within reach [6, 7]. The number of institutions actively purchasing adaptive learning platforms may soon outstrip the number of coherent and viable offerings. Dozens of products fill the landscape, and hundreds of startups seek to capitalize on accelerating demand. Notably, demand is fueled by dissatisfaction with traditional post-secondary learning models, a need for personalized learning, and an awareness of the social imperative to advance the overall education level of the workforce. Research on adaptive learning has proliferated in the literature since the 1970s. Current research explores the hypothesis that adapting instruction to an individual's learning style results in better learning outcomes. In the 1980s, schools of education began using multimedia to construct simulations that adaptively guided learners' pursuits. Simple computerized football or golfing tutors were designed to adjust difficulty based on performance. Organizations have undertaken efforts to understand the needs and challenges learners face when considering a move to adopting submission-based learning technologies [8, 7].

FOUNDATIONS OF ADAPTIVE LEARNING

Instructors have relied on one-size-fits-all lectures followed by drills and exercises administered to an entire class. This approach has simply been scaled for diverse students. But each student brings to the class unique skills and background knowledge, and faces different problems in understanding the course material. Because a teacher must concentrate on developing that material and is responsible for hundreds of students, teachers at their best succeed in accommodating only some of the range of student abilities with which they are faced [9]. Over the years, there has been one educational technology that allowed for some degree of adaptivity to students' needs: the textbook. At least textbooks broke the material into digestible parts and gave students ample opportunities to take exercises in each area of the course's subject matter [10]. Efficient concept development is needed that accelerates the ability to teach a course to any size class. It should also increase our knowledge of how courses work and of how students learn. These insights will help us teach more effectively, as well as elucidating the limits on teaching that are

imposed by the variety of our students, reallocating instructors' time to where they can help the most [11]. Current technology provides valuable tools that can be used to build this concept, including multimedia presentations, autograded, and adaptive homework systems. These can be complemented with techniques for peer instruction, using interactive audience response systems. Such technologies can help us take more advantage of research-based recommendations for what kinds of teaching are most effective [12].

MACHINE LEARNING AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

This section gives a brief introduction to the key technologies of adaptive learning, i.e., machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI). The list of methods given here is far from exhaustive, but gives a general idea about the problems that adaptive learning researchers solve. Since adaptive learning is data-driven, machine learning is arguably the most important component of any adaptive learning system. Machine learning extracts knowledge from data. Machine learning methods do not rely on handcrafted rules, but automatically discover and learn patterns and regularities in input samples. In a typical scenario, the learner gets training data first. This data consists of many examples, each of which includes input features and a ground-truth output. The learner then uses the training data to fit a mathematical model from which he can predict outputs for new samples [13, 14]. Artificial intelligence and, in particular, its subfield of machine learning are making breakthroughs in many areas of human life. A lot of complex tasks that depend on mastering large amounts of knowledge and skills can be solved more efficiently by data-driven algorithms than by humans or simple rule-based technologies. The educational process is not an exception. Machine learning is an important tool for improving the teaching quality by making the acquisition of new knowledge and skills faster, more efficient, and enjoyable. This technique is generally called adaptive learning or personalized learning. The target of this paper is to explain why adaptive learning is important and what kind of advantages can be provided by it [15].

IMPLEMENTATION OF ADAPTIVE LEARNING TECHNOLOGIES

For use within educational institutions, adaptive learning is a technological pedagogical solution that typically relies on software, computers, and online communications to automate and administer adaptive instruction. Regardless of the term used, "adaptive learning" refers to the process of tailoring instruction, in real time, to an individual learner. Adaptive learning includes not only the activities of the instructional system and the technologies used in support of those activities but also the adaptive learning content and assessment used in the process of teaching and learning. Adaptive learning technologies, which often rely on online learning, allow instructors to provide instruction while handing off routine administrative tasks and the primary role of the teacher to adaptive learning platforms. These technologies hold promise for improving the quality of education and supporting the individual needs of a wider audience of learners [16, 17]. However, the use of adaptive learning technologies in educational institutions is in the early stages of implementation. At present, learning analytics tools are more widely used in educational settings compared to more sophisticated adaptive learning technologies. The latter are primarily used in remedial and online settings. The available evidence, although limited due to the early stages of implementation, shows that the use of adaptive learning technologies has a positive effect on learning outcomes. However, there is reason to suspect that those positive impacts depend on other factors, such as how the technology is used in the educational setting. In other words, the effective implementation of adaptive learning content calls for quality implementation and its integration in the educational setting. Factors that influence this quality implementation and integration are not fully understood, nor are the outcomes of the various ways in which adaptive learning technologies are used to support teaching and learning [18].

PERSONALIZED LEARNING PATHS

Personalized learning paths are roadmaps that specify the sequence of activities a student will complete and the standards they will master to achieve a particular goal, such as mastering a specific set of skills. In adaptive learning technologies, they are used to guide student progress in a personalized learning system [19]. While objectives, materials, and standards can be specified ahead of time without input from a learning system, the purpose of a personalized learning path is to specify an individual learning experience tailored to the beliefs, environment, and input of a particular learner [20]. Just providing a sequence of learning activities is not enough, as many different learning paths can lead to a particular educational goal. Elucidating and populating these paths are the main technical contributions of this work. We believe that, given learner data and objectives, a system cannot project student performance but can predict success in a particular learning activity based on the current learning state. With this definition, the task of modeling learning maps onto the discovery of learning attributes that are predictive

of student success. These learning maps will be realized as a tree-structured model, similar to those used in decision support literature, and utilized to assist the student in choosing and sequencing learning activities.

ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION IN ADAPTIVE LEARNING

Personalized feedback and assessment are central to adaptive learning technologies. Each student has different preconditions such as experience, learning strategies, motivation, and special needs while performing the same learning task. The key to successful personalized education, is the ability of the adaptive system to adapt to the student's needs as accurately as possible. At this level, adaptability requests knowledge about the student state, through intelligent systems for data collection, storage, pre-processing, analysis, and interpretation of the data. Intelligent systems for adaptive learning and assessment consider each student as unique and adapt to individual curriculums and learning environments. Computational models of knowledge in adaptive learning and adaptive assessment provide specific representations and measures of the learner states (including typicality indices describing the degree to which a student fits in a group of students characterized by such states) [21, 22]. Adaptive learning technologies are intelligent systems able to choose the learning path for each student or adjust personal conditions of learning, with the aim to help students fulfil their potential. Educational adaptive systems are designed to set suitably courses to groups of students characterized by the same attributes with respect to their performance on the task (domain knowledge, cognitive abilities, etc.). Adaptive assessment characterizes the student state with respect to one attribute (e.g. domain knowledge), providing each student with a few tailored items on the basis of which his state is evaluated. Adaptive assessment technologies permit to maintain fairness in diverse scoring systems, while at the same time keeping the instructional purpose of assessment. Adaptive educational systems are designed to adapt learning activities such that each activity is made most suitable for an individual learner, on the basis of evidence regarding the learner attributes and performance. The challenge of evaluating adaptive systems regards the assessment of the effectiveness of actions recommended by this system, given a model of multiple technologies potentially affecting the same student group [21].

CONCLUSION

Adaptive learning technologies represent a significant step forward in addressing the long-standing challenge of personalization in education. By utilizing machine learning and artificial intelligence, these systems offer tailored learning experiences that cater to the unique needs of each learner, thereby improving educational outcomes. While the adoption of these technologies is still in its early stages, their potential to revolutionize the educational landscape is undeniable. However, successful implementation requires a deep understanding of the factors that influence the effectiveness of adaptive learning systems, as well as ongoing research to refine these technologies and integrate them seamlessly into diverse educational settings. As education continues to evolve in the digital age, adaptive learning technologies will play a crucial role in shaping the future of personalized

REFERENCES

1. Bhutoria A. Personalized education and artificial intelligence in the United States, China, and India: A systematic review using a human-in-the-loop model. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*. 2022. [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com)
2. Whalley B, France D, Park J, Mauchline A, Welsh K. Towards flexible personalized learning and the future educational system in the fourth industrial revolution in the wake of Covid-19. *Higher Education Pedagogies*. 2021 Jan 1;6(1):79-99. [tandfonline.com](https://www.tandfonline.com)
3. Alamri HA, Watson S, Watson W. Learning technology models that support personalization within blended learning environments in higher education. *TechTrends*. 2021. [[HTML](#)]
4. El-Sabagh HA. Adaptive e-learning environment based on learning styles and its impact on development students' engagement. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*. 2021 Oct 1;18(1):53. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
5. Zhou F, Liu X, Zhong T, Trajcevski G. MetaMove: On improving human mobility classification and prediction via metalearning. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*. 2021 Feb 2;52(8):8128-41. [[HTML](#)]
6. Kem D. Personalised and adaptive learning: Emerging learning platforms in the era of digital and smart learning. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*. 2022 Feb;5(2):385-91. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)

7. Raj NS, Renumol VG. A systematic literature review on adaptive content recommenders in personalized learning environments from 2015 to 2020. *Journal of Computers in Education*. 2022. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
8. Morze N, Varchenko-Trotsenko L, Terletska T, Smyrnova-Trybulska E. Implementation of adaptive learning at higher education institutions by means of Moodle LMS. In *Journal of physics: Conference series* 2021 Mar 1 (Vol. 1840, No. 1, p. 012062). IOP Publishing. iop.org
9. Pallitt N, Gachago D, Bali M. No size fits all: Design considerations for networked professional development in higher education. Conceptualizing and innovating education and work with networked learning. 2021:53-71. [\[HTML\]](#)
10. Chau H, Labutov I, Thaker K, He D, Brusilovsky P. Automatic concept extraction for domain and student modeling in adaptive textbooks. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*. 2021 Dec;31:820-46. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
11. Mitsea E, Drigas A, Skianis C. ICTs and speed learning in special education: high-consciousness training strategies for high-capacity learners through metacognition Lens. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.* 2022. [techniumscience.com](https://www.techniumscience.com)
12. Moltudal SH, Krumsvik RJ, Høydal KL. Adaptive learning technology in primary education: Implications for professional teacher knowledge and classroom management. *Frontiers in Education*. 2022. [frontiersin.org](https://www.frontiersin.org)
13. Khanal SS, Prasad PW, Alsadoon A, Maag A. A systematic review: machine learning based recommendation systems for e-learning. *Education and Information Technologies*. 2020 Jul;25(4):2635-64. [csu.edu.au](https://www.csu.edu.au)
14. Moin A, Zhou A, Rahimi A, Menon A, Benatti S, Alexandrov G, Tamakloe S, Ting J, Yamamoto N, Khan Y, Burghardt F. A wearable biosensing system with in-sensor adaptive machine learning for hand gesture recognition. *Nature Electronics*. 2021 Jan;4(1):54-63. [google.com](https://www.google.com)
15. Pallathadka H, Wenda A, Ramirez-Asís E, Asís-López M, Flores-Albornoz J, Phasinam K. Classification and prediction of student performance data using various machine learning algorithms. *Materials today: proceedings*. 2023 Jan 1;80:3782-5. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
16. Alam A. Employing adaptive learning and intelligent tutoring robots for virtual classrooms and smart campuses: reforming education in the age of artificial intelligence. In *Advanced computing and intelligent technologies: Proceedings of ICACIT 2022* 2022 Aug 31 (pp. 395-406). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
17. Marienko M, Nosenko Y, Shyshkina M. Personalization of learning using adaptive technologies and augmented reality. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.05802. 2020. [\[PDF\]](#)
18. Vanbecelaere S, Van den Berghe K, Cornillie F, Sasanguie D, Reynvoet B, Depaepe F. The effectiveness of adaptive versus non-adaptive learning with digital educational games. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. 2020 Aug;36(4):502-13. [\[HTML\]](#)
19. Shemshack A, Spector JM. A systematic literature review of personalized learning terms. *Smart Learning Environments*. 2020. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
20. Taylor DL, Yeung M, Bashed AZ. Personalized and adaptive learning. *Innovative learning environments in STEM higher education: Opportunities, Challenges, and Looking Forward*. 2021:17-34. [oapen.org](https://www.oapen.org)
21. Muñoz JL, Ojeda FM, Jurado DL, Peña PF, Carranza CP, Berríos HQ, Molina SU, Farfan AR, Arias-González JL, Vasquez-Pauca MJ. Systematic review of adaptive learning technology for learning in higher education. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*. 2022 Jun 15;98(98):221-33. [ejer.com.tr](https://www.ejer.com.tr)
22. Khosravi H, Sadiq S, Gasevic D. Development and adoption of an adaptive learning system: Reflections and lessons learned. In *Proceedings of the 51st ACM technical symposium on computer science education* 2020 Feb 26 (pp. 58-64). [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)

CITATION: Wambui David Adeline. Adaptive Learning Technologies: Customizing Education to Individual Needs. Research Output Journal of Arts and Management, 2024 3(3):1-6.